Contents

Contents vii

List of Figures ix

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Overview 1

1.2 Constrains in Cognitive Radio Networks 2

1.2.1 Heterogeneity 2

1.2.2 Multi channel Hidden Terminal Problem 2

1.2.3 Research Contributions 4

1.2.4 Organization of dissertation 4

2 Background and related works 5

2.1 Background 5

2.1.1 MAC protocol for Wireless Networks 5

2.1.2 Cognitive Radio based Networks 6

2.1.3 MAC Protocol for Cognitive Radio Networks 8

2.2 Literature Review 8

2.3 Cooperative MAC protocols for Homogenous CRNs 10

2.4 Comparison of different MAC protocols for DCRNs 11

2.5 Problem Statement 11

3 Non-cooperative MAC protocol for Heterogeneous Cognitive Radio Networks (NC-MAC) 12

3.1 SYSTEM MODEL 12

3.2 Control Frames in proposed MAC protocol 13

3.2.1 Frame Control 13

3.2.2 Duration 14
3.2.3 Receiver Address(RA) 14
3.2.4 Transmitter Address(TA) 14
3.2.5 Available DATA Channels 14
3.2.6 DATA Length 14
3.2.7 Frame Check Sequence(FCS) 14
3.3 Detailed Explanation of proposed Model 15
3.3.1 Spectrum Sensing Phase 16
3.3.2 Negotiation Phase 17
3.3.3 DATA transmission Phase 18

4 Performance evaluation and result analysis **20**
4.1 Analysis of the proposed MAC protocol 20
4.2 Performance Evaluation and Results 24

5 Conclusion and Future Work **26**
5.1 Conclusion 26
5.2 Future work 26

Bibliography **28**

List of Figures

1.1 The use of Spectrum 1
1.2 A typical scenario of heterogeneous radio resource availability in distributed CR network at a particular time instant. (Chx: represents a channel X which can be a combination of frequency band (or code) and/or time slot based on PU system implementation). . 3
1.3 A scenario showing the multi-channel hidden terminal problem (SU C is a hidden terminal to SU B 3

2.1 Spectrum holes 6
2.2 Cognitive Cycle. 7
2.3 Classification of CR MAC protocols based on Infrastructure and Access Methods. 9

3.1 Control frame format 14
3.2 Principle of our proposed MAC protocol scheme. 15
3.3 ON-OFF Primary User usage model. 16
3.4 Flow chart of our proposed MAC protocol Scheme. 19

4.1 Saturation throughput vs PU utilization. 24
4.2 Saturation throughput vs number of SUs where the PU utilization is 0.3. 25
4.3 Saturation Vs number of SUs where the PU utilization is 0.8. . . . 25