

List of Figures

Fig No.	Title	Page No.
Chapter 2		
Fig 2.1	Flow gradient used in the analysis of amino acids by HPLC. (A) acetate buffer: acetonitrile (9:1) and (B) acetate buffer : acetonitrile (1:9)	7
Fig 2.2	<i>A thap</i>	12
Fig 2.3	<i>Sujen</i> served on a brass cup	13
Fig 2.4	<i>A perok kushi</i>	13
Fig 2.5	<i>A kula</i>	13
Fig 2.6	A Deori woman filtering <i>sujen</i>	13
Fig 2.7	<i>A vekur pitha</i>	14
Fig 2.8	An Ahom woman filtering <i>xaj pani</i>	14
Fig 2.9	<i>An apop pitha</i>	15
Fig 2.10	A killing before fumigation	15
Fig 2.11	Fermentation in a killing	16
Fig 2.12	A Mising woman filtering <i>apong</i>	16
Fig 2.13	<i>An ankur</i>	17
Fig 2.14	<i>A humao</i>	18
Fig 2.15	A Dimasa woman serving <i>judima</i>	18
Fig 2.16	<i>Zutho</i> after fermentation	19
Fig 2.17	<i>Zutho</i> served in bamboo mugs	19
Fig 2.18	<i>A siiyeh</i>	20
Fig 2.19	An Adi-Galo woman filtering <i>opo</i>	20
Chapter 3		
Fig 3.1	FTIR spectra of the four different extracts of <i>A. heterophyllus</i> (AH) (Hex: Hexane; EA: Ethyl acetate; MeOH: Methanol; H2O: Water)	24
Fig 3.2	FTIR spectra of the four different extracts of <i>C. extensa</i> (CE) (Hex: Hexane; EA: Ethyl acetate; MeOH: Methanol; H2O: Water)	25
Fig 3.3	FTIR spectra of the four different extracts of <i>O. corymbosa</i> (OC) (Hex: Hexane; EA: Ethyl acetate; MeOH: Methanol; H2O: Water)	25
Fig 3.4	FTIR spectra of the four different extracts of <i>A. malaccensis</i> (AM) (Hex: Hexane; EA: Ethyl acetate; MeOH: Methanol; H2O: Water)	26
Fig. 3.5	HPLC chromatograms of the PPE for detection of phenolic compounds (AH: <i>A. heterophyllus</i> ; CE: <i>C. extensa</i> ; OC: <i>O. corymbosa</i> ; AM: <i>A. malaccensis</i>)	35
Fig. 3.6	(a) DPPH free radical scavenging activity assay of the PPE; (b) ABTS radical cation decolourisation assay of the PPE; (c) Hydroxyl radical scavenging assay of the PPE; (d) Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity assay of the PPE. The results are means \pm SD (n=3); (AH: <i>A. heterophyllus</i> ; CE: <i>C. extensa</i> ; OC: <i>O. corymbosa</i> ; AM: <i>A. malaccensis</i>)	39

Fig 3.7	(a) Superoxide anion scavenging activity assay of the PPE; (b) Nitric oxide scavenging activity assay of the PPE; (c) Ferrous-ion chelating assay of the PPE; (d) Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay of the PPE. The results are means \pm SD (n=3); (AH: <i>A. heterophyllus</i> ; CE: <i>C. extensa</i> ; OC: <i>O. corymbosa</i> ; AM: <i>A. malaccensis</i>)	41
Fig 3.8	HPLC chromatograms of the two plants' bioflavonoid extracts. The detected compounds are 1. epigallocatechin, 2. catechin, 3. epicatechin, 4. epigallocatechingallate, 5. myricetin, 6. naringenin, 7. kaempferol, 8. luteolin, 9. quercetin 10. apigenin	48
Fig 3.9	Change in pH of the beers (n=3) during storage	52
Fig 3.10	Change in total phenolic content (TPC) of the beers (n=3) during storage	53
Fig 3.11	Change in radical scavenging activity (RSA) of the beers (n=3) during storage	54
Fig 3.12	Change in protein content of the beers (n=3) during storage	55
Fig 3.13	Change in colour (ΔE) of the beers (n=3) during storage	56
Fig 3.14	a. Effect of time and temperature on the: a. total phenolic content (TPC), b. radical scavenging activity (RAS), c. antibacterial activity (ABA) and d. antifungal activity (AFA) of the hexane (Hex) extracts	63
Fig 3.15	a. Effect of time and temperature on the: a. total phenolic content (TPC), b. radical scavenging activity (RAS), c. antibacterial activity (ABA) and d. antifungal activity (AFA) of the ethyl acetate (EA) extracts	64
Fig 3.16	a. Effect of time and temperature on the: a. total phenolic content (TPC), b. radical scavenging activity (RAS), c. antibacterial activity (ABA) and d. antifungal activity (AFA) of the methanolic (MeOH) extracts	65
Fig 3.17	a. Effect of time and temperature on the: a. total phenolic content (TPC), b. radical scavenging activity (RAS), c. antibacterial activity (ABA) and d. antifungal activity (AFA) of the aqueous (H ₂ O) extracts	66

Chapter 4

Fig 4.1	The starter cake samples (i) Amou (ii) Perok-kushi and isolates (iii) <i>Amylomyces rouxii</i> TU460 (iv) <i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> TU465	27
Fig 4.2	Phylogenetic tree based on the ITS region gene sequence showing the evolutionary relationship between <i>Amylomyces rouxii</i> TU460 and <i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> TU465, and three other related species (<i>Amylomyces rouxii</i> CBS 438.76, <i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> 8-3M and <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> YI-A6) whose ITS gene sequences were obtained from the NCBI database. The bar at the bottom of the figure represents the length of branch that represents an amount genetic change of 0.10	29
Fig 4.3	SDS- PAGE of the two purified extracellular enzymes produced. Lane 1: 14-95 kDa protein marker, Lane 2: <i>Amylomyces rouxii</i> TU460 and Lane 3: <i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> TU465	32
Fig 4.4	Iodine stained SDS-PAGE gels (with 1% starch) of the two enzymes after electrophoresis; (a) <i>Amylomyces rouxii</i> TU460 and (b) <i>Rhizopus</i>	32

	<i>oryzae</i> TU465	
Fig 4.5	LC chromatograms of the culture supernatants for the detection of mycotoxins (i) <i>Amylomyces rouxii</i> TU460 (ii) <i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> TU465 (iii) <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> MTCC 1755	34
Fig 4.6	Microscopic view (100x) of the yeast strains	39
Fig 4.7	Molecular Phylogenetic analysis of the isolated and reference strains based on the sequence of ITS region by maximum likelihood method	42
Fig 4.8	Change in pH of the growth media with time	53
Fig 4.9	Change in total sugars content of the growth media with time	54
Fig 4.10	Change in alcohol content of the growth media with time	55
Fig 4.11	GC chromatograms for the detection of volatile organic compounds by the yeast strains. (i) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> TU4 (ii) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> TU7 (iii) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> TU71 (iv) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> TU45 (v) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> TU46 (vi) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> TU63 (vii) <i>W. anomalus</i> TU122 (viii) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> TU74	57
Fig 4.12	Phylogenetic tree of the LAB strains obtained by molecular phylogenetic analysis through maximum likelihood method	61
Fig 4.13	Phylogenetic tree of the LAB strains obtained by molecular phylogenetic analysis through maximum likelihood method	62
Fig 4.14	Phylogenetic tree of the LAB strains obtained by molecular phylogenetic analysis through maximum likelihood method	62
Fig 4.15	Adherence of <i>L. casei</i> TEZU309 to CaCo-2 cells	80
Fig 4.16	Adherence of <i>L. casei</i> TEZU309 - Li to CaCo-2 cells	80
Fig 4.17	Adherence of <i>L. casei</i> TEZU374 to CaCo-2 cells	80
Fig 4.18	Adherence of <i>L. casei</i> TEZU374-Li to CaCo-2 cells	80
Fig 4.19	TEM image of <i>L. casei</i> TEZU309 cells	81
Fig 4.20	TEM image of <i>L. casei</i> TEZU374 cells	81
Fig 4.21	SDS-PAGE gel of the surface associated proteins. A – Protein marker, B – <i>L. casei</i> TEZU309, C - <i>L. casei</i> TEZU309 – Li, D- <i>L. casei</i> TEZU374, E - <i>L. casei</i> TEZU374 - Li	82
Fig. 4.23	HPLC chromatograms showing the production of different organic acids by utilization of D-glucose	83
Fig. 4.24	HPLC chromatograms showing the production of different organic acids by utilization of maltose	85
Fig. 4.25	HPLC chromatograms showing the production of different organic acids by utilization of lactose	87

Chapter 5

Fig 1.1	The three basic substrates used for preparation of beer	4
Fig 5.3	Flow chart of the methodology followed for preparation of beer for one flask. Same methodology was followed for all the sets of beers (10 flasks per beer and 50 flasks in total)	10
Fig 5.4	The five different varieties of beer prepared in the laboratory	10
Fig 5.5	Triangular membership function for fuzzy analysis	13
Fig 5.6	Standard fuzzy scale	16
Fig 5.7	Graphical representation of triplet (a,b,c) and its membership function	18
Fig 5.8	The effect of time and temperature on protein content (%)	24
Fig 5.9	The effect of time and temperature on alcohol content (%)	25

Fig 5.10	The effect of time and temperature on <i>L. plantarum</i> count (log CFU ml-1)	26
Fig 5.11	The effect of time and temperature on TPC (mg/100g)	27
Fig 5.12	The effect of time and temperature on RSC (%)	28
Fig 5.13	The effect of time and temperature on titratable acidity (%)	29
Chapter 6		
Fig 6.1	(i) Treatment of drugs to the rats using feeding needle (ii) induction of colitis in rats by intraperitoneal injection of lipopolysaccharides	11
Fig 6.2	The reaction for the production of SAPE	16
Fig 6.3	¹³ C NMR of the synthesized SAPE molecule	17
Fig 6.4	¹ H NMR of the synthesized SAPE molecule	17
Fig 6.5	FTIR plot of the synthesized SAPE molecule	18
Fig 6.6	The 3D structure of SAPE molecule	18
Fig 6.7	CNS activity of SAPE	22
Fig 6.8	Predicted binding cavity of the COX-2 (PDB ID: 4PH9)	23
Fig 6.9	Structure of (a) Ibuprofen (b) Indometacin	23
Fig 6.10	(A) Protein-ligand interactions between SAPE and active site residues of COX-2 enzyme. (B) Binding mode of SAPE at the active site residues of COX-2 enzyme	25
Fig 6.11	(A) Protein-ligand interactions between Ibuprofen and active site residues of COX-2 enzyme. (B) Binding mode of Ibuprofen at the active site residues of COX-2 enzyme	25
Fig 6.12	(A) Protein-ligand interactions between Indometacin and active site residues of COX2 enzyme. (B) Binding mode of Indometacin at the active site residues of COX-2 enzyme	26
Fig 6.13	(A) Energy map of COX-2 interacting with SAPE depicting steric interaction favourable (green), hydrogen acceptor favourable (turquoise colour), hydrogen donor favourable (yellow colour) and electrostatic favourable (blue and red colour) regions. (B) Electrostatic interaction of SAPE at the enzyme active site indicating electronegative (blue) and electropositive regions (red).	27
Fig 6.14	(A) Energy map of COX-2 interacting with Ibuprofen depicting steric interaction favourable (green), hydrogen acceptor favourable (turquoise colour), hydrogen donor favourable (yellow colour) and electrostatic favourable (blue and red colour) regions. (B) Electrostatic interaction of Ibuprofen at the enzyme active site indicating electronegative (blue) and electropositive regions (red).	27
Fig 6.15	(A) Energy map of COX-2 interacting with Indometacin depicting steric interaction favourable (green), hydrogen acceptor favourable (turquoise colour), hydrogen donor favourable (yellow colour) and electrostatic favourable (blue and red colour) regions. (B) Electrostatic interaction of Indometacin at the enzyme active site indicating electronegative (blue) and electropositive regions (red).	27
Fig 6.16	MD simulation showing the RMSD plot of the docked protein ligand complex showing that SAPE-COX-2 is more stable than the ibuprofen and indometacin complex	28

Fig 6.17	Photograph of membrane stability assay in erythrocytes with PBS, Triton X and different concentrations (25, 50 and 100 µg/ml) of SAPE	29
Fig 6.18	Membrane stability assay of SAPE in erythrocytes	30
Fig 6.19	Results of MTT assay of SAPE in PBMC	30
Fig 6.20	Treatment of HepG-2 cells with different concentrations (control, 25, 50, 100 and 200 µg/ml) of SAPE	31
Fig 6.21	Results of MTT assay of SAPE in HepG-2 cells	31
Fig 6.22	Treatment of CaCo-2 cells with different concentrations (control, 25, 50, 100 and 200 µg/ml) of SAPE	32
Fig 6.23	Results of MTT assay of SAPE in CaCo-2 cells	32
Fig 6.24	Results of Alamar Blue® assay of SAPE in CaCo-2 cells	33
Fig 6.25	Change in body weight of the different groups of rats (RG-CF: control without any treatment; RG-SP: treated with SAPE; RG-IM: treated with indometacin) until the day of induction of colitis	34
Fig 6.26	Excised intestines of the different groups of rats after treatment and euthanization (RG-CF: colitis free control group without any treatment; RG-CI: colitis induced group without any treatment; RG-SP: colitis induced group treated with SAPE)	35
Fig 6.27	SEM image of the intestinal section from RG-CF (colitis free control group without any treatment) rats	36
Fig 6.28	SEM image of the intestinal section of RG-CI (colitis induced group without any treatment) rats	37
Fig 6.29	SEM image of the intestinal section of RG-SP (colitis induced group treated with SAPE) rats	38
Fig 6.30	SEM image of the intestinal section of RG-IM (colitis induced group treated with indometacin) rats	39
Fig 6.31	Results for assay of malondialdehyde (MDA) content in the intestinal tissue extract for different treatment groups of rats (RG-CI: colitis induced group without any treatment; RGCF: colitis free control group without any treatment; RG-SP: colitis induced group treated with SAPE; Group RG-IM: colitis induced group treated with indometacin)	41
Fig 6.32	Results for assay of catalase (CAT) concentration in the intestinal tissue extract for different treatment groups of rats (RG-CI: colitis induced group without any treatment; RGCF: colitis free control group without any treatment; RG-SP: colitis induced group treated with SAPE; Group RG-IM: colitis induced group treated with indometacin)	42
Fig 6.33	Results for assay of γ -glutamyltransferase (GGT) activity in the intestinal tissue extract for different treatment groups of rats (RG-CI: colitis induced group without any treatment; RG-CF: colitis free control group without any treatment; RG-SP: colitis induced group treated with SAPE; Group RG-IM: colitis induced group treated with indometacin)	43

Fig 6.34	Results for assay of glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity in the intestinal tissue extract for different treatment groups of rats (RG-CI: colitis induced group without any treatment; RG-CF: colitis free control group without any treatment; RG-SP: colitis induced group treated with SAPE; Group RG-IM: colitis induced group treated with indometacin)	44
Fig 6.35	Results for assay of interleukin 6 (IL-6) content in the intestinal tissue extract for different treatment groups of rats (RG-CI: colitis induced group without any treatment; RGCF: colitis free control group without any treatment; RG-SP: colitis induced group treated with SAPE; Group RG-IM: colitis induced group treated with indometacin)	45
Fig 6.36	Results for assay of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) content in the intestinal tissue extract for different treatment groups of rats (RG-CI: colitis induced group without any treatment; RG-CF: colitis free control group without any treatment; RG-SP: colitis induced group treated with SAPE; Group RG-IM: colitis induced group treated with indometacin)	46
