

# List of Tables

<b>Table 2.1:</b> Comparison among supervised, unsupervised and semi-supervised ensemble approaches.....	28
<b>Table 2.2:</b> Comparison among the four major supervised ensemble methods.....	34
<b>Table 2.3:</b> Comparison among different approaches of consensus function.....	45
<b>Table 2.4:</b> Merits and demerits of the different methods of Semi-Supervised Learning .....	52
<b>Table 3.1:</b> Summary of rules of Combination Methods .....	79
<b>Table 3.2:</b> Summary of the Existing Ensemble Approaches .....	84
<b>Table 3.3:</b> Basic information of the datasets used .....	87
<b>Table 3.4:</b> Confusion Matrix .....	90
<b>Table 3.5:</b> Performance Analysis of the Proposed Model .....	92
<b>Table 3.6:</b> Performance Analysis of the Proposed Ensemble with Existing Ensembles .....	93
<b>Table 3.7:</b> Performance Analysis of the Meta-ensemble .....	96
<b>Table 3.8:</b> Paired t-test results .....	98
<b>Table 4.1:</b> Summary of few Semantic Similarity Measures.....	109
<b>Table 4.2:</b> Summary of Measures used for Internal Validation .....	112
<b>Table 4.3:</b> Summary of Stability Measures .....	113
<b>Table 4.4:</b> Summary of Biological Measures .....	114
<b>Table 4.5:</b> Cancer datasets used for the experiments .....	118
<b>Table 4.6:</b> Scores of Internal Validation Measures for the Breast Cancer dataset...	122
<b>Table 4.7:</b> Scores of Stability Measures for the Breast Cancer dataset.....	124
<b>Table 4.8:</b> Optimal Scores of BHI and BSI for the Breast Cancer dataset.....	126
<b>Table 5.1:</b> Clustering algorithms evaluated.....	137

---

<b>Table 5.2:</b> General properties of the Gold Standard datasets .....	144
<b>Table 5.3:</b> Initial datasets.....	145
<b>Table 5.4:</b> Parameters settings for the algorithms being evaluated .....	146
<b>Table 5.5:</b> Results of the protein complex detection algorithms on PPI datasets using the MIPS complex dataset.....	147
<b>Table 5.6:</b> Results of the protein complex detection algorithms on PPI datasets using the SGD complex dataset .....	148
<b>Table 5.7:</b> Comparison of Co-localization Score .....	150
<b>Table 5.8:</b> Comparison of GO Semantic Similarity Score .....	151
<b>Table 5.9:</b> Statistical significance of predicted complexes by ClusterONE and MCL for MIPS Dataset.....	153
<b>Table 5.11:</b> Statistical significance of predicted complexes by ClusterONE and MCL for SGD Dataset .....	154
<b>Table 5.9:</b> Existence of an edge in the final complex.....	157
<b>Table 5.10:</b> Comparison of the protein complex detection algorithms and proposed ensemble PCDEN on PPI datasets using the MIPS complex dataset .....	159
<b>Table 5.11:</b> Comparison of the protein complex detection algorithms and proposed ensemble on PPI datasets using the SGD complex dataset .....	160
<b>Table 5.12:</b> Comparison of Co-localization Score .....	161
<b>Table 5.13:</b> Comparison of the ensemble approaches with the proposed ensemble PCDEN.....	164