

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Data Warehousing and Materialized Views . . . . .	2
1.1.1	Multidimensional data model . . . . .	3
1.1.2	Aggregations of data as data warehouse views . . . . .	5
1.1.3	Materialized views for efficient computation of data cubes . . . . .	7
1.1.4	Materializing views in RDBMS technology . . . . .	7
1.1.5	Common SQL sub-expressions of SQL queries as materialized views . . . . .	8
1.1.6	Materializing results of common sub-expressions in Big data framework . . . . .	11
1.2	Multi-Objective Optimization to Select Views for Materializing . . . . .	12
1.2.1	The view selection problem for materializing . . . . .	12
1.2.2	The costs to be minimized and trade-offs . . . . .	13
1.2.3	Multi-Objective Optimization (MOO) . . . . .	13
1.2.4	Selecting views by multi-objective optimization technique . . . . .	14
1.3	Motivation of this Research . . . . .	15
1.4	Research Objectives . . . . .	15
1.5	Contributions . . . . .	17
1.6	Organization of the Thesis . . . . .	17
<b>2</b>	<b>Materialized View Selection in Data Warehouses: Approaches, Issues and Challenges</b>	<b>19</b>

2.1	Introduction . . . . .	19
2.2	Representations of views in Data Warehouses . . . . .	20
2.2.1	Multidimensional lattice representation of views . . . . .	20
2.2.2	AND-OR view graph representation of queries and views . . . . .	22
2.2.3	Optimal multiple query execution plan based graphical representation . . . . .	24
2.2.4	Query - Attribute matrix representation . . . . .	26
2.2.5	Associated issues in different representations . . . . .	26
2.3	Existing View Selection Techniques . . . . .	28
2.3.1	Greedy algorithmic approaches . . . . .	28
2.3.2	Stochastic algorithmic approaches . . . . .	33
2.3.3	Data mining based approaches . . . . .	39
2.4	A Brief Discussion on Existing Approaches . . . . .	42
2.4.1	Issues and challenges . . . . .	44
2.5	Discussion . . . . .	45
<b>3</b>	<b>Multi-Objective Differential Evolution Algorithm for Selecting Views to Materialize</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	47
3.1.1	Motivation . . . . .	47
3.1.2	Contribution . . . . .	48
3.2	The View Selection for Materializing as a Multi-objective Optimization Problem . . . . .	49
3.2.1	DAG representation of multiple query processing plan . . . . .	50
3.2.2	The cost model . . . . .	51
3.2.3	Multi-objective optimization . . . . .	53
3.2.4	The view selection problem as multi-objective optimization problem representation . . . . .	54
3.2.5	Solution representation . . . . .	55

3.3	Multi-objective Differential Evolution Algorithm for Selecting Views to Materialize in Data Warehouse . . . . .	56
3.3.1	The Differential Evolution (DE) algorithm . . . . .	56
3.3.2	Differential Evolution algorithm adapted with binary encoded data . . . . .	57
3.3.3	Multi-objective DE . . . . .	60
3.3.4	Multi-objective DE with binary encoded data for view selection : MODE-BE . . . . .	62
3.3.5	Complexity analysis . . . . .	64
3.3.6	Convergence . . . . .	64
3.4	Experimentation and Observations . . . . .	67
3.4.1	The test-bed used . . . . .	67
3.4.2	Control parameters . . . . .	67
3.4.3	Observations . . . . .	68
3.5	Discussion . . . . .	70
<b>4</b>	<b>Materialized View Selection by Evolutionary Algorithm for Big Data Query Processing</b>	<b>75</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	75
4.1.1	Materialized views and materialized queries in Big data . . .	76
4.1.2	View selection for materializing in Big data . . . . .	77
4.1.3	Contribution . . . . .	77
4.2	The Problem of Selecting Views for Materializing in Big data Framework . . . . .	78
4.2.1	The cost model and problem definition . . . . .	78
4.3	View Selection in Big data Systems as Multi-Objective Optimization Problem . . . . .	81
4.3.1	Simple problem representation . . . . .	81
4.3.2	Scalability . . . . .	82
4.3.3	Well defined objectives . . . . .	82

4.4	Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm for View Selection to Materialize in Big data . . . . .	83
4.4.1	Multi-objective DE with binary encoded solutions for Big data view selection . . . . .	83
4.4.2	Implementing NSGA-II for view selection . . . . .	87
4.5	Experimentation and Observations . . . . .	88
4.5.1	Experimental setup . . . . .	89
4.5.2	Parameters used . . . . .	92
4.5.3	Results and observations . . . . .	98
4.6	Discussion . . . . .	99
<b>5</b>	<b>Multi-Objective Simulated Annealing Algorithm in Big data View Selection for Materializing</b>	<b>101</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	101
5.1.1	Motivation . . . . .	101
5.1.2	SA for multi-objective optimization . . . . .	102
5.1.3	Contribution . . . . .	103
5.2	Dominance based Energy Functions in Multi-Objective Simulated Annealing Algorithm . . . . .	103
5.2.1	Energy function in terms of number of dominating solutions	104
5.2.2	Energy function in terms of amount of domination . . . . .	106
5.3	Representation of the View Selection Problem for Applying AMOSA	110
5.3.1	Representing view selection problem for Big data . . . . .	110
5.3.2	Solution representation . . . . .	111
5.4	AMOSA for Materialized View Selection . . . . .	112
5.4.1	Initializing the archive of solutions . . . . .	112
5.4.2	Enforcing diversity in solution space for restricting the archive size . . . . .	112
5.4.3	The main process of AMOSA-MVS . . . . .	114

5.4.4	Parameter selection . . . . .	116
5.4.5	Complexity analysis . . . . .	119
5.4.6	Convergence . . . . .	121
5.5	Experimental Results and Performance Analysis . . . . .	122
5.5.1	Experimental setup and test data sets . . . . .	122
5.5.2	Experimentation and results . . . . .	125
5.5.3	Comparison measures . . . . .	126
5.5.4	Comparative analysis . . . . .	132
5.6	Discussion . . . . .	134
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion and Future Direction</b>	<b>141</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	141
6.2	Future Directions . . . . .	143
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>145</b>
	<b>Publications based on the Thesis Works</b>	<b>153</b>