Table	Title	Pages
	Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1	Advantages and disadvantages of some common techniques used for defluoridation of water.	13
	Chapter 2: Experimental	
2.1	Relevant parameters of groundwater used in laboratory experiments.	44
	Chapter 3: Results and discussion	
3.1	Remaining $[F^-]$ in the water and amount of fluoride adsorbed in equilibrium (q _e) after treatment by limestone powder in absence and in presence of 0.10 M [PA] ₀ at different adsorbent dose (g). $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L; contact time = 3 h and T = 298±1 K.	70
3.2	The results of neutralization of 0.10 M PA by limestone powder with time (s) at 298 ± 1 K.	74
3.3A	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) in the water at different contact time (min) after treatment with limestone powder in absence and in presence of different [PA] ₀ . $[F^-]_{0.} = 5$ mg/L; adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/100 mL and T = 298±1 K.	76
3.3B	Results of remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) in the water and percentage of fluoride removal at different contact time (min) after treatment with limestone powder in presence of 0.10 M $[PA]_0$ at varying $[F^-]_0$. Adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/100 mL and T = 298±1 K.	76
3.4	Results of remaining $[F^-]$ (in mg/L) at different contact time (min) after treatment with limestone powder with adsorbent dose of 0.5 g/100 mL at different $[F^-]_0$. T = 298±1 K.	77
3.5	Adsorption parameters obtained from pseudo first- order, pseudo second-order, intra-particle diffusion and Elovich models for adsorption of fluoride by limestone powder in the presence of PA with varying $[F^-]_0$. $[PA]_0 = 0.10$ M and adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/100	80

mL at 298±1 K.

3.6 Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) in the treated water and 81 amount of fluoride adsorbed at equilibrium using limestone powder in absence and in presence of different [PA]₀. Adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/100 mL and $T = 298 \pm 1 K.$ 3.7 of Freundlich, Langmuir. Dubinin-84 Values Radushkevich and Temkin isotherm parameters for fluoride adsorption on limestone in absence and presence of $[PA]_0$ at 298±1 K. 3.8 85 The values of R_L obtained from the Langmuir constant, b at different $[F^-]_0$ and $[PA]_0$ at 298±1 K. 3.9 Equilibrium concentration of fluoride (Ce), amount 88 adsorbed fluoride at equilibrium (q_e) and K_c (ratio of q_e/C_e) obtained after treatment with limestone powder in absence and in presence of different [PA]₀ at different temperatures. $[F^-]_0 = 5 \text{ mg/L}$ and adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/100 mL. 3.10 Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of 89 fluoride on limestone powder in absence/presence of PA at different [PA]₀. 3.11 Comparison of monolayer adsorption capacity of 90 limestone from the present work with some reported adsorbents. 3.12 Remaining [F⁻] (mg/L) and final pH of water after 98 treatment with different adsorbents at adsorbent dose of 0.5 g per150 mL of water containing 10 mg/L $[F_0]$ at $T = 298 \pm 1$ K. 99 3.13 Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) after treatment with adsorbent M9 at different $[F_0(mg/L)]$ as a function of contact time (min). Adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/150 mL of water at 298±1 K. 3.14 Remaining [F⁻] (mg/L), percentage of fluoride 101 removal and fluoride adsorbed on adsorbent M9 at different adsorbent dose (g). $[F^-]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$; contact

time = 3 h and T = 298 ± 1 K.

3.15	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and fluoride removal (%) using adsorbent M9 at different pH. Adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/150 mL; contact time = 3 h and T = 298±1 K.	102
3.16	Remaining [F ⁻] (in mg/L) after treatment with adsorbent dose (M9) of 0.5 g/150 mL at different [F ⁻] ₀ . T = 298±1 K.	103
3.17	The kinetic parameters obtained from pseudo-first- order, pseudo-second-order, intra-particle diffusion and Elovich models for adsorption of fluoride on M9 at different $[F^-]_0$ with adsorbent dose of 0.5 g/150mL at 298±1 K.	106
3.18	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) in the treated water and amount of fluoride adsorbed at equilibrium using different adsorbents, L0, M3, M6 and M9 with varying $[F]_0$ (mg/L).	107
3.19	Various isotherm parameters for adsorption of fluoride on unmodified and modified limestone powder at 298±1 K.	109
3.20	The values of R_L obtained from the Langmuir constant, b, at different $[F^-]_0$ for different modified limestone powder at 298±1 K.	110
3.21	Equilibrium concentration of fluoride (C _e), amount adsorbed fluoride at equilibrium (q _e) and K _c (ratio of q _e /C _e) obtained by the treatment with different adsorbents at different temperatures. $[F^-]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$ and adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/150 mL.	112
3.22	The standard thermodynamic parameters of the sorption of fluoride on different modified limestone with $[F^-]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$, adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/150 mL.	113
3.23	Effluent [F ⁻] (mg/L) and desorption of fluoride (%) from fluoride-loaded adsorbent M9 at different pH.	113
3.24	Comparison of adsorption capacity of the present adsorbent with various reported adsorbents.	115

List of Tables

3.25	Remaining fluoride concentration (mg/L) along with throughput volume (L) after treatment by the PACLT in continuous-flow mode at different $[PA]_0$. $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	118
3.26	The results of one-way analysis of variance of fluoride removal with different $[PA]_0$. $[F^-] = 5 \text{ mg/L}$ and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	119
3.27	The breakthrough results of fluoride removal at different influent fluoride concentrations ($[F^-]_0$) at fixed $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M and a fixed flow rate = 100 mL/h.	121
3.28	The results of one-way analysis of variance of fluoride removal with different $[F^-]_0$. $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	120
3.29	Remaining [F ⁻] and pH of treated water after PACLT in continuous-flow mode at different flow rates of the feed. [F ⁻] ₀ = 5 mg/L and [PA] ₀ = 0.01 M.	124
3.30	Summary of breakthrough results for fluoride removal in fixed-bed column PACLT at varying flow rates.	123
3.31	The results of one-way analysis of variance of fluoride removal with different flow rates of the influent water. $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M and $[F^-]$ (mg/L) = 5 mg/L.	125
3.32	Remaining $[F^-]$ in the treated water after treatment with the first-time regenerated limestone in the PACLT at different flow rates of feed. $[F^-]_0 = 5 \text{ mg/L}$ and $[PA]_0 = 0.01 \text{ M}$.	128
3.33	Remaining $[F^-]$ in the treated water after treatment with first, second and third-time regenerated limestone in the PACLT at a fixed flow rate of 100 mL/h. $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L and $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M.	129
3.34A	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and residual $[Ca^{2+}]$ (mg/L) in the water after treatment by the PACLT at different $[PA]_0$. $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	134

3.34B	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and residual $[Ca^{2+}]$ (mg/L) 134 present in the water after treatment with fresh limestone and first, second and third-time regenerated limestone in the PACLT. $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M; $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	4
3.35	The relevant water quality parameters of water 135 before and after treatment in the PACLT in the continuous-flow mode with $[PA]_0 = 0.01M$, $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L and flow rate of 100 mL/h.	8
3.36	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and final pH of water after treatment by the PACLT in the pilot experiment with a residence time of 3 h and $[F^-]_0 = 10$ mg/L with different $[PA]_0$.	2
3.37	The results of one-way analysis of variance of 140 fluoride removal with different $[PA]_0$. $[F^-]_0 = 10$ mg/L and residence time =3 h.	6
3.38	Results of saturation index of fluorite (SI_f) calculated 14 from $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and calcium ions (mg/L) present in the treated water after treatment by the PACLT in the pilot test.	8
3.39	Results of remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and final pH of 152 water after treatment in the pilot test using regenerated limestone regenerated by using Ca(OH) ₂ , NaOH and by simply scrubbing and rinsing treatment. $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M; $[F^-]_0 = 10$ mg/L.	2
3.40	The relevant water quality parameters of water 15: before and after treatment in the PACLT in laboratory-scale pilot study. $[PA]_0 = 0.01M$; $[F^-]_0 =$ 10 mg/L and residence time = 3 h.	5
3.41	Remaining $[F^-]$ and final pH of the water after 160 treatment by the replica unit using field water containing 4.8 mg/L initial $[F^-]$.	0
3.42	Remaining $[F^-]$, initial pH (pH ₀) of water pre- acidified with different $[PA]_0$ and final pH (pH _f) of the treated water after treatment in the replica unit including the four layered filter with field water having 4.8 ± 0.2 mg/L of $[F^-]_0$.	2

List of Tables

3.43	Remaining [F ⁻] (in mg/L) and final pH of the water after treatment in the replica unit. [F ⁻] ₀ = 4.8 ± 0.2 mg/L; [PA] ₀ = 0.68 mM and residence time = 3 h.	164
3.44A	$[F^-]$ and pH of treated water treated in the replica unit using limestone regenerated with Ca(OH) ₂ up to three times. $[F^-]_0 = 4.8 \text{ mg/L}$; $[PA]_0 = 0.68 \text{ mM}$ and residence time = 3 h.	167
3.44B	Remaining $[F^-]$ (in mg/L) and final pH of treated water after reusing limestone regenerated by scrubbing and rinsing with water up to three times after completion of each cycle in the PACLT method. $[F^-]_0 = 4.8\pm0.2$ mg/L; $[PA]_0 = 0.68$ mM and residence time = 3 h.	171
3.45	Remaining [F ⁻] and final pH in the water after treatment in small community unit. $[F^-]_0 = 4.8\pm0.2$ mg/L; $[PA]_0 = 0.68$ mM and residence time = 3 h.	176
3.46	[F ⁻] and pH of water after treatment in the five households units, H1 (started on 12 October 2014); H2, H3 and H4 (started on 6 December 2014); and H5 (started on 15 October 2015). The samples have been collected after every third treatment.	180
3.47	[F ⁻] and pH of water before and after treatment and the total alkalinity of raw water collected from field source at small community (C) and households (H).	182
3.48	Concentrations of metal ions and anions in sample before and after treatment by the PACLT. $[PA]_0 =$ 0.68 mM; $[F^-]_0 = 4.8\pm0.2$ mg/L; source of water sample: water supply by PHED.	184

Figure	Title	Pages
	Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1	Fluoride affected areas and limestone mines in India.	5
1.2	Fluoride affected areas of Assam, a north-eastern state of India.	7
	Chapter 2: Experimental	
2.1	The experimental set up for continuous-flow test.	54
2.2	The experimental set up for laboratory-pilot experiment.	56
2.3	A schematic diagram of replica unit (a) and a real picture of a replica unit (b).	59
2.4	Instruction manual that we supplied to users in English language.	61
2.5	Instruction manual that we supplied to the village people in local language, Assamese.	62
2.6	Instruction manual that we supplied to the village people of Karbi Anglang in their local language, Karbi.	63
2.7	The poster that we supplied to the users in English language.	64
2.8	The poster that we supplied to the users in local language Assamese.	64
2.9	The poster that we provided to the users of Karbi Anglang in their local language, Karbi.	65
2.10	A picture of a <i>Fluoride Nilogon</i> plant installed on 16 th March, 2013, at Dengaon, a fluoride-affected place in Karbi Anglong district of Assam, India.	66
2.8	Photographs of <i>Fluoride Nilogon</i> plant in five household systems at (H1) Napakling, (H2) Kehang Inglang and (H3) Sarik Teron and (H4) Kat Tisso villages installed by us and at (H5) Napakling household unit installed by a villager in Karbi Anglong district, Assam.	67

Chapter 3: Results and discussion

	1	
3.1	Effect of limestone dose on (A) percentage of fluoride removal and (B) the amount of fluoride adsorbed in equilibrium in absence and in the presence of 0.10 M $[PA]_0$ at 298±1 K. $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L.	71
3.2	FTIR spectra of limestone powder before (A) and after (B) fluoride-loading.	72
3.3	XRD of limestone powder before use (A) and after use (B, C and D) obtained from three sets of experiments of fluoride removal in presence of $0.1 \text{ M} [PA]_0$.	73
3.4	A plot of neutralization of PA and remaining $[F^-]$ in the water after treatment with limestone powder <i>vs</i> . time. [PA] ₀ = 0.10 M and $[F^-]_0 = 5 \text{ mg/L}$.	75
3.5	Effect of contact time on fluoride removal by limestone powder in presence of varying $[PA]_0$ (A) and $[F^-]_0$ (B) at 298±1 K.	77
3.6	Plots of pseudo first-order (A), pseudo second-order (B), intra-particle diffusion (C) and Elovich (D) kinetic model of fluoride adsorption in Limestone-PA systems at different $[F^-]_0$ with fixed $[PA]_0$ (0.10 M) and fixed adsorbent dose (0.5 g/100 mL) at 298±1 K.	79
3.7	Freundlich (A), Langmuir (B), Dubinin–Radushkevich (C) and Temkin (D) isotherms for fluoride adsorption on limestone powder at fixed adsorbent dose (0.5 g/100 mL) and contact time (3 h) at 298±1 K. $[F^-]_0$: 3-15 mg/L, $[PA]_0$: 0.01 M (•) 0.03 M (□), 0.05 M (•), 0.07 M (◊), 0.10 M (•), 0.00 M (inset, ▲).	83
3.8	Plots of the concentrations of residual calcium and phosphate (as P) in the treated water $vs.$ [PA] ₀ .	87
3.9	Plots of $ln(K_c)$ vs. 1/T for the adsorption of fluoride by limestone powder from aqueous solution in the presence/absence of $[PA]_0$.	89
3.10	FTIR spectra of fresh limestone (L0), hydrothermally synthesized limestone powder at 0.30 M (M3), 0.60 M	94

	(M6), 0.90 M (M9) and fluoride-loaded HAP (MF).	
3.11	XRD spectra of fresh limestone (L0); modified limestone (M3, M6, and M9) and fluoride-loaded HAP (MF).	96
3.12	SEM and EDX spectra of fresh limestone (L0), modified limestone powder (M9) and fluoride-loaded HAP (MF).	97
3.13	The plots of (A) fluoride removal performance and (B) final pH of treated water of the fresh (L0) and the modified limestone powders (M3, M6 and M9) <i>vs.</i> contact time with adsorbent dose of 0.5 g/150 mL at 298 ± 1 K. $[F^-]_0 = 10$ mg/L.	99
3.14	Effect of initial fluoride concentration $([F^-]_0)$ and contact time on fluoride removal by M9 with adsorbent dose of 0.5 g/150 mL of water at 298±1 K.	100
3.15	The fluoride removal and the amount of fluoride adsorbed in mg/g of the adsorbent <i>vs</i> . the dose of adsorbent M9.	101
3.16	Effect of pH on fluoride removal (%) by M9 sample with adsorbent dose of 0.5 g/150 mL of water at 298±1 K. $[F^-]_0$ = 10 mg/L and contact time = 3 h.	102
3.17	Plots of pseudo-first-order (A), pseudo-second-order (B), intra-particle diffusion (C) and Elovich (D) kinetic models at different $[F^-]_0$ (mg/L): 3 (\blacksquare), 5 (\square), 7 (\blacktriangle), 9 (Δ), 10 (\bigcirc), 15(\bigcirc), 20(\diamondsuit), respectively, with adsorbent dose (M9) of 0.5 g/150 mL and at 298±1 K.	105
3.18	Plots of Freundlich (A), Langmuir (B), Dubinin– Radushkevich (C) and Temkin (D) isotherm models for sorption of fluoride on L0 (\blacklozenge), M3 (Δ), M6 (\bigcirc) and M9 (\square) at different [F ⁻] ₀ . Adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/150 mL at 298±1 K.	108
3.19	Plots of $ln(K_c) vs. 1/T (K^{-1})$ for sorption of fluoride on the modified limestone samples with $[F]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$, adsorbent dose = 0.5 g/150 mL.	112
3.20	Effect of pH on desorption of fluoride from fluoride- loaded M9 sample. Adsorbent dose = $0.25 \text{ g/}75 \text{ mL}$ and contact time = 3 h at 298±1 K.	114

List of Figures

3.21	The breakthrough curves for fluoride removal at different $[PA]_0$ at $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	120
3.22	The breakthrough curves for defluoridation of water at different influent fluoride concentrations at fixed $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	122
3.23	The plots of (A) breakthrough curves and (B) final pH of effluent water <i>vs.</i> throughput volume (L), at different flow rates with $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M and $[F^-]_0 = 5$ mg/L.	126
3.24	Effects of different competing anions on fluoride removal by PACLT in continuous-flow column experiment. $[F^-]_0$ = 5 mg/L; $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M and flow rate = 100 mL/h.	127
3.25	The breakthrough curves for (A) fluoride removal with first-time regenerated limestone at different flow rates; and (B) fresh limestone, and first, second and third-time regenerated limestone at a fixed flow rate of 100 ml/h with $[F^-]_0 = 5 \text{ mg/L}$ and $[PA]_0 = 0.01 \text{ M}$.	130
3.26	FTIR spectra of (A) unused limestone and (B) precipitate formed in the column in continuous-flow PACLT.	131
3.27	XRD spectra of (A) unused limestone and (B) the precipitate formed in the column in continuous-flow PACLT.	132
3.28	SEM-EDX of (A) unused limestone and (B) the precipitate formed in the column in continuous-flow PACLT.	133
3.29	The saturation index of fluorite (SI _f) for the continuous- flow PACLT experiment: (A) with $[PA]_0$ of 0.01, 0.03 and 0.05 M; and (B) with regenerated limestone.	135
3.30	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and final pH of treated water <i>vs.</i> number of cycle used in the pilot experiment with $[F^-]_0$ of 10 mg/L, residence time of 3 h and varying $[PA]_0$ of 0.01 M, 0.005 M and 0.001 M.	145
3.31	Effects of different competing anions on fluoride removal by PACLT in the laboratory-scale pilot experiment with $[F^-]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}, [PA]_0 = 0.01 \text{ M}$ and residence time = 3 h.	147

3.32	Saturation index of fluorite (SI _f) <i>vs.</i> number of cycle (n) for the pilot experiment with $[F^-]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}$ and $[PA]_0 = 0.01 \text{ M}$.	148
3.33	The FTIR spectra of (A) unused limestone and (B) precipitate found in bottom of the container after the pilot test. $[F^-]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}, [PA]_0 = 0.01 \text{ M}.$	149
3.34	The XRD spectra of (A) unused limestone and (B) precipitate found in the container after the pilot test. $[F^-]_0 = 10 \text{ mg/L}, [PA]_0 = 0.01 \text{ M}.$	150
3.35	Remaining $[F^-]$ (mg/L) and final pH of water after PACLT pilot test by reusing limestone regenerated by soaking in 0.30 M Ca(OH) ₂ and NaOH and by simply scrubbing and rinsing. $[F^-]_0 = 10$ mg/L (shown by horizontal dotted line) and $[PA]_0 = 0.01$ M.	154
3.36	Plots of remaining $[F^-]$ with pH vs. number of cycle (n) used in PACLT for fluoride removal in the replica unit from field water with 0.001 M $[PA]_0$. $[F^-]_0 = 4.8\pm0.2$ mg/L.	161
3.37	Plots of remaining $[F^-]$ and pH of water before (pH_0) and after (pH_f) treatment in presence of varying $[PA]_0$ in the replica unit with field water having 4.8 ± 0.2 mg/L of $[F^-]_0$.	162
3.38	Plots of $[F^-]$ and pH of the treated water <i>vs.</i> n in the replica unit. $[F^-]_0 = 4.8 \text{ mg/L}$ (shown by horizontal dotted line), $[PA]_0 = 0.68 \text{ mM}$ and residence time = 3 h.	166
3.39	[F ⁻] and pH of treated water <i>vs.</i> number of cycle (n) used in the replica unit after 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd regeneration of limestone: (A) with 0.30 M Ca(OH) ₂ and (B) by scrubbing and rinsing. [F ⁻] ₀ = 4.8 mg/L (dotted line) and [PA] ₀ = 0.68 mM.	174
3.40	Plots of $[F^-]$ and pH in the treated water vs. n for the small community unit. $[F]_0 = 4.8 \text{ mg/L}$ (shown by horizontal dotted line); $[PA]_0 = 0.68 \text{ mM}$ and residence time = 3 h. The unit is still continuing as the limestone is not yet exhausted.	178
3.41	Results of $[F^-]$ before and after treatment along with final pH <i>vs.</i> number of cycle (n) of the household units: H1	181

	(black), H2 (pink), H3 (red), H4 (green) and H5 (blue). $[PA]_0 = 0.68 \text{ mM}$ and residence time = 3 h.	
3.42	The average remaining $[F^-]$ and final pH of treated water with expanded X-axis for all six field units. C1 (blue), H1 (yellow), H2 (pink), H3 (green), H4 (red) and H5 (brown). $[PA]_0 = 0.68$ mM and residence time = 3 h. The $[F^-]_0$ of the units are shown with horizontal lines of respective colours.	182
3.43	A plot of average value of remaining $[F^-]$ and final pH of treated water after the PACLT in the six field units <i>vs.</i> total alkalinity as CaCO ₃ of the influent water.	183

Abbreviations used i	in the thesis
AA	Acetic acid
AAl	Activated alumina
AELD	Acid-enhanced limestone defluoridation
AICN	Alumina-impregnated carbon nanotubes
AlLS	Aluminium hydroxide impregnated limestone
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
AAS	Atomic Adsorption Spectroscopy
BIS	Bureau of Indian Standards
BC	Bismuth coal
CaF ₂	Calcium fluorite
CA	Citric acid
С	Community
CNF	Carbon nanofibers
CTAB	Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide
ED	Electro-dialysis
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infra Red
FAP	Fluorapatite
$[F^{-}]_{0}$	Initial fluoride concentration
FC	Fine coke
Н	Household
IISc	Indian Institute of Science
ISE	Ion selective electrode
LSD	Least significant difference
LDPE	Low-density polyethylene
LDH	Layered double hydroxide
LN	Lignite
MOCA	Manganese-oxide-coated-alumina
NF	Nanofiltration
n-HAP@Gel	Nano-hydroxyapatite in gelatine polymatrix
OA	Oxalic acid

Abbreviations used in the thesis

Abbreviations and symbols

PA	Phosphoric acid	
$[PA]_0$	Initial phosphoric acid concentration	
PACLT	Phosphoric acid-crushed limestone treatment	
PAELD	Phosphoric acid-enhanced limestone defluoridation	
р	Pearson's correlation	
p-HAP	Porous-hydroxyapatite	
PHED	Public Health Engineering Department	
RO	Reverse osmosis	
RPM	Revolutions Per Minute	
SI_{f}	Saturation index of fluorite	
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences	
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy	
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy	
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis	
TISAB	Total ionic strength adjustment buffer	
THA	Treated hydrated alumina	
TCLP	Toxicity characteristics leaching procedure	
UHA	Untreated hydrated alumina	
US	United State	
USEPA	United State Environmental Protection Agency	
WHO	World Health Organization	
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectra	
XRD	X-ray Diffraction	

Symbols used in the thesis

°C	degree centigrade
m	meter
cm	centimeter
eV	electron volt
g	gram
W	weight

Abbreviations and symbols

L	liter
М	mole
mg/L	milligram per liter
mg/g	milligram per gram
mL	milliliter
min	minute
μΜ	micromole
μm	micrometer
mM	millimolar
mol/L	mol per liter
mL/h	milliliter per hour
mm	milimeter
h	hour
S	second
Κ	Kelvin
J	Joule
S	siemen
V	volume
W	weight
θ	theta
α	alpha
λ	lamda
τ	tau