

List of Figures

1.1	Feynmann diagram of Deep Inelastic Scattering	6
1.2	Ladder diagram for DIS in LLQ^2	17
1.3	Helicity conservation at hadronic vertex in QPM (a) and QCD improved parton model (b). The arrows represent the spin orientations [57].	19
1.4	Leading order Boson Gluon Fusion (BGF) diagram for heavy quark production in ep -collisions.	22
1.5	Gluon distribution function extracted at HERA [11].	24
1.6	The reduced DIS cross section as a function of $y^2/(1 + (1 - y)^2)$ [11]	27
2.1	$T^2(t) = T_0.T(t)$ and $T^3(t) = T_1.T(t)$ versus $Q^2(GeV^2)$	45
2.2	t -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and results of DL model.	53
2.3	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and results of DL model.	54
2.4	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of MSTW08.	55

2.5	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of CT10.	56
2.6	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of ABM11.	57
2.7	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of NNPDF2.3.	58
2.8	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1 data and the theoretical prediction of Boroun et al. (GRB)	59
3.1	t -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and results of DL model.	71
3.2	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and results of DL model.	72
3.3	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of MSTW08.	73
3.4	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of CT10.	74
3.5	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of ABM11.	75

3.6	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of NNPDF2.3.	76
3.7	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1 data and the theoretical prediction of Boroun (GRB) [13].	77
3.8	Comparison of t -evolution results of F_L^g structure function predicted by Regge theory approach and Taylor expansion method and DL model. .	79
3.9	Comparison of x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function predicted by Regge theory approach and Taylor expansion method and DL model. .	80
3.10	Comparison of x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function predicted by Regge theory approach and Taylor expansion method and MSTW08. .	81
3.11	Comparison of x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function predicted by Regge theory approach and Taylor expansion method and CT10. . . .	82
3.12	Comparison of x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function predicted by Regge theory approach and Taylor expansion method and ABM11. . .	83
3.13	Comparison of x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function predicted by Regge theory approach and Taylor expansion method and NNPDF2.3. .	84
4.1	Sensitivity of our results of F_L^g structure function in LO with respect to the expansion point of gluon density at $z = 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$ in comparison with H1 data and DL model.	94
4.2	Sensitivity of our results of F_L^g structure function in NLO and NNLO with respect to the expansion point of gluon density at $z = 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$ in comparison with H1 data and DL model.	96

4.3	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and results of DL model.	98
4.4	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of MSTW08.	99
4.5	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of CT10.	100
4.6	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of ABM11.	101
4.7	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of NNPDF2.3.	102
4.8	comparison of our x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function with the results of Sarkar et al (CS) and Boroun et al (GRB).	103
5.1	t -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and results of DL model.	115
5.2	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and results of DL model.	116
5.3	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of MSTW08.	117

5.4	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of CT10.	118
5.5	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of ABM11.	119
5.6	x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the theoretical prediction of NNPDF2.3.	120
5.7	x -evolution results of R in comparison with the H1 data and the theoretical prediction of ACOT fit.	121
5.8	Comparison of x -evolution results of F_L^g structure function up to NNLO using Regge theory (RT) and Taylor expansion (TE) method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data and the of DL model.	122
6.1	x -evolution results of F_L^c structure function using Taylor expansion method with the input gluon distribution from DL model.	136
6.2	x -evolution results of F_2^c structure function using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data.	137
6.3	x -evolution results of the ratio of the charm quark structure functions R^c using Taylor expansion method.	138
6.4	x -evolution results of charm quark reduced cross section σ_r^c using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data.	139
6.5	Comparison of our results of F_L^c at $Q^2 = 20, 200 GeV^2$ using Taylor expansion method with the results of colour dipole model (CDM) and Boroun et al (GRB).	140

6.6	Comparison of our results of F_2^c at $Q^2 = 20, 200 GeV^2$ using Taylor expansion method with the results of DL, colour dipole model (CDM) and Boroun et al (GRB).	140
6.7	Results of the charm content of F_L structure function K_L^c with respect to x at $Q^2 = 20, 200 GeV^2$ using Taylor expansion method.	141
6.8	x -evolution results of F_L^c structure function using Regge theory with the input gluon distribution from DL model.	143
6.9	x -evolution results of F_2^c structure function using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data.	144
6.10	x -evolution results of the ratio of the charm quark structure function R^c using Regge theory.	145
6.11	x -evolution results of the charm quark reduced cross section σ_r^c using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data.	146
6.12	Comparison of our results of F_L^c at $Q^2 = 20, 200 GeV^2$ using Regge theory with the results of CD model and Boroun et al (GRB).	147
6.13	Comparison of our results of F_2^c at $Q^2 = 20, 200 GeV^2$ using Regge theory with the results of DL, CD model and Boroun et al (GRB).	147
6.14	Results of the charm content of F_L structure function K_L^c with respect to x at $Q^2 = 20, 200 GeV^2$ using Regge theory.	148
6.15	x -evolution results of F_L^b structure function using Taylor expansion method with the input gluon distribution from DL model.	150
6.16	x -evolution results of F_2^b structure function using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data.	151
6.17	x -evolution results of the ratio of the beauty quark structure functions R^b using Taylor expansion method	152
6.18	x -evolution results of the beauty quark reduced cross section σ_r^b using Taylor expansion method in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data. . . .	153

6.19	Comparison of our results of F_2^b at $Q^2 = 200\text{GeV}^2$ using Taylor expansion method with the results of MSTW 08.	154
6.20	Results of the beauty content of F_L structure function K_L^b with respect to x at $Q^2 = 25, 200\text{GeV}^2$ using Taylor expansion method.	154
6.21	x -evolution results of F_L^b structure function using Regge theory with the input gluon distribution from DL model.	156
6.22	x -evolution results of F_2^b structure function using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data.	157
6.23	x -evolution results of the ratio of the beauty quark structure functions R^b using Regge theory.	158
6.24	x -evolution results of the beauty quark reduced cross section σ_r^b using Regge theory in comparison with the H1, ZEUS data.	159
6.25	Comparison of our results of F_2^b at $Q^2 = 200\text{GeV}^2$ using Regge theory with the results of MSTW08 parameterization.	160
6.26	Results of the beauty content of F_L structure function K_L^b with respect to x at $Q^2 = 25, 200\text{GeV}^2$ using Regge theory.	160
6.27	Comparison of our results of σ_r^c obtained by Taylor expansion (TE) method and Regge theory (RT).	161
6.28	Comparison of our results of σ_r^b obtained by Taylor expansion (TE) method and Regge theory (RT).	162
6.29	Sensitivity of our results of σ_r^b and σ_r^c with mass renormalization scale μ obtained by Taylor expansion (TE) method and Regge theory (RT). . .	163

