

List of Figures

Figure	Caption	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Biomedical applications of nanosystem	2
Figure 1.2	Schematic diagram represents the hydrogen nuclear spins in external magnetic field (a) parallel (b) antiparallel	6
Figure 1.3	Schematic diagram showing the principle of proton relaxation	6
Figure 1.4	Schematic showing T_1 and T_2 relaxation dynamics and inset reveal spin echo sequences	8
Figure 1.5	Schematic diagram representing the development of magneto-fluorescent hybrid nanosystem and applications of these nanosystems in imaging	12
Figure 1.6	Schematic representation depicts synthesis process and the nanosystem synthesized in the present thesis	13
Figure 2.1	A typical ZFC and FC curve of magnetic nanosystem	25
Figure 2.2	A typical ferromagnetic M-H curve	26
Figure 3.1	Schematic diagram represents the synthesis (a-c) and ligand exchange (d) of magnetite nanoparticles	34
Figure 3.2	Figure 3.2 TEM micrographs of IO@S and IO@T are shown in (a) and (b), respectively, while (c) shows the particle size distribution profile of IO@T NPs, (d) XRD pattern of IO@S and IO@T, (e) high resolution TEM image of IO@T NPs, (f) magnetic hysteresis loop of IO@S and IO@T NPs at room temperature. Left upper inset shows magnetic moment vs temperature for zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC) of IO@T sample. The right lower inset shows IO@T NPs behavior under magnetic field	35
Figure 3.3	FTIR spectra of IO@S and IO@T Fe_3O_4 NPs. Inset: Photos of particle distribution between chloroform (bottom) and water (top)	36
Figure 3.4	Transverse relaxivity of magnetite NPs. (a) The axial image of microtube filled with magnetite solution ($0.9 \mu\text{g/ml}$), (b) the	37

variation of MRI signal intensity with echo time at a different concentration of magnetite NPs. Numbers in parenthesis below each curve represent the T_2 value and (c) variation of transverse relaxation rate (R_2) with a concentration of magnetite NPs. The slope indicates the relaxivity of the magnetite

- Figure 3.5** Schematic diagram represents the synthesis and ligand exchange of FePt nanodots. (a) Precursors [Oleic acid (A) and Oleylamine (B)], (b) Oleic acid and Oleylamine@ FePt and (c) TMAOH @ FePt . The surface capping is composed of (OH⁻) anions (●) and (CH₃)₄N⁺ cation (←●) 38
- Figure 3.6** Comparative FTIR spectra of (a) hydrophobic and (b) hydrophilic of FePt nanodots 39
- Figure 3.7** (a) HR-TEM micrograph (inset showing nanodot), (b) Estimated size distribution, (c) XRD pattern (inset showing deconvolved main peak), (d) EDX pattern and (e) Room temperature hysteresis behavior of hydrophilic FePt nanodots 40
- Figure 3.8** Measurement of transverse relaxivity of FePt nanodots. (a) MRI image of microfuge tube containing FePt nanodots in water at different echo time (8, 24, 40, 56 and 72 ms), (b) Plot of MR image intensity vs echo time for estimation of transverse relaxation time (T_2) and (c) Variation in transverse relaxation rate w. r. t. nanodot concentrations 43
- Figure 3.9** XRD patterns of as-prepared samples obtained from (a) process-A (b) process-B (c) process-C. The magnified patterns ($2\theta = 15$ to 50 degree) of the samples, process A (I), B (II), and C (III), are shown in (d) 45
- Figure 3.10** Schematic mechanistic diagram representing the effect of capping agent (TGA) on the synthesis of FePt MNPs 46
- Figure 3.11** (a) FTIR spectrum (Inset model shows the arrangement of TGA molecule on FePt-A MNPs) (b) zeta potential and (c) 47

thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of as prepared FePt-A MNPs

- Figure 3.12** TEM image of FePt-A MNPs. The red circle marked image part is magnified in subsequent figures (a) FePt MNPs, inset shows the particle-size distribution histogram, after counting 500 individual particles, (b) and (c) magnified part of previous image (a) and (b) respectively, (d) the single particle. The upper and lower inset of (d) indicate selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern and lattice plane of FePt nanocrystals 48
- Figure 3.13** EDX spectrum of FePt-A nanoparticles 49
- Figure 3.14** Magnetization characterization of FePt-A MNPs (a-c) M-H curves at different temperatures of as prepared FePt-A MNPs. (d) magnetization versus temperature curve measured under ZFC and FC condition 50
- Figure 3.15** Transverse relaxivity characterization (a) typical MRI images of microfuge tube containing FePt (0.01 mg/ml in 0.5% agarose gel), (b) estimation of transverse relaxation time (T_2) of water, (c) transverse relaxivity of FePt-A in 0.5% agarose gel 51
- Figure 4.1** Schematic diagram illustrating steps involved and changes in the colour of reaction liquid with temperature variation during the synthesis of CdTe and CdSe fluorescent quantum dots 59
- Figure 4.2** XRD pattern of CdTe and CdSe fluorescent quantum dots 61
- Figure 4.3** HRTEM images of (a) CdTe and (b) CdSe QDs. The inset reveals the respective SAED pattern QD systems 62
- Figure 4.4** EDX spectra of GSH capped (a) CdTe and (b) CdSe QDs. The quantitative data of elements is indicated in each spectrum 63
- Figure 4.5** Surface characterization of GSH capped CdTe and CdSe QDs. (a) Comparative FTIR spectra of pure GSH, and GSH capped CdTe and CdSe QDs (b) zeta potential curve of both the QD nanosystems (c) A comparative TG analysis of pure GSH, and GSH capped 64

CdTe and CdSe QDs

- Figure 4.6** (a) UV-vis absorbance and (b) PL spectra of CdTe and CdSe QD systems in water. PL spectra obtained with 325 nm excitation 66
- Figure 4.7** Deconvoluted PL (a & b) and TRPL (c & d) spectra of CdTe and CdSe QD systems respectively. The solid line is a single exponential fit to the data 67
- Figure 4.8** Viability of RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line compared to control indicated by AB assay when cell was exposed to GSH capped (a) CdTe and (b) CdSe QDs. The error bar was drawn using SD value 71
- Figure 4.9** *In vitro* bright field and fluorescence images of GSH capped CdTe QDs in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. Images were taken in inverted research microscope under (a) bright field, (b) UV, (c) blue and (d) green light 73
- Figure 4.10** *In vitro* bright field and fluorescence images of GSH capped CdSe QDs in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. Images were taken in inverted research microscope under (a) bright field, (b) UV, (c) blue and (d) green light 74
- Figure 4.11** Schematic diagram represents steps involved in synthesis of GSH capped CdS fluorescent QDs 75
- Figure 4.12** XRD pattern of fluorescent CdS QDs 77
- Figure 4.13** Microstructural and compositional characterization of CdS QDs (a) HRTEM image, (b) SAED pattern and (c) EDX with weight and atomic % of element 78
- Figure 4.14** Surface characterization of GSH capped CdS fluorescent QDs. (a) comparative FTIR spectra of pure GSH and CdS QDs (b) zeta potential of CdS QDs and (c) A comparative TG spectra of pure GSH and CdS QDs 78
- Figure 4.15** (a) UV-vis absorbance and (b) PL spectrum of GSH capped CdS QDs system in aqueous suspension. The PL spectrum was taken at 80

excitation 325 nm

- Figure 4.16** (a) Deconvolution of the PL spectrum ($\lambda_{ex} = 325$ nm) and (b) TRPL spectrum of CdS QDs in aqueous suspension 81
- Figure 4.17** Viability of RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line compared to control indicated by AB assay when the cell was exposed to (a) GSH capped CdS QDs. The error bar was drawn using SD value 83
- Figure 4.18** *In vitro* bright field and fluorescence images of GSH capped CdS QDs in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. Images were taken in inverted research microscope under (a) bright field, (b) UV (c) blue and (d) green light 84
- Figure 5.1** Schematic diagrams represent the synthesis of magneto-fluorescent hybrids FePt@CdTe and FePt@CdSe nanosystems 91
- Figure 5.2** XRD patterns of pristine and magneto-fluorescent hybrid nanosystems 93
- Figure 5.3** (a) HRTEM, (c) SAED image and (e) EDX spectrum of FePt@CdTe whereas the (b), (d) and (f) represent the HR-TEM, SAED and EDX spectrum of FePt@CdSe systems respectively 94
- Figure 5.4** (a) Comparative FTIR spectra of TGA capped FePt, pure GSH and GSH capped FePt@CdTe, FePt@CdSe hybrid nanosystems, (b) zeta potential of hybrids and TGA capped FePt MNPs (c) A comparative TG analysis of pure GSH, hybrids and TGA capped FePt MNPs 96
- Figure 5.5** Arrangement of core/shell FePt@CdX, where X= Te/Se 98
- Figure 5.6** (a) UV-vis absorbance and (b) PL of pristine CdTe and CdSe and hybrid FePt@CdTe & FePt@CdSe nanosystem in aqueous suspension. PL excitation wavelength was 325 nm 99
- Figure 5.7** Deconvoluted PL spectra (a & b) and TRPL characterization of (c & d) of FePt@CdTe and FePt@CdSe hybrid nanosystems respectively 101

Figure 5.8	M-H measurements of pure and hybrid nanosystems at different temperatures (a & b), with their enlarged plots (c & d)	103
Figure 5.9	Temperature dependent magnetization measurements (M-T with ZFC/FC conditions) of both pristine and hybrid nanosystems	104
Figure 5.10	Schematic diagram represents synthesis of FePt@CdS hybrid nanosystem	105
Figure 5.11	XRD patterns of FePt, and CdS and FePt@CdS nanosystem	107
Figure 5.12	Microstructural and compositional characterization (a) HRTEM image and (b) EDX spectrum of FePt@CdS nanosystem. Inset in figure (a) depicts, SAED pattern of FePt@CdS hybrid nanosystem	108
Figure 5.13	Surface characterization of FePt@CdS hybrid nanosystem (a) comparative FTIR spectra of pure GSH, FePt@CdS, and FePt@TGA (b) zeta potential of FePt@CdS and FePt@TGA, (c) A comparative TGA study of pure GSH, FePt@CdS and FePt@TGA nanosystem	109
Figure 5.14	Schematic representation of model FePt@CdS nanosystem and shell CdS nanosystem. The model in (a) Core/shell of FePt/CdS (b) fluorescing shell (c) magnetic core (e) CdS layer due to GSH capping on the shell	111
Figure 5.15	(a) UV-vis absorbance spectra of pristine CdS and hybrid FePt@CdS (b) PL spectra of CdS and FePt@CdS nanosystem in aqueous suspension. PL excitation wavelength was 325 nm	111
Figure 5.16	(a) Deconvoluted PL spectrum ($\lambda_{ex} = 325$ nm), (b) TRPL spectrum of FePt@CdS hybrid nanosystem in aqueous suspension	113
Figure 5.17	Magnetic characteristics of FePt@CdS hybrid nanosystem with pristine FePt MNPs (a-c) field dependent (M-H) magnetization curve with (a) 9 T (b) 2 T (c) magnified view of the region close to the zero field (d) temperature dependent (M-T) magnetization curve	115

- Figure 6.1** Viability of RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line compared to control indicated by AB assay when the cell was exposed to GSH capped hybrid FePt@CdTe nanosystem. The error bar was drawn using SD value 123
- Figure 6.2** Viability of RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line compared to control indicated by AB assay when the cell was exposed to GSH capped hybrid FePt@CdSe nanosystem. The error bar was drawn using SD value 124
- Figure 6.3** Viability of RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line compared to control indicated by AB assay when the cell was exposed to GSH capped hybrid FePt@CdS nanosystem. The error bar was drawn using SD value 124
- Figure 6.4** *In vitro* bright field and fluorescence images of GSH capped FePt@CdTe QDs in RAW macrophage cells. Images were taken by fluorescence microscope under (a) bright field and at an excitation wavelength of (b) UV (c) blue and (d) green light 125
- Figure 6.5** *In vitro* bright field and fluorescence images of GSH capped FePt@CdSe QDs in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. Images were taken by fluorescence microscope under (a) bright field and at an excitation wavelength of (b) UV (c) blue and (d) green light 126
- Figure 6.6** *In vitro* bright field and fluorescence images of GSH capped FePt@CdS QDs in RAW macrophage cells. Images were taken by fluorescence microscope under (a) bright field and at an excitation wavelength of (b) UV (c) blue and (d) green light 126
- Figure 6.7** Transverse relaxivity characterization (a) typical MR images of microfuge tube containing FePt@CdTe (0.01 mg/ml in 0.5% agarose gel), (b) estimation of transverse relaxation time (T_2) of water and (c) transverse relaxivity of FePt@CdTe in 0.5% agarose gel 128
- Figure 6.8** Transverse relaxivity characterization (a) typical MR images of 128

microfuge tube containing FePt@CdSe (0.01 mg/ml in 0.5% agarose gel), (b) estimation of transverse relaxation time (T_2) of water and (c) transverse relaxivity of FePt@CdSe in 0.5% agarose gel

- Figure 6.9** Transverse relaxivity characterization (a) typical MR images of microfuge tube containing FePt@CdS (0.01 mg/ml in 0.5% agarose gel), (b) estimation of transverse relaxation time (T_2) of water and (c) transverse relaxivity of FePt@CdS in 0.5% agarose gel 129
- Figure 6.10** Comparative transverse relaxivity rate of pristine and hybrid nanosystems 130