LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2

Fig. 2.1. Mechanism of action of probiotics; adapted from Ng et al. 11

CHAPTER 3

Fig. 3.1. Sample collection sites 35

Fig. 3.2. Clustering structure of lactic acid bacteria isolates and indicator strains. Dendrogaram constructed using Ward Linkage, SPSS; version 18. 39

Fig. 3.3. Cluster analysis of *Bacilli* based on biochemical characteristics. Dendrogaram constructed using Ward Linkage, SPSS; version 18. 42

Fig. 3.4. Pie diagram showing microbial diversity of fermented foods based on biochemical characteristics 43

Fig. 3.5. Box plot showing probiotic properties of isolates from different origin: (A) Acid tolerance, (B) Bile tolerance and (C) Hydrophobicity. Abbreviations: MS: fermented mustard seeds, FV: fermented fruits and vegetables, SS: fermented soybean, BS: Fermented bamboo shoot and W: alcoholic products 44

Fig. 3.6. Matrix Hierarchical Cluster Analysis of the isolates and the reference strains. Lane 1: Acid tolerance, lane 2: hydrophobicity and lane 3: bile tolerance. 45

CHAPTER 4

Fig. 4.1. Phylogenetic tree showing DS1 with closely related species based upon 16S rRNA sequences. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at branch nodes. 58

Fig. 4.2. Phylogenetic tree showing AMDK2 with closely related species based upon 16S rRNA sequences. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at branch nodes. 58

Fig. 4.3.	Probiotic adhesion to Caco-2 cell line; (A) control Caco-2 cell, Gram staining depicting adhesion of (B) *P. pentosaceus* DS1 and (C) *L. monocytogenes* MTCC 1407 observed under 100X, (D) Quantitative representation of adhesion showing percentage adhesion expressed as bar diagram.	60
Fig. 4.4.	(A) Amplification of pediocin gene; lane 1: 1Kb+ ladder, lane 2: Amplicon of size 406 bp. (b) Phylogenetic tree showing *P. pentosaceus* DS1pediocin with closely related sequences.	61
Fig. 4.5.	(A) Multiple sequence alignment of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* DS1 pediocin gene (B) Secondary structure deduced from PSIPRED server.	62
Fig. 4.6.	Antimicrobial activity of culture supernatant against *Listeria monocytogenes* MTCC 839; (A) control (untreated), (B) pH neutralized, (C) catalase treated, (D) proteinase K treated and (E) antibiotic (ampicillin)	63
Fig. 4.7.	(A) Autoaggregation abilities of probiotic and pathogens, (B) Bacterial adhesion to hydrocarbon assay; different letters signify statistical difference ($p<0.05$) as calculated by Tukey's Multiple Comparison test, OriginPro 8.5. Abbreviations: 839- *L. monocytogenes* MTCC 839, AMDK2- *L. monocytogenes* strain AMDK2, DS1- *Pediococcus pentosaceus* DS1	64
Fig. 4.8.	Probiotic- mediated competition, exclusion and displacement of *L. monocytogenes* strains, different letters signify statistical difference ($p<0.05$) as calculated by Tukey's Multiple Comparison test, OriginPro 8.5. Abbreviations: 839- *L. monocytogenes* MTCC 839, AMDK2- *L. monocytogenes* strain AMDK2, DS1- *Pediococcus pentosaceus* DS1	64
Fig. 4.9.	Scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of biofilm formed by *L. monocytogenes* MTCC 839 and *L. monocytogenes* AMDK2, (A) MTCC 839 control, (B) *L. monocytogenes* MTCC 839 treated, (C) *L. monocytogenes* AMDK2 control and (D) *L. monocytogenes*AMDK2 treated.	66
Fig. 4.10.	(A) Adhesion and (B) invasion inhibition of *L. monocytogenes*, student's t-test, unpaired, OriginPro 8.5. Abbreviations: 839- *L. monocytogenes* MTCC 839, AMDK2- *L. monocytogenes* strain AMDK2. $P<0.05$ signifies statistical difference.	67

Fig. 4.11 Modelled growth curves of (A) *L. monocytogenes* MTCC 839 and (B) *L. monocytogenes* AMDK2, fitted using the DMfit curve-fitting program; version 3.5. 68

CHAPTER 5

Fig. 5.1. Phylogenetic tree showing *Bacillus* sp.NK7 with closely related species based upon 16S rRNA sequences. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at branch nodes. 82

Fig. 5.2. Probiotic adhesion to Caco-2 cell line; (A) control Caco-2 cell, Gram staining depicting adhesion of (B) *Bacillus* sp. NK7 and (C) *Lactobacillus plantarum* MTCC 1407 observed under 100X, (D) Quantitative representation of adhesion showing percentage adhesion expressed as bar diagram. 85

Fig. 5.3. Single factor experiments showing effect of different factors on antimicrobial activity against *Bacillus cereus* 430; effect of (A) time, (B) Temperature, (C) pH, (D) inoculum size, (E) carbon source and (F) nitrogen source.*indicates statistical difference at $P<0.05$ 86

Fig. 5.4. Optimization of different parameters antimicrobial activity; (A), (B) and (C) are the Design- Expert plots depicting effect of different variables in activity, (D) Multiresponse optimization showing desirability ramps for numerical optimization of parameters for antimicrobial activity 89

Fig. 5.5. (A) Bacteriocin activity at different time intervals and zones of inhibition against *Bacillus cereus* MTCC 430 observed in (B) optimized and (C) unoptimized media. 90

Fig 5.6. The inhibitory activity of BLIS on the growth of *B. cereus* MTCC 430. 91

Fig. 5.7. (A) Native PAGE of bacteriocin and (B) in gel activity against *Bacillus cereus* MTCC 430 92

Fig. 5.8. Effect of enzymes, pH and temperature on bacteriocin action. *indicates significant difference ($P<0.05$). 93

Fig. 5.9. Pattern of hemolysis on blood agar; (A) *Bacillus* sp. NK7 and (B) *Bacillus cereus* MTCC 430 94

CHAPTER 6

Fig. 6.1. Identification of the strain *C. tropicalis* BSS7. (A) Colony morphology in Hichrome *Candida* differential agar. (B) Molecular typing using ITS (internal transcribed spacer)-primer (Lane 2) and 26S rRNA gene D1/D2 primer (Lane 3); Lane 1 indicates GeneRuler 1 Kb Plus DNA ladder (Fermentas). Phylogenetic tree showing the position of BSS7 with closely related species based upon (C) 5.8S ITS rRNA and (D) D1/D2 26S rRNA sequences. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at branch nodes. 107

Fig. 6.2. Phylogenetic tree showing the position of *L. paracasei* D6 with closely related species based upon 16S rDNA sequence. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at branch nodes. 108

Fig. 6.3. Antifungal activities of *L. paracasei* D6 against (A) *C. tropicalis* BSS7 and (B) *C. albicans* MTCC 3017 visualized as halos in agar overlay method. MIC values of *Lactobacillus* metabolites against (C) *C. tropicalis* BSS7 and (D) *C. albicans* MTCC 3017 respectively. 109

Fig. 6.4. Biofilm inhibition assay. (A) Biofilm inhibition by various concentrations of *Lactobacillus* metabolites; bars with red borders indicate BIC_{50}. Biofilm formation by *C. tropicalis* BSS7 on microtitre plates (B) without treatment and (D) treatment with BIC_{50}. Biofilm formation by *C. albicans* MTCC 3017 (C) without treatment and (E) treatment with BIC_{50} as observed under light microscope (20X). 110

Fig. 6.5. Germ tube inhibition assay. (A) *C. tropicalis* BSS7 control, (B) Candida *albicans* MTCC 3017 control, (C) *C. tropicalis* BSS7 treated with BIC_{50} and (D) *C. albicans* MTCC 3017 treated with BIC_{50}, as observed under light microscope (40X). 111

Fig. 6.6. *Candida* adhesion inhibition assay. (A) Uninfected Caco-2 cell, (B) Caco-2 cells + BSS7 (control 1), (C) Caco-2 cells+ MTCC 3017 (control 2), (D) Caco-2 cells + BSS7 + BIC_{50} of AFM (test1), (E) Caco-2 cells + MTCC 3017 + BIC_{50} AFM (test 2) and (F) Spectrophotometric adhesion inhibition assay; different letters signify statistical difference ($P<0.05$), estimated by Turkey's Multiple comparison test, GraphPad Prism, Ver 5.0 112

Fig. 6.7. Identification of antifungal metabolites. (A): Relative abundance of compounds in antifungal metabolites of *L. paracasei D6* identified by GC-MS. (B): Mass spectra of the most abundant compound. 113

Fig. 6.8. Optimization of different parameters for the inhibition of *C. tropicalis* BSS7 in fruit juice. (A) DESIGN- EXPERT Plot showing effect of different concentrations of antifungal metabolites and treatment time for the inhibition of *C. tropicalis* BSS7 in fruit juice. (B) Multiresponse optimization showing desirability ramps for numerical optimization of parameters for the inhibition of *C. tropicalis* BSS7. 116

CHAPTER 7

Fig. 7.1. (A) Molecular typing of Sc and Sb isolates by using ITS (internal transcribed spacer)-primer (Lane 2 and 4) and 26S rRNA gene D1/D2 region (Lane 3and 5); Lane 1 & 6 indicates GeneRulerTM 1 Kb Plus DNA ladder (Fermentas); Phylogenetic tree showing *S. cerevisiae* ARDMC1 with closely related species based upon (B) 5.8S ITS rRNA and (c) D1/D2 26S rRNA sequences. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at branch nodes. 130

Fig. 7.2. Adhesion to Caco-2 cell line as observed under inverted microscope (20X), a) Control, b) *S. cerevisiae* ARDMC1, c) *S. boulardii* and d) % Adhesion of probiotic isolate to Caco-2 Cell line 132

Fig. 7.3. SEM image of *S. cerevisiae* ARDMC1grown in YM broth without cholesterol, (B) *S. cerevisiae* ARDMC1 grown with cholesterol, (C) cholesterol assimilation by *S. cerevisiae* ARDMC1 spent broth and resting cells, (D) SEM image of *S. boulardii* grown in YM broth without cholesterol, (E) *S. boulardii* grown with cholesterol, (F) cholesterol assimilation by *S. boulardii* spent broth and resting cells. 133

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1.	Definition of probiotics	8
Table 2.2.	Probiotic efficacy of different yeast strains	14
Table 2.3.	Isolation of different probiotic bacteria from non- dairy fermented products	15
Table 2.4.	Incorporation of probiotic bacteria into functional foods	16

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1.	Different types of fermented food collected from various places of Assam and Arunachal Pradesh	36
Table 3.2.	Physiological and biochemical characterization of lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacilli*)	38
Table 3.3.	Physiological and biochemical characterization of lactic acid bacteria (*Cocci*)	40
Table 3.4.	Physiological and biochemical characterization of spore forming *Bacilli*	41

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1.	Tolerance to simulated gastrointestinal transit tolerance; (A) simulated gastric fluid tolerance, (B) simulated intestinal fluid tolerance	59
Table 4.2.	Estimation of microbial growth parameters	68

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1.	Antimicrobial spectrum of the strain NK7	83
Table 5.2.	Simulated gastrointestinal tolerance of probiotics; (A) simulated gastric fluid tolerance and (B) simulated intestinal fluid tolerance	84
Table 5.3.	Box- Behnken Design arrangement for the independent variables and the response	87

Table 5.4. ANOVA for Response Surface Quadratic Model 88
Table 5.5. Antibiotic susceptibility test 94

CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1. Predicted and experimental values of *C. tropicalis* inhibition 114

Table 6.2. ANNOVA table for the quadratic model of inhibition 115

CHAPTER 7

Table 7.1(A) Simulated gastric fluid tolerance test 132

Table 7.1(B) Simulated intestinal fluid tolerance test 132

Table 7.2. Autoaggrgation, coaggregation and hydrophobicity of the isolate ARDMC1 and *Saccharomyces boulardii* 133

Table 7.3. Effect of probiotic isolates on serum lipids level (mg/dL) and body weight (g) 138