List of Figures

Figure	Caption	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Structural representation of most significant conducting polymers.	2
Figure 1.2	Diagrammatic representation of band gap in insulator, semiconductor and metal.	5
Figure 1.3	A simplified schematic representation of a conjugated backbone: a chain containing alternating single and double bonds.	7
Figure 1.4	Schematic diagram of band formation in conducting polymers	7
Figure 1.5	Schematic representation of molecular ionization with energies involved in the process	12
Figure 1.6	Illustration of the band structure of a polymeric chain in the case of (A) a vertical ionization process and (B) the formation of a polaron (C) formation of bipolaron	13
Figure 1.7	Illustration of formation of polarons and bipolaron in PEDOT upon doping	13
Figure 1.8	Formation of Polaron and Solitons in trans-polyacetylene	14
Figure 1.9	Chemical polymerization via radical mechanism	15
Figure 1.10	Experimental set up for electrochemical polymerization of conducting polymer	17
Figure 1.11	Electrochemical polymerization of EDOT into PEDOT.	17
Figure 1.12	Schematic diagram of Biosensor.	22
Figure 1.13	The flow chart depicting the classification of Biosensors.	23

Figure 1.14	Schematic Representation of interaction of enzyme with its substrate.	28
Figure 1.15	The diagrammatic presentation of (A) Adsorption (B) covalent bonding (C) cross linking (D) entrapment and (E) encapsulation methods of immobilization of enzyme.	30
Figure 1.16	Diagrammatic presentation of Immobilization of antibodies.	34
Figure 1.17	Chemical structure of 3, 4-ethylenedioxythiophene.	36
Figure 2.1	Typical Nyquist Plot for a purely capacitive circuit and the corresponding circuit model	44
Figure 2.2	Nyquist Plot for a simplified Randles cell and the corresponding circuit model	45
Figure 2.3	Nyquist Plot for a Mixed Randles cell and the corresponding circuit model	46
Figure 2.4	(A) Potential scan from an initial value (E_i) to final value (E_f) with respect time and (B) The cyclic voltammogram of a Nernstian electrochemical reaction	47
Figure 2.5	The graphically demonstration showing the slope of the forward and reverse energy barriers being related to the magnitude of the respective rate constant.	56
Figure 2.6	Michealis Menten Plot of rate of reaction versus concentration of substrate	57
Figure 2.7	The graph of double reciprocal equation or Line-weaver Burk Plot	60
Figure 2.8	Schematic representation of covalent bonding using carbodiimide cross linking.	61
Figure 3.1	Experimental Set Up	64
Figure 3.2	Cyclic voltammogram of polymerization of EDOT on GCE	65
Figure 3.3	Flow chart representation of synthesis of AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE	65

Figure 3.4	Cyclic Voltammogram pattern of deposition of Au-NPs onto PEDOT/GCE	66
Figure 3.5	Flow chart representation of synthesis of BSA/Anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE	67
Figure 3.6	Flow chart representation of synthesis of PEDOT-GO/GCE electrode	69
Figure 3.7	The experimental set up representing polymerization of PEDOT-GO composite and the GCE modified with PEDOT-GO film.	69
Figure 3.8	Cyclic Voltammogram pattern of electrochemical polymerization of (A) EDOT in presence of GO as dopant (B) Deposition of Au-NPs onto PEDOT-GO/GCE.	70
Figure 3.9	Flow chart representation of synthesis of PEDOT-PSS/GCE electrode	74
Figure 3.10	Flow chart representation of synthesis of PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE electrode	75
Figure 3.11	Cyclic Voltammogram pattern of electrochemical polymerization of EDOT in presence of (A) PSS and (B) PSS and *f*MWCNTs .	76
Figure 4.1	FESEM Micrograph of (A) PEDOT, (B) AuNPs/PEDOT, (C) BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT and (D) AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT films	89
Figure 4.2	Contact angle measurement of (A) PEDOT and (B) AuNPs/PEDOT film	90
Figure 4.3	FTIR Spectra of PEDOT, AuNPs/PEDOT and BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT films.	91
Figure 4.4	EIS pattern of all modified electrodes (A) Nyquist Plot	93

(Z′ vs. -Z″), (B) Bode plot (Z vs. Log ω) and (C) Phase shifts in 100mM PBS and 5mM [Fe(CN) 6] $^{3-/4-}$.

Figure 4.5 The Cyclic Voltammogram of (A) Bare GCE, PEDOT/GCE and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100mM PBS and Cyclic Voltammogram of (B) (a) Bare GCE, (b) PEDOT/GCE and (c) AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE and (C) (a) BSA/Anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE and (b) AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100mM PBS and 5mM [Fe(CN) $_6$] $^{3-/4-}$ at 5 mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl 95

Figure 4.6 The Cyclic voltammogram of (A) PEDOT/GCE, (B) AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE, (C) BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE and (D) AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100mM PBS and 5mM [Fe(CN) $_6$] $^{3-/4-}$ at scan rate ranging from 5 to 30mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl 97

Figure 4.7 The variation of Ipa and Ipc of PEDOT/GCE, Au-NPs/PEDOT/GCE and BSA/anti-AFB1/ AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE w.r.t square root of scan rate. 97

Figure 4.8 The variation of Ip of (A) BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE and (B) AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE vs. Scan rate. 100

Figure 4.9 Variation of peak voltages (E_{pa} and E_{pc}) of (A) PEDOT/GCE and (B) AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE versus Log (scan rate) in 100mM PBS and 5mM [Fe(CN) $_6$] $^{3-/4-}$. 101

Figure 4.10 Variation of peak voltages ΔE_p (E_{pa}-E_{pc}) vs. $\nu^{1/2}$ of PEDOT/GCE, AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE, BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE versus square root of scan rate. 102

Figure 4.11 (A) Cyclic voltammogram of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100 mM PBS and 5 mM 103

$Fe[(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ and (B) The variation of I_{pa} and I_{pc} with respect to the number of cycles

Figure 4.12 The variation of ΔI of BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100 mM PBS and 5 mM $Fe[(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ containing 30ng/mL of AFB_1 with different methanol concentration. 104

Figure 4.13 The DPV pattern of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE containing 30 ng/mL of AFB_1 in 100mM PBS and 5mM $Fe[(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ at an interval of 6 min, 12 min and 18 min. 105

Figure 4.14 (A) The DPV pattern of BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE with different concentration of AFB_1 (1-60 ng/mL) in 100mM PBS and 5mM $Fe[(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ (B) The Calibration plot of change in current (ΔI) vs. Concentration in ng/mL 106

Figure 4.15 The linearity plot of BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE towards the detection of AFB_1. 107

Figure 4.16 The DPV variation of peak current of BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100mM PBS and 5mM $Fe[(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ containing real maize sample spiked with (A) 20 ng/mL and (B) 40 ng/mL respectively. 108

Figure 4.17 The cyclic voltammogram of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100 mM PBS in absence and presence of different concentration of AThCl at a scan rate of 5mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl 110

Figure 4.18 The cyclic voltammogram of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100mM PBS and 2mM AThCl in different scan rate and (B) Linear variation of peak current (I_{pa}) vs. square root of scan rate ($\nu^{1/2}$) 111

Figure 4.19 The DPV pattern of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100mM PBS and in presence of different concentration 112

	of AThCl , (B) calibration plot of peak current vs. concentration of AThCl in ng/mL and (C) Lineweaver Burk plot of reciprocal of current and concentration	
Figure 4.20	The CV of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 2mM AThCl and 100mM PBS of different pH ranging 6.5 to 8 and (B) Plot of variation of the peak potential with pH of the solution	113
Figure 4.21	The DPV of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 2 mM AThCl and 100 mM PBS in presence of 10 ng/mL MP after different incubation time and (B) Plot of variation of the I(%) with time in min.	114
Figure 4.22	The DPV pattern of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT/GCE in 100mM PBS and 2mM AThCl and different concentration 0.1 ng/mL to 55 ng/mL, B) Calibration of plot of I (%) versus concentration (C) Linear response towards MP inhibition.	115
Figure 4.23	Residual enzyme activity percentage (REA %) of AChE after methyl parathion inhibition	116
Figure 4.24	Shelf life study of the immobilized AChE and its activity of AThCl	117
Figure 5.1	FESEM Micrograph of (A) PEDOT-GO film, (B) AuNP/PEDOT-GO film, (C) BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO film and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO film	123
Figure 5.2	Contact angle measurement of (A) PEDOT-GO and (B) AuNPs/PEDOT-GO film.	124
Figure 5.3	FTIR spectra of (a) PEDOT-GO/GCE, (b) AuNP/PEDOT-GO/GCE, (c) BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE and (d) AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE.	125
Figure 5.4	EIS pattern of all modified electrodes (A) Nyquist Plot	127

(Z′ vs. -Z″), (B) Bode plot (Z vs. Log ω) and (C) Phase shifts in 100mM PBS and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$

Figure 5.5 The CV patterns of bare (A) GCE, PEDOT-GO/GCE, AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS (pH 7.4). (B) The CV of Bare GCE, PEDOT-GO/GCE, AuNP/PEDOT-GO/GCE and (C) The CV of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ at 20 mV/s. 130

Figure 5.6 The Cyclic Voltammogram of (A) PEDOT-GO/GCE (B) AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE, (C) BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE and (D) AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ at a scan rate ranging from 5 mV/s to 30 mV/s 132

Figure 5.7 The variation of I_{pa} and I_{pc} of AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE, PEDOT-GO/GCE, BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ with $\nu^{1/2}$ in V/s. 133

Figure 5.8 The linear variation of peak current (I_p) of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE and AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE versus ν in V/s. 134

Figure 5.9 The variation E_{pa} & E_{pc} of (A) PEDOT-GO/GCE, (B) AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE, (C) BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE, (D) AChE/ AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE w.r.t Log (ν) (50 to 75 mV/s) 136

Figure 5.10 The variation ΔE_p of PEDOT-GO/GCE, AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE, BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE, 137

AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE with $\nu^{1/2}$ in mV/s.

Figure 5.11 Cyclic voltammogram of (A) AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE and (B) BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100 mM PBS and 5mM $Fe[(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ at 20 mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl. 138

Figure 5.12 (A) The Cyclic voltammogram of AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE (B) DPV studies of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE (C) Nyquist Plot of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in a solution containing 100 mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5 mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ with pH ranging from 6.8 to 8.2. 140

Figure 5.13 The variation of current in DPV of BSA/an AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100 mM PBS (pH 7. and 5mM $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ containing 20 ng/mL AFB_1 aft different incubation time (B) The variation of ΔI with tir in min. 140

Figure 5.14 The DPV pattern of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE with concentration of AFB_1 (0-90 ng/mL) in 100 mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5 mM $[Fe\ (CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$. (B) The calibration plot of change in current (ΔI) vs. concentration in ng/mL. 142

Figure 5.15 Linear slope of ΔI vs. Concentration in ng/mL of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE towards AFB_1. 142

Figure 5.16 The linear response of the BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in varying concentration of AFB_1 in maize matrix. 143

Figure 5.17 The DPV current variation of peak current of BSA/anti-AFB_1/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5mM $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ containing real corn sample spiked with (A) 20 ng/mL and (B) 40 ng/mL, respectively. 144

Figure 5.18 The cyclic voltammogram of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS in absence and presence of different concentration of AThCl at a scan rate of 5mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl. 146

Figure 5.19 (A) The cyclic voltammogram of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS and 2mM AThCl at different scan rate and (B) Linear variation of peak current (I_{pa}) vs. square root of scan rate ($v^{1/2}$). 147

Figure 5.20 (A) The DPV pattern of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS and in presence of different concentration of 0.1 mM to 5 mM AThCl and (B) Calibration plot and (C) Lineweaver Burk Plot. 148

Figure 5.21 The DPV pattern of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE in 100mM PBS and 2mM of AThCl in different concentration of MP and (B) Calibration curve of I (%) with concentration in ng/mL. 149

Figure 5.22 The linear response of AChE/AuNPs/PEDOT-GO/GCE towards the detection of MP. 150

Figure 5.23 (A) Residual enzyme activity percentage (REA %) of AChE after methyl parathion inhibition and (B) Shelf life study of the immobilized AChE and its activity towards AThCl 150

Figure 6.1 FESEM Micrograph of (A) PEDOT-PSS film, (B) PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs, (C) BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs film and (D) AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs film. 157

Figure 6.2 Contact angle measurements of (A) PEDOT-PSS and (B) PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs film. 158

Figure 6.3 FTIR spectra of (A) PEDOT-PSS/GCE, (B) PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE, (C) BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE and (d) AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE. 159

Figure 6.4 EIS pattern of all modified electrodes (A) Nyquist Plot (Z′ vs. -Z″), (B) Bode plot (Z vs. Log ω) and (C) Phase shifts in 100 mM PBS and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$. 161

Figure 6.5 The CV patterns of bare (A) (a) GCE, (b) PEDOT-PSS/GCE and (c) PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE (B) The CV of BSA/anti-AFB_1/ PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE and AChE/ PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 100mM PBS (pH 7.2) and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ at 15 mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl. 163

Figure 6.6 The Cyclic Voltammogram of (A) PEDOT-PSS/GCE (B) PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE, (C) AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE and (D) BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 100mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ at a scan rate ranging from 5 mV/s to 30 mV/s. 165

Figure 6.7 The variation of I_{pa} and I_{pc} of PEDOT-PSS/GCE, PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE, AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE and BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 100mM PBS and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ with $v^{1/2}$ in V/s. 166

Figure 6.8 The variation of I_p of (A) BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE and (B) AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE versus scan rate in V/s. 167

Figure 6.9 The variation of E_{pa} & E_{pc} of (A) PEDOT-PSS/GCE, (B) PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE (C) AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE and (D) BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE with Log (v) (50 mV/s to 75 mV/s). 169

Figure 6.10 The variation of ΔE_p of PEDOT-PSS/GCE, PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE, AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE and BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE with $v^{1/2}$ (5 mV/s to 30 mV/s). 169

Figure 6.11 Cyclic voltammogram of (A) PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs and (B) BSA/anti-AFB_1/ PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs in 100mM PBS and 5mM $Fe[(CN)_6]^{3-/4}$ at 5mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl. 172

Figure 6.12 (A) The Cyclic voltammogram of PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE (B) DPV studies of BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE (C) Nyquist Plot of BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in a solution containing 100mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$ with pH ranging from 6.4 to 7.8. 172

Figure 6.13 The variation of current in DPV of BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDO 173

PSS-*f*MWCNTs in 100mM PBS (pH 7.2) and 5m
$Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ containing 15 ng/mL AFB_1 after differe
incubation time (B) The variation of ΔI with time in min.

Figure 6.14 The DPV pattern of BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE with concentration of AFB_1 (0-75 ng/mL) in 100mM PBS (pH 7.2) and 5mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$. (B) The Calibration plot of change in current (ΔI) vs. Concentration in ng/mL. 174

Figure 6.15 Linear slope of ΔI vs. Concentration in ng/mL of BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE towards AFB_1. 175

Figure 6.16 The linear response of the BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in varying concentration of AFB_1 in maize matrix 175

Figure 6.17 The DPV current variation of peak current of BSA/anti-AFB_1/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 100 mM PBS (pH 7.4) and 5 mM $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$containing real corn sample spiked with (A) 20 ng/mL and (B) 40 ng/mL of AFB_1, respectively. 177

Figure 6.18 The cyclic voltammogram of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 100 mM PBS in absence and presence of different concentration of AThCl at a scan rate of 5 mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl. 179

Figure 6.19 (A) The cyclic voltammogram of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 100mM PBS and 2 mM AThCl at different scan rate and (B) Linear variation of peak current (I_{pa}) vs. square root of scan rate ($\nu^{1/2}$). 180

Figure 6.20 The DPV pattern of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 100 mM PBS and in presence of 181

different concentration of AThCl, (B) Calibration Plot of Current vs. concentration of AThCl and (C) Lineweaver Burk Plot.

Figure 6.21 The CV of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 2mM AThCl and 100mM PBS of different pH ranging 6.5 to 8.5 and (B) Plot of variation of the peak potential (E_{pa}) with pH of the solution. 182

Figure 6.22 The DPV of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in 2mM AThCl and 100 mM PBS in presence of (A) 10 ng/mL methyl parathion (B) 10 ng/mL carbofuran after different incubation time and (C & D) Plot of variation of the I (%) versus time in min. 183

Figure 6.23 (A) The DPV peak variation of AChE/ PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs after inhibition of different concentration of carbofuran in 100 PBS and 2mM AThCl; (B) The calibration plot of inhibition (I%) vs. concentration of carbofuran in ng/mL; (C & D) The linearity range of I % vs. concentration of carbofuran. 185

Figure 6.24 (A) The DPV peak variation of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs after inhibition of different concentration of methyl Parathion in 100 PBS and 2mM AThCl; (B) The calibration plot of inhibition (I%) vs. concentration of methyl Parathion in ng/mL; (C & D) The linearity range of I % vs. concentration of methyl Parathion. 186

Figure 6.25 The residual activity of the AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE towards different concentration of (A) Carbofuran and (B) Methyl Parathion respectively. 187

Figure 6.26 Shelf life study and the activity of the immobilized AChE in PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE towards the 188

hydrolysis of AThCl.

Figure 6.27 The DPV signal of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in absence and presence of soil water spiked with 10ng/mL of MP and 10ng/mL of carbofuran. 189

Figure 6.28 The DPV signal of AChE/PEDOT-PSS-*f*MWCNTs/GCE in absence and presence of green tea water spiked with 10ng/mL of MP and 10ng/mL of carbofuran. 189