List of Figures

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1	Figure depicting the schematic of ISFET device	16
Figure 2.2	Schematic representation of site binding model	19
Figure 2.3	The Stern and Gouy –Chapman layers in an electrical double layer	20
Figure 2.4(a)	Charge distribution and potential profile of an EIS system for $pH>pH_{PZC}$	21
Figure 2.4(b)	Charge distribution and potential profile of an EIS system for $pH < pH_{PZC}$	22
Figure 2.5	Figure depicting the structure and functional principle of a penicillin sensitive EnFET	31
Figure 2.6	Figure illustrating the electrolyte enzyme insulator semiconductor structure	32
Figure 2.7	Electrolyte oxide interface potential vs. pH for Silicon dioxide	43
Figure 2.8	Drain Current vs. drain to source voltage at various pH	43
Figure 2.9	Drain Current vs. gate to source voltage at various pH	44
Figure 2.10	Substrate concentration versus diffusion length plot when substrate concentration is less than k_m	45
Figure 2.11	Substrate concentration versus diffusion length plot when substrate concentration is greater than k_m	45
Figure 2.12	Product concentration versus diffusion length plot when substrate concentration is less than k_m	46
Figure 2.13	Product concentration versus diffusion length plot when substrate concentration is greater than k_m	46

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1	The depleted regions assumed to be in a rectangular shape, with the atoms at equidistant from each other in the same plane and also in the adjacent plane	61
Figure 3.2	The plot showing the comparison of threshold voltage of MOSFET calculated using the proposed model and that of standard formulae for the dopant concentration that varies from 10^{13} to 10^{20} /cm ³	69
Figure 3.3	Comparison of the current voltage characteristics of the proposed model and that of existing model (standard formulae) for dopant concentration of 10^{13} /cm ³ at different gate to source voltage	69
Figure 3.4	Variation of threshold voltage of ISFET device with pH values of electrolyte considering the threshold voltage of MOSFET for dopant concentration of 10^{13} /cm ³	70
Figure 3.5	The current voltage characteristics of the ISFET device considering both the MOSFET models (proposed and existing) for three pH values of 4, 7 and 10.	70
Chapter 4		
Figure 4.1	Hydrogen ion diffusion into SiO ₂	79
Figure 4.2	Figure depicting diffusion of protons and the field caused by it	80
Figure 4.3	Variation of threshold voltage with time, considering the diffusion of hydrogen ions into the sensing layer at $_{\rm PH4}$	85

Figure 4.4 Variation of threshold voltage with time, 86 considering the field due to the diffusion of hydrogen ions into the sensing layer at pH 4

Figure 4.5	Variation of threshold voltage with time, considering the diffusion of hydrogen ions into the sensing layer, the field caused by the diffusion and the combined effect of both for pH 4	86
Figure 4.6	variation of threshold voltage with time, considering the diffusion of hydrogen ions into the sensing layer, the field caused by the diffusion and the combined effect of both for pH 7	87
Figure 4.7	Variation of threshold voltage with time, considering the diffusion of hydrogen ions into the sensing layer, the field caused by the diffusion and the combined effect of both for pH 10	87
Figure 4.8	theoretical estimation of variation in threshold voltage with time for pH 4 considering both diffusion and field caused by it along with the practical data obtained for SiO ₂ gate pH ISFET when exposed for 36000 seconds (10 hours) in pH 4	88
Figure 4.9	theoretical estimation of variation in threshold voltage with time for pH 7 considering both diffusion and field caused by it along with the practical data obtained for SiO ₂ gate pH ISFET when exposed for 36000 seconds (10 hours) in pH 7	89
Figure 4.10	theoretical estimation of variation in threshold voltage with time for pH 10 considering both diffusion and field caused by it along with the practical data obtained for SiO ₂ gate pH ISFET when exposed for 36000 seconds (10 hours) in pH 10	90

Chapter 5

Figure 5.2	Figure showing the schematics of the process flow of the fabrication process (a) Thermal oxidation on clean silicon p type wafer (b) First lithography using the first mask (inset) to pattern the region for depositing metal at later stage(c) Second lithography using second mask (inset) defining the active area (d) Metal deposition using the hard mask (e) Casing done using cover slip for safe loading of electrolyte on the active area, (inset: The actual picture of the device)	100
Figure 5.3	Figure showing generalized catalytic cycle for P450.Only the heme iron of P450 is shown to represent the active site of the P450. The abbreviations are as follows: Fe, iron atom in P450 heme; RH, substrate; ROH, product; ox and red, the reduced and (1-electron) oxidized states of P450 reductase involved in electron transfers (under "Catalysis by P450s").	101
Figure 5.4	Schematic for the process flow of the ENFET fabrication. From (a) to (e) it follows the same step as described in figure 5.2. (f) device after immobilization(inset picture of the device after immobilization)	102
Figure 5.5	Schematic of the process flow of the Hg MOSFET fabrication. From (a) to (e) it follows the same step as described in figure (Figure.5.2). (f) device after mercury is poured on the sensing layer and reference electrode immerse into mercury to complete the circuit (inset picture of the fabricated Hg-MOSFET)	104
Figure 5.6	circuit diagram for the device characteristics	105
Figure 5.7	Block diagram for measurement set up for output characteristics	106
Figure 5.8	(a) The actual measuring setup for device characteristics along with the fabricated device (inset: actual fabricated ISFET device), (b) Measuring setup of the output characteristics using Keithley 6517B electrometer.	107
Figure 5.9	Proton NMR of the reaction mixture after the completion of the reaction	109
Figure 5.10.a	The $\sqrt{I_d} vsV_{GS}$ curve is plotted for pH 4	111

Figure 5.10.b	The $\sqrt{I_d} vsV_{GS}$ curve is plotted for pH 7	111
Figure 5.10.c	The $\sqrt{I_d} vsV_{GS}$ curve is plotted for pH 10	112
Figure 5.10.d	The $\sqrt{I_d} vsV_{GS}$ curve is plotted for MOSFET	112
Figure 5.10.e	The $\sqrt{I_d} vsV_{GS}$ curve is plotted for 0.4 M ENFET	113
Figure 5.10.f	The $\sqrt{I_d} vsV_{GS}$ curve is plotted for 0.5 M ENFET	113
Figure 5.11	Variation of threshold voltage with respect to pH values of electrolyte	114
Figure 5.12	Subthreshold slope of the Schottky ISFET for pH 7	115
Figure 5.13	Output characteristics for the pH 4, pH 7 and pH 10 of fabricated ISFET, Hg MOSFET and 0.4molar and 0.5 molar ENFET is plotted. F indicates fabricated, FE indicates fabricated ENFET, Hg F indicates fabricated Hg MOSFET.	116
Figure 5.14	Variation of V_{GS} with respect to change in pH keeping current constant at 0, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5µA respectively	118
Figure 5.15	Variation in V_{GS} value with respect to change in pH for constant current 0.5µA for the three devices fabricated in a batch	118
Figure 5.16	Variation of V_{GS} with respect to change in concentration of n hexadecane at constant currents 0.5 μ A and 0.75 μ A respectively.	119
Figure 5.17.a	Variation of V_{GS} with respect to concentration of n hexadecane measured at three consecutive days for constant current of 0.5 μ A	120
Figure 5.17.b	Variation of V_{GS} with respect to concentration of n hexadecane measured at three consecutive days for constant current of 0.75μ A	121
Figure 5.18.a	Hysteresis observed in the fabricated ISFET device	122
Figure 5.18.b	Hysteresis observed in the fabricated ENFET device	122
Figure 5.19	Change in pH with respect to concentration of the	123

n-hexadecane

Figure 5.20	Reproducibility of sensor output for a particular current $0.5\mu A$ for 6 cycles (72 hours; 12 hours each) for 0.1 to 0.5 mol/l	124
Figure 5.21	Correlation and regression line for measurement of n hexadecane assayed by the fabricated sensor.	125
Figure 5.22	Variation of V_{GS} for the fabricated ISFET device at current 0.5µA for pH 4, 7 and 10 with the repeated conversions from ISFET to MOSFET/ENFET and vice versa in a time period of 100 days.	127

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1	Figure depicting the potential profile of the EIS structure for a planar surface when the reference electrode is placed in the bulk of the solution	135
Figure 6.2	Figure depicting the potential profile of the EIS structure for a planar surface when the reference electrode is placed in the diffused layer	136
Figure 6.3	Site binding model of the silicon nitride surface	138
Figure 6.4	Block diagram of the experimental set up	144
Figure 6.5	The complete set up with the data acquisition system	145
Figure 6.6	Keithley Electrometer 6517B	146
Figure 6.7	The gate voltage signal generated by the DAC	147
Figure 6.8	Stepper motor with 1:11 worm gear reduction	148
Figure 6.9	The translation mechanism	149
Figure 6.10	The micrometer and the dial indicator	149
Figure 6.11	Reference electrode and the ISFET immersed in measurand	150
Figure 6.12.a	The block diagram for the generation of gate voltage	152

Figure 6.12.b	Circuit diagram for the generation of the gate voltage and its display in 16×2 LCD	152
Figure 6.13.a	Protection circuit	154
Figure 6.13.b	Virtual contact points acting as reference electrode and sensing layer along with the spring mechanism	154
Figure 6.14.a	Variation of interfacial effective electrolyte insulator potential with respect to distance for pH 4	158
Figure 6.14.b	Variation of interfacial effective electrolyte insulator potential with respect to distance for pH 7	159
Figure 6.14.c	Variation of interfacial effective electrolyte insulator potential with respect to distance for pH 10	159
Figure 6.15.a	Variation of effective insulator/electrolyte interface potential with increase in distance of the reference electrode from sensing layer ranging from 0 mm (i.e. the sensing layer) to 9 mm (i.e. three times of Debye length for pH 14).	160
Figure 6.15.b	figure showing 90 different equidistant points between the sensing layer and 3 times of Debye length, chosen for the data extraction for pH 14	161
Figure 6.16.a	Variation of effective insulator/electrolyte interface potential with increase in distance of the reference electrode from sensing layer ranging from 0 mm (i.e. the sensing layer) to 2.7 mm (i.e. three times of Debye length for pH 13).	162
Figure 6.16.b	Variation of effective insulator/electrolyte interface potential with increase in distance of the reference electrode from sensing layer ranging from 0 mm (i.e. the sensing layer) to 0.9 mm (i.e. three times of Debye length for pH 12).	163
Figure 6.17	Effective insulator electrolyte interface potential (Φ_{eo_eff}) vs. pH with the reference electrode positioned at 100µm from the OHP.	164

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Table for comparison of the threshold	67
	voltage of MOSET calculated by the	
	proposed model and the standard	
	formulae	
Table 5.1	Comparison of various sensors used for hydrocarbon detection	128
Table 6.1	Table for Debye length values for corresponding pH of the measurand for a	155
	Si ₃ N ₄ surface	