List of Tables

3.1 Facial features points 14

3.2 Normalized template landmark points of age group 01-05 years old child. 19

3.3 116 numbers of triangles formed with 68 numbers of Facial Feature Points (FFP), (P_1, P_2, P_3) are FFPs to form a particular $TriID_i, i = 1 \ldots 68$ 29

3.4 Euclidian distance example of two different sets of sample points . . 34

3.5 Specifications of the FG-NET aging database 38

3.6 Age group and subject wise details of FG-NET aging database . . . 38

6.1 Response Scoring Table of Human Feedback System 78

List of Figures

1.1 Problem definition 4

3.1 A set of 68 landmark points marked in prototype face image 12
3.2 Age-Prototypes of different age groups 16
3.3 Prototype of face images or mean images 17
3.4 Block diagram of our proposed localization of facial feature points method. 19
3.5 Geometric angle of eye line and horizontal line 20
3.6 New image size of rotated image 21
3.7 Face Model Preparation 22
3.8 Template landmark points fitting 25
3.9 Steps in the process of finding the facial land mark points 26
3.10 116 Triangle IDs and respective feature points 30
3.11 Extraction of left eye 31
3.12 The extracted facial parts 32
3.13 Normalized images of FG-Net dataset 32
3.14 Comparisons of landmark points founded in FG-NET dataset by proposed method 36
3.15 Landmark points manually plotted in FG-NET aging database . . . 37
3.16 Child to adult images of a subject in FG-NET aging database . . . 37
3.17 20 manually placed points in BioID face image [35]. 39
3.18 Average error for detecting left eye LE (5 points), right eye RE (5 points) left eyebrow LEB (6 points), right eyebrow REB (6 points), Nose (10 points), Mouth (19 points) and face boundary FB (21 points) on BioID and FG-NET dataset 40

3.19 Average error comparisons on BioID Face Dataset. Comparisons were made with five detected facial parts only, namely left eye (LE), right eye (RE), nose (N), left mouth (LM) and right mouth (RM). The compared existing methods are Liang et al. [42], M. Valstar et al. [77], Yi Sun et al. [66] and our proposed method. 40

4.1 Warping of a man to a cat [81]. 43

4.2 Forward versus reverse mapping [24]. 44

4.3 Warping of an woman to a tiger [14]. (a) Source, (b) target and (c) warped image. Corresponding landmark points of source and target images are also shown in (a) and (b). 44

4.4 Warping of source to target image. 45

4.5 Shape Transformations [48]. 45

4.6 Warping images (a) source input, (b) target shape, (c) warping with existing method, complete source image has been warped, color of the source remains same, (d) - (f) warping with proposed method. (d) Warped image with same background (outer region of face) of the target, only a portion of the source image has been warped, color of the remaining portion (background) is same as target image, (e) warped image without background, only a portion of the source image has been warped, color of the remaining portion (background) is blank and (f) warped image with different background, only a portion of the source image has been warped, color of the remaining portion (background) is other than of target image. 46

4.7 Area of a triangle 47

4.8 Incenter, incirle and inradius of different types of triangles. 48

4.9 Sub-triangles of two given triangles T_1 and T_2 49

4.10 Steps in Triangle Mapping Technique, read Δ as triangle. 51

4.11 Three cases in Triangle Mapping Algorithm 53

4.12 Nearest pixel's integer coordinates: (p, q) are real numbers, $p_0 = \lfloor p \rfloor, q_0 = \lfloor q \rfloor, p_1 = \lceil p \rceil, q_1 = \lceil q \rceil$ 55

4.13 Warping source image into the shape of the target image 56

4.14 Result of warping of source to target 57

4.15 Extended Yale Face Database B of 28 different subjects. [25] 58

4.16 The comparative results of proposed and existing *imwarp*() Matlab method [33] based on confidence score of image recognition (L. Dinalankara [17]). In the top figure, confidence score of 150 individual experiments have been shown, and number of experiments on different confidence score have been shown in the bottom figure. . 58

5.1 Morphing images from source to target. In first row (a) to (c), morphing without warping produces unrealistic images as facial feature points of source and target are not aligned in the morphoed images. Whether in second row (d) to (f), morphing images with warping shows a smooth transformation from source to target. 63

5.2 Morphing Based Technique to Predict Older Face Images of a Child 65

5.3 Inputs and preprocessing to get face detection and feature points . . 67

5.4 Intermediate shapes in the sequence of morphing 68

5.5 Sequences of shapes, warped and morphed images of morphing technique 69

5.6 Sequence of morphing images from female to male image 70

5.7 Sequence of morphing images from child to adult image. 70

5.8 Problem- muchee has been appeared in early aged predicted images of ages 10,14 *etc.* 71

5.9 Prediction of older images for which age difference of source and target is high. A and B are intermediate real images, M is the mid image of A and B, $SM_1, SM_2 \ldots$ are synthesized images of S and M and similarly, $MT_1, MT_2 \ldots$ are synthesized images of M and T. . . 72

5.10 Solution of problem Figure 5.8. 73

5.11 Intermediate predicted images from age 21 to 66, where ages of source and target images are 16 and 69 respectively. 73

5.12 Relation of three ages a, b and k. 73

5.13 Predicted Face Image at targeted age of a Child-1 74

5.14 Predicted Face Image at targeted age of a Child-2 74

5.15 Predicted Face Image at targeted age of a Child-3 75

5.16 Prediction of Child's Future Images 76

6.1 Experiments for face recognition. 79

6.2 Comparison with ground truth images. 81
6.3 Result analysis of predicted images 82
6.4 The comparisons of BDL-AP, BDL-PAP and proposed method . . . 83
6.5 The comparisons of BDL-AP, BDL-PAP and proposed method . . . 84