

## List of Figures

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1	1.1	General structure of DDT and its analogues.	1.3
	1.2	General chemical structure of organophosphate functional group.	1.4
	1.3	General chemical structure of carbamates.	1.5
	1.4	General structure of pyrethroid pesticides.	1.6
	1.5	General structure of benzimidazole group.	1.7
	1.6	Schematic representation of a sandwich ELISA.	1.13
	1.7	Structure of polymers used for biomolecule immobilization.	1.18
	1.8	Schematic representation of five different immobilization techniques.	1.22
	1.9	Schematic representation of a competitive inhibitor blocking the active site of an enzyme for its substrate.	1.25
	1.10	Schematic representation of non-competitive inhibition.	1.26
	1.11	Basic principle of electrochemical biosensor.	1.29
	1.12	3-D image of bacterial glutathione S-transferase enzyme.	1.37

2	2.1	Potential applied to the cell vs time.	2.6
3	3.1	Cyclic Voltammograms recorded in a 1: 1 volume mixture of 2 mM GSH in PB and 2 mM CDNB in 50% aqueous methanol at scan rate 20 mV/s after 30 minutes of mixture preparation. <i>Inset I:</i> Figure 3.1(a). <i>Inset II:</i> Figure 3.1(i).	3.5
	3.2	Cyclic Voltammograms recorded in a 1:1 volume mixture of 2 mM GSH in PB and 2 mM CDNB in 50% aqueous methanol and 20 $\mu$ L GST (0.02 mg) at scan rate 20 mV/s. A. after 30 minutes incubation. B. in the same mixture after 24 hrs. <i>Inset :</i> Figure 3.2 A(h).	3.5
	3.3	UV-visible spectra of a mixture of 2 mM each of GSH and CDNB in methanol (50%) with 20 $\mu$ L GST recorded immediately after preparation.	3.6
	3.4	Cyclic Voltammograms recorded in a mixture of 2 mM GSH in PB and methanol with final concentration 25% at scan rate 20 mV/s. A. in a fresh mixture after 30 minutes of preparation B. in the same mixture on the next day.	3.7
	3.5	Cyclic Voltammograms recorded in a mixture of 2 mM GSH in PB and methanol (50%) with 20 $\mu$ L GST at scan rate 20 mV/s. A. in a fresh mixture after 30 minutes of preparation. B. in the same mixture on the next day.	3.7

3.6	UV-visible spectra of 2 mM GSH in methanol.	3.8
3.7	A. FTIR spectrum of phosphate buffer (PB). B. FTIR spectrum of methanol (MeOH).	3.11
3.8	A. FTIR spectrum of solid glutathione (GSH). B. FTIR spectra of (a) GSH prepared in PB (pH 6.5) (b) GSH prepared in PB and MeOH (c) PB (d) PB and MeOH.	3.11
3.9	IR spectra of (a) EtOH (b) EtOH in PB (pH 6.5).	3.12
3.10	A. FTIR (FIR) plots of (a) electro oxidized solution of GSH prepared in PB and MeOH (b) solution of GSH prepared in PB and MeOH without electro oxidation B. FIR plots of (c) electro oxidized solution of GSH, CDNB and GST mixture prepared in PB and MeOH respectively (d) solution of GSH, CDNB and GST mixture prepared in PB and MeOH respectively without electrolysis.	3.13
3.11	FTIR (FIR) plots of (a) electro oxidized solution of GSH prepared in PB (pH 6.5) and MeOH (b) electro oxidized solution of GSH, CDNB and GST prepared in PB and MeOH.	3.13
3.12	FTIR spectrum of GSH prepared in PB, MeOH and 20 $\mu$ L GST enzyme.	3.14

3.13	A. FTIR spectrum of GSH prepared in PB and MeOH B. Spectrum of GSH and CDNB mixture prepared in PB and MeOH.	3.14
3.14	A. FTIR spectrum of GSH prepared in PB and CDNB prepared in MeOH along with 20 $\mu$ L GST enzyme B. FTIR spectrum of electro oxidized solution of GSH prepared in PB and CDNB prepared in MeOH in presence of 20 $\mu$ L GST enzyme.	3.15
3.15	FTIR spectra of (a) GSH prepared in PB solution and that of CDNB in EtOH along with 20 $\mu$ L GST recorded immediately after preparation. (b) same solution recorded after 15 min of preparation. (c) after 30 min of preparation.	3.15
3.16	CVs at scan rate 20 mV/s of PB - MeOH mixture of composition a. 50% and b. 25%.	3.16
3.17	CV of CDNB in 50% aqueous methanol.	3.17
3.18	CV of reduced glutathione (GSH) in PB.	3.17
3.19	Variation of peak current of GSH-CDNB reaction (1:1 mM) in 25% methanol with GST concentration after 30 min incubation.	3.18

	3.20	Dependency of peak current with substrate concentration when 120 $\mu\text{L}$ of GST was used. Solid line for GSH concentrations, dotted line for CDNB concentrations.	3.19
	3.21	Cyclic voltammograms showing variation of peak current with methanol concentration (a-d) and effect of ethanol (e). Reaction mixture contains 2 mM GSH, 2 mM CDNB and 20 $\mu\text{L}$ GST. Methanol concentrations (a) 50% (b) 25% (c) 10% (d) 5% and ethanol concentration 25% (e).	3.21
	3.22	UV-visible spectra recorded in a solution mixture of GSH (1 mM), CDNB (1 mM), GST (20 $\mu\text{L}$ ) and PB-MeOH (25%) in presence of 25 ppb cypermethrin.	3.22
	3.23	Calibration curve for cypermethrin. <i>Inset:</i> variation of CV peak current with ppb of cypermethrin.	3.23
4	4.1	Variation of percent inhibition with incubation time determined from cyclic voltammetric response of the sensor towards 2 mM GSH prepared in P.B. and 2 mM CDNB in 50% methanol in presence of 100 $\mu\text{L}$ of 50 ppb each of a. fenobucarb b. temephos and c. dimethoate pesticide.	4.4
	4.2	Cyclic Voltammograms recorded in a 1:1 volume mixture of 2 mM GSH in PB and 2 mM CDNB in 50% aqueous methanol in presence of	4.6

	20 $\mu$ L GST (0.02 mg) at scan rate 20 mV/s. Curve a through c recorded at 15, 20 and 25 minutes respectively.	
4.3	Cyclic Voltammograms recorded in a mixture of 2 mM GSH in PB and 2 mM CDNB in methanol (50%) in presence of 20 $\mu$ L GST at scan rate 20 mV/s. a. in absence of pesticide b. in presence of 100 $\mu$ L of 25 ppb fenobucarb.	4.6
4.4	UV-visible spectra recorded in a solution mixture of GSH (0.5 mM), CDNB (0.5 mM), GST (20 $\mu$ L) and PB-MeOH (25%) in absence of temephos (a) and in presence of different concentration of temephos (b).	4.7
4.5	UV-VIS study of the inhibition by the three pesticides through time versus absorbance curve. a. Enzymatic reaction mixture in absence of pesticides and b-d. in presence of 25 ppb of dimethoate, temephos and fenobucarb respectively. Curve 'e' shows inhibition by 50 ppb of fenobucarb solution. Reaction mixture was prepared by mixing 0.5 mM GSH in PB (pH 6.5) with 0.5 mM CDNB in 50% MeOH along with 20 $\mu$ L GST.	4.8
4.6	Calibration curves for the three pesticides studied. A. fenobucarb. B. temephos and C. dimethoate.	4.10
5	5.1 XRD pattern of synthesized GO.	5.6

5.2	IR spectrum of GO.	5.6
5.3	SEM images of (a) GO (b) GO/Gel/Glut and (c) GO/Gel/GST/Glut film.	5.6
5.4	Nyquist plot of a) bare Pt b) Pt/GO/Gel and c) Pt/GO/Gel/GST in 0.1 M KCl containing 0.5 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ and 0.5 mM $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ . <i>Inset:</i> Best fit circuit model for evaluation of the parameters.	5.7
5.5	Cyclic Voltammograms recorded in a 1:1 volume mixture of 2 mM GSH in PB and 2 mM CDNB in 50% aqueous methanol in presence of modified GO/GST/Pt electrode at scan rate 20 mV/s. Curve 'a' obtained before inhibition and 'b' after inhibition.	5.8
5.6	Chronoamperometric response of the biosensor towards GSH-CDNB solution a. in absence of inhibitor and b. in presence of inhibitor.	5.9
5.7	Dependency of peak current with substrate concentration. <i>Inset:</i> Lineweaver- Burk plot for determination of $K_m^{app}$ for both GSH and CDNB.	5.11
5.8	Effect of pH on the CV peak current of the sensor towards 2 mM GSH prepared in PB and 2 mM CDNB in 50% methanol when the enzyme loading was 20 $\mu$ L and scan rate 20 mV/s.	5.11

5.9	Variation of percent inhibition with incubation time determined from cyclic voltammetric response of the sensor towards 2 mM GSH prepared in PB and 2 mM CDNB in 50% methanol in presence of 100 $\mu$ L of 100 ppb each of the pesticides.	5.12
5.10	Calibration curves for a) carbendazim b) chlorpyrifos c) DDT d) dinocap and e) ethion.	5.15
5.11	a) Gas chromatogram of 400 ppb ethion and b) 1000 ppb ethion.	5.18
6	6.1 Lineweaver-Burk plots showing the effect of different concentrations of A. fenobucarb, B. DDT and C. cypermethrin on the kinetics of GST catalyzed GSH-CDNB reaction.	6.4
	6.2 Lineweaver-Burk plots showing the effect of different concentrations of temephos (A), ethion (B) and chlorpyrifos (C) on the kinetics of GST catalyzed GSH-CDNB reaction.	6.5
	6.3 Lineweaver-Burk plots showing the effect of different concentrations of A. dimethoate B. dinocap and C. carbendazim on the kinetics of GST catalyzed GSH-CDNB reaction.	6.7



## List of Tables

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1	1.1	Advantages and drawbacks of the five basic immobilization methods	1.20
	1.2	The values of $K_m^{app}$ and $V_{max}^{app}$ for different types of inhibition are summarized here	1.28
4	4.1	Comparison of the present method with other methods	4.12
5	5.1	Comparison of the performance of the present biosensor with other biosensors when applied to the same pesticide	5.15
6	6.1	Kinetic parameters of GST catalyzed GSH-CDNB reaction in absence and presence of competitive inhibitors	6.4
	6.2	Kinetic parameters of GST catalyzed GSH-CDNB reaction in absence and presence of non-competitive inhibitors	6.6
	6.3	Kinetic parameters of GST catalyzed GSH-CDNB reaction in absence and presence of mixed type of inhibitors	6.7

### List of schemes

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Scheme</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1	1.1	Michaelis-Menten mechanism.	1.23
	1.2	Mechanism for mixed type of inhibition.	1.27
	1.3	Cholinergic transformation reaction that occurs at nerve junction.	1.31
	1.4	Hydrolysis of BCh to form choline and butyric acid in presence of BChE.	1.33
	1.5	Working principle of organophosphorus hydrolase.	1.34
	1.6	Working principle of tyrosinase.	1.35
	1.7	Hydrolysis of phosphate group in presence of alkaline phosphatase.	1.36
	1.8	Working principle of acid phosphatase.	1.36
	1.9	Catalytic reactions carried out by acid phosphatase and glucose oxidase.	1.37
	1.10	Conjugation reaction of GSH with xenobiotics catalysed by GST.	1.38
3	3.1	Dissociation pathway of methanol in phosphate buffer.	3.9
	3.2	Various possible reaction pathways in mixture of GSH, CDNB and GST in methanol.	3.9
5	5.1	Schematic representation of biosensor preparation.	5.4

## List of Abbreviations

A	Ampere
AC	Alternating current
ACh	Acetylcholine
AChE	Acetylcholinesterase
Ag–Ab	Antigen–antibody
Ag/AgCl	Silver/Silver chloride reference electrode
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
AP	Acid phosphatase
Ar%	Percent residual activity
Au	Gold
BCh	Butyrylcholine
BChE	Butyrylcholinesterase
C	Carbon
CA	Chronoamperometry
CDNB	1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene
CL	Chemiluminescence
cm	Centimeter
cm <sup>-1</sup>	Per centimeter
CNS	Central nervous system
CNTs	Carbon nanotubes
conc.	Concentration
CPs	Conducting polymers
CV	Cyclic voltammetry

$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degrees Celsius
DDT	Dichloro diphenyl trichloroethane
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DPV	Differential pulse voltammetry
E	Enzyme
ECD	Electron capture detector
EIS	Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EPA	Environmental protection agency
ES	Enzyme substrate complex
EtOH	Ethanol
$\varepsilon$	Catalytic efficiency
FID	Flame ionization detector
FIR	Far infrared
FL	Fluorescence
FPD	Flame photometric detector
FTIR	Fourier transformed infrared
g	Gram
GC	Gas chromatography
Gel	Gelatin
Glut	Gluteraldehyde
GO	Graphene oxide
GOD	Glucose oxidase
GSH	Glutathione
GST	Glutathione S-transferase

hr	Hour
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
Hz	Hertz
I	Current
IA	Immunoassay
$i_{\max}$	Maximum current
I %	Inhibition degree or percentage inhibition
$k_{\text{cat}}$	Turnover frequency or catalytic constant
KCl	Potassium chloride
$K_i$	Dissociation constant
$K_m^{\text{app}}$	Apparent Michaelis-Menten constant
LOD	Limit of detection
M	Molar
MeOH	Methanol
MHz	Megahertz
$\mu\text{A}$	Micro ampere
MIR	Mid infrared
mL	Milliliter
mM	Millimole
$\text{mmolL}^{-1}$	Millimole per litre
MS	Mass spectrometry
MSD	Mass spectrometry detector
mV/s	Millivolt per second
NIR	Near infrared
nm	Nano meter

NPD	Nitrogen and phosphorus detector
OCs	Organocarbamates
OCPs	Organochlorine pesticides
OPH	Organophosphorus hydrolase
OPs	Organophosphates
OTP	Organothiophosphate
PB	Phosphate buffer
pH	Acidity or alkalinity of a solution
ppb	Parts per billion
Pt	Platinum
QuEChERS	Quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, safe
$R_{ct}$	Charge transfer resistance
Ref.	Reference
RSD	Relative standard deviation
s	Second
S	Substrate
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
$S_N2$	Substitution, nucleophilic, bimolecular
t	Time
UV	Ultra violet
UV-VIS	Ultra violet visible
V	Volt
$V_{max}$	Maximal rate
WHO	World health organization
XRD	X-ray diffraction