

# Chapter 5

## $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric spaces

### 5.1 Introduction

The application of the fuzzy metric spaces is widespread. Various authors generalized the classical concepts of topology and functional analysis to fuzzy metric spaces. The  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMSs provided more general framework in this context for generalizing the classical concepts to fuzzy setting. In this chapter we study important topological concepts such as metrizability, precompactness, separability in  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMSs. We also prove a generalized form of the Lebesgue's covering lemma. It may be noted that the choice of triangular norm  $\mathcal{T}$  is significant while discussing the results. The results of Section 5.2 and 5.3 are inspired in [30].

### 5.2 Metrizability of $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric

**Definition 5.2.1.** *A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to admit a compatible  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric if there exists an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ . In such a case it is called  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metrizable.*

**Remark 5.2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $(X, \mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{T})$  be the induced  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. Consider an open set  $A$  in  $(X, d)$ . Then for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an open

---

<sup>3</sup>The contents of this chapter have appeared in the form of an article in *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics* (2014).

ball  $B(x, r)$  such that  $B(x, r) \subset A$ , for some  $r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(x, y) \leq r, \text{ for some } y \in A \\
 \Leftrightarrow & t + d(x, y) \leq t + r, \text{ for } t > 0 \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)} \geq \frac{t}{t + r} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \geq 1 - r = \mathcal{N}(r), \text{ where } t = 1 - r
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $A$  is open in the  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{T})$ . Hence the topology generated by  $d$  coincides with the topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}_d}$  generated by the induced  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric  $\mathcal{M}_d$ .

The following result allows us to connect an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric to a topological space.

**Theorem 5.2.3.** *Every metrizable topological space admits a compatible  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is a metrizable topological space. Let  $d$  be the metric on  $X$  compatible with  $\tau$ . Since the topologies induced by the  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric  $\mathcal{M}_d$  and  $d$  are the same (Remark 5.2.2),  $\mathcal{M}_d$  is compatible with  $\tau$ .  $\square$

A classical result in the theory of metrizable topological spaces is the Kelley metrization lemma, which is stated as follows.

**Lemma 5.2.4.** [40] *A  $T_1$  topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is metrizable if and only if it admits a uniformity with a countable base.*

**Theorem 5.2.5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. Then  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is a metrizable topological space.*

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $U_n = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \mathcal{M}(x, y, \frac{1}{n}) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n})\}$ .

We shall prove that  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a base for a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  whose induced topology coincides with  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$\{(x, x) : x \in X\} \subseteq U_n, U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n \text{ and } U_n = U_n^{-1}$$

By the continuity of  $\mathcal{T}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists$  an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m > 2n$ . Let  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, z) \in U_m$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot)$  is nondecreasing, we get  $\mathcal{M}(x, z, \frac{1}{n}) >_L \mathcal{M}(x, z, \frac{2}{m})$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, z, \frac{1}{n}) &>_L \mathcal{M}(x, z, \frac{2}{m}) \\ &>_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, \frac{1}{m}), \mathcal{M}(y, z, \frac{1}{m})) \\ &>_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{m}), \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{m})) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(x, z) \in U_n$ . Further,

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{m}), \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{m})) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n})$$

Hence,  $U_m \circ U_m \subseteq U_n$ . therefore,  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable base for a uniformity  $U$  on  $X$ . Since for each  $x \in X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$U_n(x) = \{y : \mathcal{M}(x, y, \frac{1}{n}) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n})\} = B(x, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$$

Therefore the topology induced by  $\mathcal{U}$  coincides with  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.2.4  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is a metrizable topological space.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.6.** *A topological space is metrizable if and only if it admits a compatible  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorems 5.2.3 and 5.2.5.  $\square$

If  $X$  is a separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS, then  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is a separable metrizable space. Therefore  $X$  is second countable [15]. This is in accordance with the following result stated by Efe.

**Theorem 5.2.7.** [14] *Every separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS is second countable.*

Efe [15] called a metrizable topological space  $(X, \tau)$  to be completely metrizable if it admits a complete metric. We prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.2.8.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. Then  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is completely metrizable.*

*Proof.* For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us define  $U_n = \{(x, y) : \mathcal{M}(x, y, \frac{1}{n}) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n})\}$ . From Theorem 5.2.5, it follows that  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a base for the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $X$  compatible with  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then, there exists a metric  $d$  with its induced uniformity coinciding with  $\mathcal{U}$ . We shall show that  $d$  is complete.

Let us consider a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, d)$ . We show  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ . Fix  $r, t$ , with  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t > 0$ . We can find  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} < t$  and  $\frac{1}{k} <_L r$ . Then there exists  $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(x_n, x_m) \in U_k$ , for every  $n, m \geq n_o$ . Consequently, for every  $n, m \geq n_o$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_m, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_m, \frac{1}{k}) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k}) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$$

Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ . Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent with respect to  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Hence  $d$  is a complete metric on  $X$ . Thus,  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is completely metrizable.  $\square$

### 5.3 Compactness of $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric

In this section we study compactness of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric.

Since metrability is a hereditary property, in an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FNS  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  we can state the following results:

**Theorem 5.3.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$A$  is compact.*
- (2)  *$A$  is countably compact.*
- (3)  *$A$  is sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* Since the  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $X$  is metrizable, therefore, the result follows straightforward (Engelking [15], Theorems 4.1.13, 4.1.17 and 5.1.3).  $\square$

### 5.3.1 Precompact $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS

Let us prove an equivalent result for precompactness of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric using the notion of sequences.

**Lemma 5.3.2.** *An  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS is precompact if and only if every sequence has a Cauchy subsequence.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be a precompact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is precompact, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a finite set  $A_m$  of  $X$  such that

$$X = \bigcup_{a \in A_m} B(a, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m})$$

Particularly, for  $m = 1$ , there exists an  $a_1 \in A_1$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_1}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_1} \in B(a_1, 1, 1)$ . Similarly, there exists an  $a_2 \in A_2$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_2}\}$  of  $\{x_{n_1}\}$  such that  $x_{n_2} \in B(a_2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Proceeding inductively, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > 1$ , there is an  $a_m \in A_m$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_m}\}$  of  $\{x_{n_{m-1}}\}$  such that  $x_{n_m} \in B(a_m, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m})$ . Now consider the subsequence  $\{x_{n_n}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ . For  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists an  $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n_o}), \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n_o})) >_L \mathcal{N}(r) \text{ and } \frac{2}{n_o} < t$$

Then, for every  $k, m \geq n_o$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x_{k(k)}, x_{m(m)}, t) &\geq_L \mathcal{M}(x_{k(k)}, x_{m(m)}, \frac{2}{n_o}) \\ &\geq_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}(x_{k(k)}, a_{n_o}, \frac{1}{n_o}), \mathcal{M}(x_{m(m)}, a_{n_o}, \frac{1}{n_o})) \\ &>_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n_o}), \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n_o})) >_L \mathcal{N}(r) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{x_{n_n}\}$  is a Cauchy subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ .

Conversely, assume  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is not a precompact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. Then, there exists an  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t > 0$  such that for each finite subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,

$$X \neq \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, r, t)$$

Fix  $x_1 \in X$ . Then there exists  $x_2 \in X \setminus B(x_1, r, t)$ . Proceeding similarly, we find  $x_3 \in X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^2 B(x_k, r, t)$ . Proceeding inductively we have a sequence  $\{x_{n_n}\}$  of distinct points in  $X$  such that

$$x_{n+1} \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^n B(x_k, r, t), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore,  $\{x_{n_n}\}$  has no Cauchy subsequence.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.3.** *An  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is separable if and only if  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  admits a precompact  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is a separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. Then  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is a separable metrizable space. Therefore,  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$  admits a compatible precompact metric  $d$  (Theorem 4.3 in [15]). We show that the induced  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric  $\mathcal{M}_d$  is precompact.

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . By the precompactness of  $d$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  has a Cauchy subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  in  $(X, d)$ . Fix  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t > 0$ . Let us choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\frac{t}{t+\varepsilon} >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . Then, there exists  $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_{k(n)}, x_{k(m)}) < \varepsilon$ , for  $n, m \geq n_o$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}_d(x_{k(n)}, x_{k(m)}, t) >_L \frac{t}{t+\varepsilon} >_L \mathcal{N}(r), \text{ for all } n, m \geq n_o$$

So,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{T})$ . By Lemma 5.3.2,  $(X, \mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{T})$  is precompact.

Conversely, suppose  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  admits a compatible precompact  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric  $\mathcal{M}'$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus, there exists a finite subset  $A_n$  of  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{a \in A_n} B(a, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ .

Put  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Then,  $A$  is countable. For each  $x \in X$ , consider a basic neighborhood  $B(x, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m})$  of  $x$ . Then, there exists  $a$  in  $A_m$  such that  $x \in B(a, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m})$  and hence,  $A$  is dense in  $X$ . Therefore,  $(X, \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{T})$  is separable, i.e.,  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is separable.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.4.** *Every precompact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS is second countable.*

*Proof.* Proof follows from Theorems 5.2.7 and 5.3.3.  $\square$

**Definition 5.3.5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be a  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS and  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a cluster point of  $\{x_n\}$  if every neighbourhood of  $x$  contains infinitely many points of  $\{x_n\}$ .*

In other words, we can say that  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence converging to  $x$  in  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.6.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. If a Cauchy sequence clusters to a point  $x \in X$ , then the sequence converges to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  having a cluster point  $x \in X$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$  with respect to  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ . This implies, given  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t > 0$ ,  $\exists n_o \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$\mathcal{M}(x, x_{n_k}, \frac{t}{2}) >_L \mathcal{N}(s), \text{ for each } n \geq n_o \quad (5.3.1)$$

where  $s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . Also,  $\exists n_1 \geq k(n_o)$  such that:

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_m, \frac{t}{2}) >_L \mathcal{N}(s), \text{ for each } n \geq n_1 \quad (5.3.2)$$

Therefore, from (5.3.1) and (5.3.2) we have for  $n \geq \max\{n_o, n_1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) &\geq_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n_k}, \frac{t}{2}), \mathcal{M}(x_{n_k}, x, \frac{t}{2})) \\ &\geq_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r), \text{ for any } r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\} \text{ and } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.7.** *An  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is compact if and only if it is precompact and complete.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is a compact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. Then for each  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t > 0$ , the open cover  $\{B(x, r, t) : x \in X\}$  of  $X$  has a finite subcover. Hence,  $X$  is precompact. Also, using Theorem 5.3.1, each Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  has a cluster point  $x \in X$ . By Lemma 5.3.6  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$ . Therefore,  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is complete.

Conversely, let  $X$  be precompact and complete. Consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ . By Lemma 5.3.2,  $\{x_n\}$  has a Cauchy subsequence, say  $\{x_{n_k}\}$ . As  $X$  is complete, the Cauchy subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  is convergent and thus,  $\{x_n\}$  has a cluster point. Therefore,  $X$  is sequentially compact. Since  $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$  is metrizable (Theorem 5.2.5) and every sequentially compact metrizable space is compact (using Theorem 5.3.1), therefore,  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is compact.  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.8.** Theorems 5.3.3 and 5.3.7 show that every compact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS is separable. Also, Theorem 5.3.1 gives that every sequentially compact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS is precompact.

### 5.3.2 $\mathcal{L}F$ - strongly bounded set

**Definition 5.3.9.** [36] *Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS and  $A \subseteq X$ . The  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter of a set  $A$  is defined by:*

$$\delta_A = \sup_{t > 0} \inf_{x, y \in A} \sup_{\varepsilon < t} \mathcal{M}(x, y, \varepsilon)$$

*If  $\delta_A = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  then  $A$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.*

**Lemma 5.3.10.** [36] *The set  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded if and only if for arbitrary negation  $\mathcal{N}(r)$  and each  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ , for all  $x, y \in A$ .*



**Theorem 5.3.11.** [14] *Every compact subset  $A$  of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FNS  $X$  is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.*

Clearly every compact set  $A \subseteq X$  is closed and  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.

We then prove the following result:

**Theorem 5.3.12.** *Every precompact subset  $A$  of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a precompact subset of  $X$ . Fix  $t > 0$  and  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ . As  $A$  is precompact, there exists a finite subset  $S \subseteq X$  such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in S} B(a, r, t)$$

Let  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $x \in B(x_i, r, t)$  and  $y \in B(x_j, r, t)$ , for some  $i, j$ . It gives:

$$\mathcal{M}(x, x_i, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r) \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(y, x_j, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r) \quad (5.3.3)$$

Let  $\alpha = \min \mathcal{M}(x_i, x_j, t) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Clearly  $\alpha \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ . Also  $\exists s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  (Remark 1.3.37) such that:

$$\mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{N}(r), \mathcal{N}(r), \alpha) >_L \mathcal{N}(s) \quad (5.3.4)$$

Thus, (5.3.3), (5.3.4) and (5.3.5) give:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, y, 3t) &\geq_L \mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{M}(x, x_i, t), \mathcal{M}(x_i, x_j, t), \mathcal{M}(x_j, y, t)) \\ &\geq_L \mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{N}(r), \mathcal{N}(r), \alpha) >_L \mathcal{N}(s) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x, y \in A$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.13.** Theorems 5.3.1-5.3.12 establish some relationships among the topological concepts of compactness, completeness, boundedness, precompactness, separability. These properties are fundamental and provide a basic tool to study the theory of space structure of  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS.

## 5.4 Covering factor of an $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric

In this part, we introduce a new concept called “covering factor” in an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS.

**Definition 5.4.1.** *An element  $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  is called a covering factor for an open cover  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  of a  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  if for every set  $A$  in  $X$  with fuzzy diameter  $\delta_A >_L \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$  is contained in any  $G_i$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

**Lemma 5.4.2.** *Let  $B(x, r, t)$  be an open ball of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  with  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t > 0$ . Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$  such that  $\delta_A >_L \mathcal{N}(s)$  where  $s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  satisfying  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . If  $A$  intersects  $B(x, s, \frac{t}{2})$ , then  $A \subseteq B(x, r, t)$ .*

**Lemma 5.4.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS. If  $t > 0$  and  $r, s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ , then  $B(x, s, \frac{t}{2}) \subset B(x, r, t)$ .*

The above two results are straight forward, so we omit the proofs here. The following result is a generalized form of the Lebesgue’s covering lemma.

**Theorem 5.4.4.** *In a sequentially compact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS with involutive negation, every open cover has a covering factor.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be a sequentially compact  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS with a involutive negation  $\mathcal{N}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Assume that there exist sets in  $X$  which are not contained in any  $G_\alpha$ . Otherwise any  $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  will work as an covering factor and the result is established.

Let us refer to these sets as “big sets”. Consider such a set  $A \subseteq X$ . Let  $\delta' = \inf\{\mathcal{N}(\delta_A) : \delta_A \text{ is fuzzy diameter of the big set } A\}$ . Then, the following cases arise:

**Case I:** Let  $\delta' = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ . It gives: for  $A \subset X$  with  $\mathcal{N}(\delta_A) <_L 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  i.e.  $\delta_A >_L 0_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a subset of  $G_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Hence each  $\delta \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  is a covering factor.

**Case II:** Let  $\delta' = 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ . By definition of  $\delta'$ , for a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a big set

$B_n$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\delta_{B_n}) <_L \frac{1}{n}$ . It gives:

$$\delta_{B_n} >_L \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

As the big set  $B_n$  is not contained in any  $G_\alpha$ ,  $B_n$  must contain atleast two elements.

Therefore,  $1_\mathcal{L} >_L \delta_{B_n} >_L \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ .

Construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $x_n \in B_n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $X$  is sequentially compact,  $\{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence converging to some  $x \in X$ . As  $X =$

$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ , we have:

$$x \in G_\beta, \text{ for } \beta \in \bigwedge \quad (5.4.1)$$

As  $G_\beta$  is open in  $X$ , there exists  $r \in L \setminus \{0_\mathcal{L}, 1_\mathcal{L}\}$  and  $t > 0$  such that

$$B(x, r, t) \subseteq G_\beta, r \in L \setminus \{0_\mathcal{L}, 1_\mathcal{L}\} \text{ and } t > 0 \quad (5.4.2)$$

Choose  $s \in L \setminus \{0_\mathcal{L}, 1_\mathcal{L}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . By Lemma 5.4.3, we get  $B(x, s, \frac{t}{2}) \subset B(x, r, t)$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence converging to  $x$ ,

$x_n \in B(x, s, \frac{t}{2})$  for infinitely many  $n$ . Thus,  $\exists N$  such that  $x_N \in B(x, s, \frac{t}{2})$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{N} <_L s \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) >_L \mathcal{N}(s) \quad (5.4.3)$$

However,  $x_N \in B_N$  and  $\delta_{B_N} >_L \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ . This gives  $\delta_{B_N} >_L \mathcal{N}(s)$ . By (5.4.2), (5.4.3) and Lemma 5.4.2,  $B_N \subseteq B(x, r, t) \subseteq G_\beta$ , a contradiction to the assumption. Thus  $\delta' \neq 0_\mathcal{L}$ .

Therefore,  $0_\mathcal{L} <_L \delta' <_L 1_\mathcal{L}$  and the element  $\delta = \mathcal{N}(\delta')$  will be the required covering factor. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Thus, in view of Theorems 5.3.1, 5.3.7, 5.3.12 and 5.4.4 and Remark 5.3.8, various compactness criteria of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -FMS can be interpreted with the help of a covering factor.