

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, Lavina Sarma, hereby declare that the subject matter in this thesis entitled, "**Theoretical and phenomenological consequences of neutrino mass, leptogenesis and dark matter within Beyond Standard Model (BSM)**", is a presentation of my original research work. Whenever contributions of others are involved, every effort is made to indicate this clearly, with due reference to the literature and acknowledgment of collaborative research and discussions.

The work is original and has not been submitted earlier as a whole or in part for a degree or diploma at this or any other Institution or University.

This thesis is being submitted to the Tezpur University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Physics.

Place: Tezpur.

Date:

Lavina Sarma

Signature of the candidate



TEZPUR UNIVERSITY

Prof Mrinal Kumar Das
Professor
Dept. of Physics
Napaam-784028, Assam, India

Phone: +91-3712 275563(Ex. 5563)
+91-9706980913(M)
E-mail: mkdas@tezu.ernet.in

CERTIFICATE OF THE SUPERVISOR

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "**Theoretical and phenomenological consequences of neutrino mass, leptogenesis and dark matter within Beyond Standard Model (BSM)**" submitted to the School of Sciences of Tezpur University in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Physics is a record of research work carried out by **Ms Lavina Sarma** under my supervision and guidance.

All help received by her from various sources have been duly acknowledged.

No part of this thesis have been submitted elsewhere for award of any other degree.

Place: Tezpur University

(Mrinal Kumar Das)

Date:



TEZPUR UNIVERSITY

(A Central University established by an Act of Parliament)

Napaam-784028, Assam, India

Fax: 03712-267006: Ph: 03712-267004, email: adm@agnigarh.tezu.ernet.in

CERTIFICATE OF THE EXTERNAL EXAMINER AND ODEC

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "**Theoretical and phenomenological consequences of neutrino mass, leptogenesis and dark matter within Beyond Standard Model (BSM)**" submitted by **Ms Lavina Sarma** to the School of Sciences of Tezpur University in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Physics has been examined by us on...../...../..... and found to be satisfactory.

(Prof Mrinal Kumar Das)

(External examiner)

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to express my warmest acknowledgement and immeasurable thanks towards my PhD supervisor, *Prof. Mrinal Kumar Das*, for having a pivotal contribution in the accomplishment of my thesis. His guidance and support throughout my PhD tenure played a vital role in bringing out the best in me. I am thankful to him for the knowledge he imparted upon me, his patience towards me and persistent encouragement which carried me through all the stages of writing this thesis.

I would also take this opportunity to thank my doctoral committee members, *Prof. Jayanta Kumar Sarma* and *Dr. Moon Moon Devi*, for all their valuable advices, discussions and recommendations throughout the years of my PhD course. I also extend my acknowledgement towards all the faculty members of the Department of Physics, Tezpur University for being a source of help and guidance in one way or the other.

I am much obliged to my parents, *Dr. Debraj Sarma* and *Anita Sharma*, for being the imperative source of love, motivation, encouragement in all the phases of my life. Their utmost inspiration is the key to all my achievements so far. I whole heartedly owe this thesis to them and my brother *Tejraj Sharma* for their invaluable support and guidance which kept me going in all my ups and downs. I am also very grateful to have a very caring and nurturing sister-in-law *Susmita Devi* who has constantly encouraged me and kept my hopes high. It gives me immense pleasure to acknowledge a special person in my life, *Sanjay*, who stood as a pillar of never ending support and care. He has been a great source of inspiration and a perfect example of hard work and perseverance for me. I also take this good time to remember my beloved aunt, *Late Dr. Rita Sharma*, for her motherly affection and motivation to do better things in life. I would also acknowledge all my other family members for their love and encouragement.

My PhD journey would not have been an easy one without the enormous love, support and counselling i received from my best friends, *Joyshree, Dimpi, Sweta and Dorothy*. I am grateful to have shared some of the best days of my life with them which kept me going in all my hard times. I am indeed lucky to share the lab with some wonderful and lively people, *Nayana, Bichitra, Jotin, Ankita and Bhabana*, whose support and help comforted me whenever required. They have been like an extended family to me throughout these years. I also take this chance to acknowledge my lab seniors, *Ananya Ba, Happy Ba and Pritam* for offering help and suggestions in various regards. I would also like to thank some of my friends at Tezpur University, *Ankur, Saynaaz, Pragyan, Madhurjya Da, Animesh Da, Olag, Ankita, Kabita, Ritupurna* for all their help and support during this period of my life.

I am very much grateful to *Narayan Da, Patir Da* and all the non-teaching staff of the Department of Physics for their unconditional help whenever i was in need of it. I would also like to thank Tezpur University for funding me with the institutional fellowship and Research and Innovation grant for carrying out my PhD work.

I would finally like to end my acknowledgement by thanking Tezpur University for giving me this life time opportunity to pursue my PhD. It has been a great and life changing experience overall.

Date:

(Lavina Sarma)

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning
SLAC	Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
DONUT	Direct Observation of the nu tau
PMNS	Pontecorvo–Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata
SM	Standard Model
CP	Charge-Parity
CL	Confidence Level
BSM	Beyond Standard Model
DM	Dark Matter
BAU	Baryon Asymmetry of Universe
LHC	Large Hadron Collider
keV	Kilo Electron Volt
$0\nu\beta\beta$	Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay
LFV	Lepton Flavor Violation
LRSM	Left-Right Symmetric Model
MSW	Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein
SAGE	Soviet–American Gallium Experiment

GALLEX	Gallium Experiment
GUT	Grand Unified Theory
KamLAND-Zen	Kamioka Liquid Scintillator Anti- Neutrino Detector-Xenon
LSND	Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Ditector
MiniBOONE	Mini Booster Neutrino Experiment
MINOS	Main Injector Neutrino Oscillation Search
SNO	Sudbury Neutrino Observatory
K2K	KEK to Kamioka
T2K	Tokai to Kamioka
RENO	Reactor Experiment for Neutrino Oscillation
eV	Electron Volt
TeV	Tera Electron Volt
VEV	Vacuum Expectation Value
NH	Normal Hierarchy
NO	Normal Ordering
IH	Inverted Hierarchy
IO	Inverted Ordering
KATRIN	Karlsruhe Tritium Nneutrino Experiment
DW	Dodelson-Widrow
LEP	Large Electron-Positron Collider
FIMP	Feebly Interacting Massive Particle
EWSB	Electro Weak Symmetry Breaking
ν 2HDM	Neutrino Two Higgs Doublet Model
BBN	Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

IHDM	Inert Higgs Doublet Model
RHN	Right-Handed Neutrino
MACHO	Massive Astrophysical Compact Halo Object
HDM	Hot Dark Matter
CDM	Cold Dark Matter
WDM	Warm Dark Matter
WIMP	Weakly Interacting Massive Particle
LUX	Large Underground Xenon Dark-Matter Experiment
Panda	antiProton ANnihilation at DArmstadt
IR	Infrared Radiation
UV	Ultraviolet Radiation
GERDA	Germanium Detector Array
CUORE	Cryogenic Underground Observatory for Rare Events
EXO	Enriched Xenon Observatory
MEG	Mu to E Gamma
CR	Conversion Ratio
COMET	Coherent Muon to Electron Transition
P	Parity
T	Time Reversal

List of figures

1.1	Mass generation of light neutrino via one-loop contribution by the exchange of right handed neutrino N_k and the scalar η_0	22
1.2	A_4 symmetry as a tetrahedron.[198]	37
2.1	One-loop diagram with exchange of η_R^0 and η_I^0 . ν_i and ν_j representing two different generations of active neutrinos. N_k is the right handed neutrino. . .	47
2.2	Plots in the first-row show baryon asymmetry as a function of dark matter mass (M_{DM}), the second-row show baryon asymmetry as a function of right-handed neutrino mass (M_2), in third-row baryon asymmetry as a function of the lightest neutrino mass eigenvalue is shown. The fourth row depicts baryon asymmetry as a function of the absolute value of quartic coupling ($ \lambda_5 $) for NH and IH, respectively. The black horizontal line gives the current Planck limit for BAU.	61
2.3	Effective mass as a function of lightest neutrino mass eigenvalue for NH/IH. The horizontal(black) line is the upper limit for the effective mass ($m_{\beta\beta}(eV) \sim 0.12(eV)$) of light neutrinos obtained from KamLAND-Zen experiment and the red vertical line depicts the upper bound given by the Planck limit for the summation of light neutrino masses.	62

- 2.4 Baryon asymmetry as a function of effective mass of neutrino for NH/IH. The horizontal(black) line is the Planck limit for BAU and the vertical(blue) line depicts the KamLAND-Zen limit for $0\nu\beta\beta$ 62
- 2.5 Variation of relic abundance of DM in the intermediate dark matter mass range. Planck limit for the observed relic abundance is given by the horizontal(blue) line. The V shaped portion at around $M_{DM} \approx M_h/2$ is the resonance in the annihilation of DM into SM fermions mediated via Higgs boson(h) in the s-channel. 63
- 2.6 The allowed region of parameter space in λ_L - M_{DM} plane from the requirement of satisfying the relic abundance and depiction of the strict constraints from dark matter direct detection experiment, XENON1T. The red points corresponds to the region allowed by direct detection experiment and the small vertical lines in both the panels of the figure are the points that generate the observed relic abundance. 63
- 2.7 Variation of relic abundance vs $x(= M_{DM}/T)$ for three different values of dark matter masses with fixed values of Γ_{N_1} and $Y_{N_1}(x_0)$ as given in the plot. The corresponding parameters which contribute in determining relic are kept fixed with values: (a) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta_l^0} = 1$ GeV, (b) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta_l^0} = 10$ GeV, and (c) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = 10$ GeV and $\Delta M_{\eta_l^0} = 0.01$ GeV, $\lambda_L = 0.0001$, $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ and $M_h = 125.5$ GeV. 66
- 2.8 Plot of relic abundance vs $x(= M_{DM}/T)$ with DM mass fixed at $M_{DM} = 430$ GeV and $\Gamma_{N_1} = 1.2 \times 10^{-19}$ for three different values of $Y_{N_1}(x_0)$. The corresponding parameters which contribute in determining relic are kept fixed with values: (a) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta_l^0} = 1$ GeV, (b) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta_l^0} = 10$ GeV and (c) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = 10$ GeV and $\Delta M_{\eta_l^0} = 0.01$ GeV, $\lambda_L = 0.0001$, $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ and $M_h = 125.5$ GeV. 67

- 2.9 Relic abundance vs $x(= M_{DM}/T)$ plot with dark matter mass fixed at 530 GeV and $Y_{N_1}(x_0) = 10^{-11}$ for two values of Γ_{N_1} . $\Gamma_{N_1} = 0$ corresponds to thermal production and $\Gamma_{N_1} = 2.2 \times 10^{-19}$ signifies non-thermal production. The corresponding parameters which contribute in determining relic are kept fixed with values: (a) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta^0} = 10$ GeV(left panel), (b) $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = 10$ GeV and $\Delta M_{\eta^0} = 0.01$ GeV(right panel), $\lambda_L = 0.0001$, $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ and $M_h = 125.5$ GeV. 68
- 3.1 The 1-loop Feynman diagrams depicting the decay of $l_\alpha \rightarrow l_\beta \gamma$ 81
- 3.2 The penguin contributions to $l_\alpha \rightarrow l_\beta \gamma$, where the wavy lines depicts either a Z-boson or a photon. 82
- 3.3 Contour plot between the DM-Higgs coupling λ_L and DM mass M_{DM} w.r.t the allowed space of relic abundance of DM. The left panel is for $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta^0} = 8$ GeV and the right panel is for $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta^0} = 0.9$ GeV 88
- 3.4 Variational plot between relic abundance of DM ($\Omega_{DM} h^2$) and DM mass(M_{DM}) with benchmark value $\lambda_L = 0.00005$ for two different values of mass splitting between inert scalars, i.e. $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta^0} = 8$ GeV and $\Delta M_{\eta^\pm} = \Delta M_{\eta^0} = 0.9$ GeV. 88
- 3.5 Variation of the lightest active neutrino mass(m_l) with effective mass($m_{\beta\beta}$) in Case III for NH/IH. The KamLAND-Zen limit $m_{\beta\beta}(eV) \sim 0.1(eV)$ is shown by the horizontal(black) line. 89
- 3.6 We showcase plots of baryon asymmetry w.r.t four parameters namely lightest RHN mass (M_1), quartic coupling (λ_5), lightest neutrino mass eigenvalue(m_l) and dark matter mass(M_{DM})respectively for Case III. The black horizontal line gives the current Planck limit for BAU. 90
- 3.7 Contour plot showing the parameter space of model parameters a,b and c w.r.t effective mass($m_{\beta\beta}$) for NH for Case III. 91

- 3.8 Contour plot showing the parameter space of model parameters a, b, c and rotational angle θ w.r.t effective mass ($m_{\beta\beta}$) for IH for Case III. 91
- 3.9 Variation of $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e)$ with ρ_N ($\rho_N = (\frac{M_N}{m_{\eta^+}})^2$) (left panel), plot depicting $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and $Cr(\mu \rightarrow e, Ti)$ as a function of ρ_N (middle) and $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e)$ w.r.t the lightest neutrino mass eigenvalue (m_l) is shown in the right panel in Case III for NH. The upper bounds are shown by the horizontal lines. 92
- 3.10 Variation of $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e)$ with ρ_N ($\rho_N = (\frac{M_N}{m_{\eta^+}})^2$) (left panel), plot depicting $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and $Cr(\mu \rightarrow e, Ti)$ as a function of ρ_N (middle) and $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e)$ w.r.t the lightest neutrino mass eigenvalue (m_l) is shown in the right panel in Case III for IH. The upper bounds are shown by the horizontal lines. 92
- 3.11 A correlation plot of effective mass of active neutrinos ($m_{\beta\beta}$) w.r.t the baryon asymmetry of the Universe (n_B) is shown for Case III. The Planck limit for BAU is given by the horizontal line (black) and the KamLAND-Zen upper limit ($m_{\beta\beta}(eV) \sim 0.1(eV)$) is depicted by the vertical line (red). 93
- 4.1 $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ as a function of m_{H^+} for NH and IH. The dashed horizontal lines are the recent upper bounds. 111
- 4.2 Baryon asymmetry of the Universe as a function of lightest RHN (N_1) is shown in the first row. The second and third row depicts BAU as a function of K_{N_1} and $\tan\beta$ respectively. We take two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH/IH. 112
- 4.3 Yukawa coupling term YY_{11}^\dagger as a function of BAU for two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH/IH. 113

- 4.4 Baryon asymmetry of the Universe as a function of relic abundance of the dark matter candidate ξ for NH/IH with the consideration of two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ 113
- 4.5 Decay parameter K_{N_1} as a function of lightest neutrino mass for two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} with benchmark values $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH/IH. 114
- 4.6 Effective mass of the neutrinos w.r.t the lightest active neutrino is being showed for NH/IH with two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$. The horizontal black line signify the KamLAND-Zen upper limit on the effective mass of neutrinos. 114
- 4.7 The plot shows variation of relic abundance w.r.t the dark matter mass for NH/IH. Two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH/IH is chosen 115
- 4.8 The plot shows variation of relic abundance w.r.t the decay parameter K_{N_1} for NH/IH for two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ 115
- 4.9 The plot shows variation of relic abundance w.r.t the branching ratio of the decay $N_1 \rightarrow \xi\chi$ for two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH/IH. 116
- 4.10 The plot shows variation of free streaming length w.r.t the decay parameter K_{N_1} for NH/IH. Here, the variation is shown for two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ 116

- 4.11 The plot shows variation of free streaming length w.r.t the relic abundance of ξ for two different values of arbitrary angle ω_{12} and benchmark values of $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH/IH. 117
- 4.12 Contour plot relating the model parameters a and b w.r.t the relic abundance($\Omega_{DM}h^2$) of the DM candidate(ξ)(left panel), right panel shows variation of b and c with $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ and in the middle we show a similar plot for a and c. This result corresponds to benchmark points $\omega_{12} = 10^{-1} + 10^{-1}i$, $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH. 118
- 4.13 Contour plot relating the model parameters a and b w.r.t the relic abundance($\Omega_{DM}h^2$) of the DM candidate(ξ)(left panel), right panel shows variation of b and c with $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ and in the middle we show a similar plot for a and c. This result corresponds to benchmark points $\omega_{12} = 10^{-1} + 10^{-1}i$, $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for IH. 119
- 4.14 Contour plot relating the Dirac masses a and b w.r.t the relic abundance($\Omega_{DM}h^2$) of the DM candidate(ξ)(left panel), right panel shows variation of b and c with $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ and in the middle we show a similar plot for a and c. This result corresponds to benchmark points $\omega_{12} = 10^{-12} + 10^{-12}i$, $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for NH. 120
- 4.15 Contour plot relating the Dirac masses a and b w.r.t the relic abundance($\Omega_{DM}h^2$) of the DM candidate(ξ)(left panel), right panel shows variation of b and c with $\Omega_{DM}h^2$ and in the middle we show a similar plot for a and c. This result corresponds to benchmark points $\omega_{12} = 10^{-12} + 10^{-12}i$, $\omega_{13} = 10^{-3} + 10^{-3}i$ and $\omega_{23} = 10^{-2} + 10^{-2}i$ for IH. 121

-
- 5.1 Plots in the first-row shows baryon asymmetry as a function of RHN (M_1), the second-row shows baryon asymmetry as a function of dark matter mass(M_{DM}), in third-row baryon asymmetry as a function of lightest neutrino mass eigenvalue(m_l) is depicted and in the fourth row we show a plot between perturbation(p) and BAU respectively. The black horizontal line gives the current Planck limit for BAU viz. 6.05×10^{-10} 139
- 5.2 Lightest active neutrino mass(m_l) as a function of effective mass($m_{\beta\beta}$) for NH/IH. The horizontal(black) line depicts the upper bound on the effective mass ($m_{\beta\beta}(eV) \sim 0.12(eV)$) of light neutrinos obtained from KamLAND-Zen experiment. 140
- 5.3 Plot between dark matter mass(m_{DM}) and active-DM mixing angle including constraints from Lyman- α and X-ray for NH/IH. 140
- 5.4 Plot between dark matter mass(m_{DM}) and decay rate for the process $S \rightarrow \nu + \gamma$ including constraints from Lyman- α and X-ray for NH/IH. We also give lifetime constraint of sterile neutrinos as a function of mass. The red dashed (blue dotted) line represents the case when energy transfer from CMB photons to gas is included (excluded). 141
- 5.5 Variation between dark matter mass and relic abundance with the constraints obtained from Lyman- α and X-ray for NH/IH. 141
- 5.6 Contour plot showing the parameter space of model parameters a and b w.r.t effective mass($m_{\beta\beta}$) for NH/IH. 142
- 5.7 Contour plot showing the parameter space of model parameters a and c w.r.t effective mass($m_{\beta\beta}$) for NH. 143
- 5.8 Contour plot showing the parameter space of model parameters a and p w.r.t effective mass($m_{\beta\beta}$) for NH/IH. 144

List of tables

1.1	Latest Global fit 3σ values of neutrino oscillation parameters with best fit values[38].	5
1.2	Particle content of the SM with their respective charge assignments under the symmetry group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. C in $SU(3)_C$ represents the color charge under this group, L in $SU(2)_L$ denotes the left-handedness and Y in $U(1)_Y$ is the hypercharge.	8
1.3	Present and future bounds by various experiments on low-energy scale LFV processes.	35
3.1	Fields and their respective transformations under the symmetry group of the model.	76
3.2	Model parameters of the model and their respective parameter space satisfying effective mass of light neutrinos($m_{\beta\beta}$) for Case III.	94
4.1	Fields and their respective transformations under the symmetry group of the model.	103
4.2	Dirac masses of the model and their respective parameter space satisfying the relic abundance of the DM candidate ξ for $\omega_{12} = 10^{-1} + 10^{-1}i$	117
4.3	Dirac masses of the model and their respective parameter space satisfying the relic abundance of the DM candidate ξ for $\omega_{12} = 10^{-12} + 10^{-12}i$	117

5.1	Particle content and their charge assignments under $SU(2)$, A_4 and Z_8 group.	129
5.2	Charge assignment of singlet flavons under A_4 and Z_8 .	129
5.3	Range of the free parameters.	142
5.4	Allowed range of the model parameters satisfying effective mass	143

Dedicated to my parents and brother.

