### Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, Energy, Exergy, Exergoeconomic and Environmental (4E) analyses and Multi-objective Optimization of four Gas Turbine based Trigeneration systems, submitted to the School of Engineering, Tezpur University in partial fulfilment for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mechanical Engineering is a record of research work carried out by Joy Nondy under my supervision and guidance.

All help received by him from various sources has been duly acknowledged. No part of this thesis has been submitted elsewhere for the award of any other degree.

(Supervisor)

Prof. Tapan Kr. Gogoi Mechanical Engineering Department Tezpur University

Tezpur - 784 028, Assam, India January, 2023

### Acknowledgements

It is my immense pleasure to express my sincere gratitude to my guide, Prof. Tapan Kr. Gogoi, Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tezpur University, for his precious suggestion, incisive guidance and decisive insights during the entire course of Ph.D. research. His constant motivation, endurance and support has been the key behind the completion of this research work.

I want to express my gratitude to the members of my doctoral committee. They have given me useful suggestions throughout the PhD research. They have also encouraged me to put more effort into my research work and provide timely responses to my inquiries.

I also express my gratitude to the Head of the Department, Mechanical Engineering and all the faculty members of the department for their untiring support and co-operation during the whole duration of my Ph.D. course. In addition I would also like to offer my sincere thanks to the institute authority, especially the personnel of the Mechanical Engineering Department, for providing me the access to the laboratory and research facilities.

I also want to express my sincere gratitude to my mother, brother, and friends for their unwavering support in helping me finish my Ph.D. programme. And most importantly for my late father, whose remembrance gave me the immense courage to successfully finish my Ph.D. work on schedule.

Last but not the least, heartiest thanks to the Almighty, who bestowed all his blessings on me.

Joy Nondy

# Dedicated To My Family.

For their endless love, support and encouragement

# List of Figures

1.1	Energy flow diagram for a conventional power plant
1.2	Energy flow diagram for a typical CHP system
1.3	Energy flow diagram for a CCHP system 6
1.4	A block diagram showing steps of 4E analyses
3.1	Layout of the first CPC system (CPC system-I)
3.2	Layout of the second CPC system (CPC system-II)
3.3	Layout of the third CPC system (CPC system-III)
3.4	Layout of the forth CPC system (CPC system-IV)
3.5	A flowchart describing the ACS modelling procedure 63
3.6	Validation of ACS model with the data reported in Ref. [18] 70
3.7	Model validation of ST cycle with the data reported in Ref. [4] 71
3.8	Exergy flow diagram of CPC systems
3.9	Exergy flow diagram of CPC systems
4.1	Basic ORC
4.2	Recuperative ORC
4.3	Regenerative ORC
4.4	RR-ORC
4.5	Effect of evaporator temperature on the performance of ORCs 104
4.6	Effect of condenser temperature on the performance of ORCs 105
4.7	Effect of PPTD on the performance of ORCs
4.8	The Pareto front obtained from the multi-objective optimization of
	the ORC configurations
4.9	The Pareto fronts highlighted with the final optimal solution 107
5.1	Layout of the first CCHP system (CCHP system-I)
5.2	Layout of the second CCHP system (CCHP system-II)
5.3	Layout of the second CCHP system (CCHP system-III) 121

Layout of the forth CCHP system (CCHP system-IV)	5.4
Effect of AC pressure ratio on the overall performance of CCHP systems $157$	5.5
Effect of AC isentropic efficiency on the overall performance of CCHP	5.6
systems	
Effect of GT isentropic efficiency on the overall performance of CCHP	5.7
systems	
Effect of APH outlet temperature on the overall performance of CCHP	5.8
systems	
Effect of ST inlet pressure on the overall performance of CCHP systems $164$	5.9
Effect of PPTD on the overall performance of CCHP systems $165$	5.10
Pareto front obtained from the multi-objective optimization of system-	5.11
I	
Pareto front obtained from the multi-objective optimization of system-	5.12
II	
Pareto front obtained from the multi-objective optimization of system-	5.13
III	
Pareto front obtained from the multi-objective optimization of system-	5.14
IV	
Scattered distribution plots of decision variables	5.15
A block diagram of the PESA-II	A.1

# List of Tables

3.1	The input parameters used in the modelling of the GT cycle [10, 14].	56
3.2	The input parameters used in the modelling of the ST cycle and	
	HRSG [14, 21]	57
3.3	The input parameters used in the modelling of R-ORC and ACS [14, 18].	57
3.4	Exergy balance equations for all components of system-I and system-II.	67
3.5	Exergy balance equations for all components of system-III and system-	
	IV	68
3.6	Model validation for the GT-HRSG system ( $T_{GT,in}$ =1520 K, $\eta_{s,AC}$ =86%,	
	$\eta_{s,GT}{=}86\%,\Delta T_{pp,HRSG}{=}30$ K, $\dot{W}_{net,GT}{=}30$ MW )	69
3.7	Model validation for the R-ORC ( $P_{VG}$ =2500 kPa, $T_{COND,in}$ =298 K,	
	$T_{COND,out}{=}308$ K, $\Delta T_{pp,VG}{=}10$ K, $\dot{Q}_{VG}{=}252$ kW, Fluid: R123 )	69
3.8	Thermodynamic properties at various states of system-I	72
3.8	Thermodynamic properties at various states of system-I (continued).	73
3.9	Thermodynamic properties at various states of system-II	74
3.10	Thermodynamic properties at various states of system-III	75
3.11	Thermodynamic properties at various states of system-IV	77
3.11	Thermodynamic properties at various states of system-IV (continued).	78
3.12	Energy outputs of the four CPC systems	78
3.13	Component wise exergy performance parameters of systems-I and II.	79
3.14	Component wise exergy performance parameters of systems-III and IV. $$	80
4.1	The base case working conditions used for modelling the RR-ORC	
4.1	layouts [3]	93
4.2	Component-wise energy balance equations	94
4.2	-	94
	Component-wise exergy balance equations	96
4.4	· · ·	
4.5	The correlations used in calculation of the purchased equipment costs.	97
4.6	The cost equations formulated for the ORC layouts	97

4.7	The parameter settings used for executing PESA-II [8, 9] 100
4.8	Model validation of the ORC layouts with those of Ref. [19] 102
4.9	Optimal decision variables and objective function values of the four
	ORC configurations
4.10	The state properties, mass flow rate and the exergy rate at each state
	point of the ORC configurations
4.11	Energy-based performance parameters of the four ORC configurations.109
4.12	Exergy and Exergoeconomic parameters obtained at the optimal con-
	ditions of the ORC configurations
4.13	The cost flow rate and the cost per unit exergy at each state point of
	the ORC configurations
5.1	The parameters used in the modelling of the GT cycle [20, 26] 123
5.2	The parameters used in the modelling of the ST cycle and HRSG [26,
	33]
5.3	The parameters used in the modelling of RR-ORC and ACS $[25, 26, 30].124$
5.4	Exergy balance equations for all components of system-I
5.5	Exergy balance equations for all components of system-II 127
5.6	Exergy balance equations for all components of system-III 127
5.7	Exergy balance equations for all components of system-IV 128
5.8	Cost balance equations for each component of the system–I 132
5.8	Cost balance equations for each component of the system–I (continued).133
5.9	Cost balance equations for each component of the system–II $134$
5.10	Cost balance equations for each component of the system–III $135$
5.11	Cost balance equations for each component of the system–IV 136
5.11	Cost balance equations for each component of the system–IV (con-
	tinued)
5.12	Properties at various states points of system-I
5.12	Properties at various states points of system-I (continued) 144
5.13	Properties at various states points of system-II
5.14	Properties at various states points of system-III
5.15	Properties at various states points of system-IV
5.15	Properties at various states points of system-IV (continued) 148
5.16	Energy outputs of the four CCHP systems
5.17	Exergy and exergoeconomic performance of the components of system-
	I

5.18	exergy and exergoeconomic performance of the components of system-	
	II	51
5.19	Exergy and exergoeconomic performance of the components of system-	
	III	52
5.20	Exergy and exergoeconomic performance of the components of system-	
	IV	53
5.21	Exergy, exergoeconomic and environmental performance of the CCHP	
	systems	54
5.22	Performance comparison of the CCHP systems with CPC systems $15$	55
5.23	The weights of each objective function estimated using the Entropy	
	method	39
5.24	The optimal values of the decision variables	72
5.25	Comparison of objective function values at the base case and optimal	
	conditions	72
A.1	Purchase equipment costs at the optimal conditions of the ORC con-	
	figurations	9(
A.2	Purchase equipment costs for each component of four CCHP systems	
	at the optimal conditions	90

### List of Symbols

#### Abbreviations

4E Energy exergy exergoeconomic and environmental

ABS-I Absorber of ACS-I

ABS-II Absorber of ACS-II

AC Air compressor

ACS Absorption cooling system

ACS-I Steam driven ACS

ACS-II Gas driven ACS

APH Air preheater

APTD Approach point temperature difference

AWT Ammonia water turbine

CC Combustion chamber

CCPP Combined cycle power plant

CHP Combined heat and power

CON-I Condenser of ACS-I

CON-II Condenser of ACS-II

COND Condenser of ORC layouts

COP Coefficient of performance

CPC Combined power and cooling

ECO Economizer

ERS Ejector refrigeration system

EV-I Expansion valve of ACS-I

EV-II Expansion valve of ACS-II

EVA-I Evaporator of ACS-I

EVA-II Evaporator of ACS-II

FH Feed heater

FP Feed pump

GA Genetic algorithm

GDEA Genetic diversity evolutionary algorithm

GEN-I Generator of ACS-I

GEN-II Generator of ACS-II

GT Gas turbine

HRSG Heat Recovery Steam Generator

HRVG Heat recovery vapour generator

HVAC Heating ventilation and air conditioning

IEA International energy association

IHE Internal heat exchanger

KC Kalina cycle

MCDA Multi-criteria decision analysis

MOEA Multi-objective evolutionary algorithm

MOGA Multi-objective genetic algorithm

MOPSO Multi-objective particle swarm optimization

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

NSGA-II Non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II

OFWH Open feed water heater

ORC Organic Rankine cycle

OWH Open water heater

PESA-II Pareto envelope-based selection algorithm-II

PPTD Pinch point temperature difference

PSO Particle swarm optimization

R-ORC Recuperative organic Rankine cycle

RR-ORC Recuperative-Regenerative organic Rankine cycle

SHE-I Solution heat exchanger of ACS-II

SHE-I Solution heat exchanger of ACS-I

SOFC Solid oxide fuel cell

SP-I Solution pump of ACS-I

SP-II Solution pump of ACS-II

SPEA-II Strength Pareto evolutionary algorithm-II

SPECO Specific exergy costing

ST Steam turbine

SUP Superheater

System-I First configuration of CPC/CCHP system

System-II Second configuration of CPC/CCHP system

System-III Third configuration of CPC/CCHP system

System-IV Fourth configuration of CPC/CCHP system

TOPSIS Technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution

VG Vapor generator

VT Vapor Turbine

WH Water heater

#### **Greek letters**

 $\Delta$  Percentage change (%)

 $\eta$  Energy efficiency (%)

 $\omega$  Effectiveness (%)

 $\phi$  Maintenance factor (-)

 $\pi$  Dimensionless pressure (-)

 $\theta$  Dimensionless temperature (-)

 $\varepsilon$  Exergy efficiency (%)

#### Nomenclature

 $\bar{e}_k^{CH}$  Chemical exergy per mole of a component (kJ/kmol)

 $\bar{M}$  Molecular weight (kmol)

 $\Delta T_{pp}$  Pinch point temperature difference (K)

 $\dot{C}$  Cost rate (\$/h)

 $\dot{C}_{D,k}$  Exergy destruction cost rate (\$/h)

 $\dot{C}_{L,k}$  Exergy loss cost rate rate (\$/h)

 $\dot{E}$  Exergy rate (kW)

 $\dot{m}$  Mass flow rate (kg/s)

 $\dot{n}$  Molar flow rate (kmol/s)

 $\dot{Q}$  Heat Load (kW)

 $\dot{W}$  Work rate (kW)

 $\dot{Z}_k$  Capital cost rate (\$/h)

c Cost per unit exergy (\$/GJ)

 $c_{F,k}$  Cost per unit of fuel exergy (\$/GJ)

 $c_{P,k}$  Cost per unit of product exergy (\$/GJ)

CRF Capital recovery factor (-)

 $f_k$  Exergoeconomic factor (%)

h Specific enthalpy (kJ/kg)

j Interest rate (%)

LHV Lower heating value (kJ/kg)

N Annual service hours (h)

n Service life (years)

P Pressure (kPa)

 $PEC_k$  Purchase equipment cost (\$)

R Universal gas constant (kJ/kmol.K)

 $r_k$  Relative cost difference (%)

 $r_p$  Air compressor pressure ratio (-)

s Specific entropy (kJ/kgK)

 $S_{CO_2}$  Specific  $CO_2$  emission (kg/MWh)

T Temperature (K)

 $T_{pz}$  Adiabatic flame temperature (K)

w Weights (-)

X Mass percentage (%)

 $x_i$  Mole fraction

### Subscripts

0 Dead state

a Air

ch Chemical

D Destruction

e Exit

env Environment

F Fuel

g Gas

gen Generator

i Inlet

kt Kinetic

L Loss

P Product

ph Physical

pt Potential

r Refrigerant

s Steam/isentropic state

ss Strong solution

tot Total

ws Weak solution