Declaration

I, Satyajit Das, hereby declare that the present thesis, entitled "Design and Development of Capacitive Sensor for Bitterness Assessment in Citrus Fruit Juices", is the record of work done by me under the supervision of Prof. Partha Pratim Sahu, Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Tezpur University, Tezpur. The contents of the thesis represent my original works that have not been previously submitted for any Degree/Diploma/Certificate in any other University or Institutions of Higher Education.

This thesis is being submitted to Tezpur University for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Electronics and Communication Engineering.

Place: Tezpur University, Tezpur	Satyajilean
Date:	(Satyajit Das)

TEZPURUNIVERSITY



(A Central University Established by an Act of Parliament)
Napaam, Tezpur-784028, Sonitpur, Assam, India

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, "Design and Development of Capacitive Sensor for Bitterness Assessment in Citrus Fruit Juices", submitted to the School of Engineering, Tezpur University in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Electronics and Communication Engineering is a record of research work carried out by Mr. Satyajit Das under my supervision and guidance.

All help received by him from various sources have been duly acknowledged.

No part of this thesis has been submitted elsewhere for award of any other degree.

(Supervisor)

Partha Pratim Sahu
Professor, Department of
Electronics and Communication
Engineering
School of Engineering, Tezpur University



TEZPURUNIVERSITY

(A Central University Established by an Act of Parliament)
Napaam, Tezpur-784028, Sonitpur, Assam, India

Certificate of the External Examiner

This is to certify that the thesis ent	titled "Design and Development of Cap	acitive
Sensor for Bitterness Assessment	in Citrus Fruit Juices" submitted b	y Mr.
Satyajit Das, Department of Electro	nics & Communication Engineering, Scl	nool of
Engineering, Tezpur University in p	artial fulfilment for the award of the deg	gree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Electroni	cs & Communication Engineering has	s been
examined by us on	and found to be satisfactory.	
The committee recommends for the	ne award of the degree of Doctor of	
Philosophy.		
Supervisor	External Examiner	
(Prof. Partha Pratim Sahu)	()
Date:	Date:	

Acknowledgement

At the very outset, I take it as an honour to express my profound gratitude and sincere thanks to my respected supervisor Partha Pratim Sahu, Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Tezpur University, for his invaluable supervision, guidance and constructive suggestions and particularly for his meticulous approach and gracious engagement at each and every stage of the course to make this project a reality and success.

I also express my gratitude to the Head of the Department, Electronics and Communication Engineering and all the faculty members and staff of the department for their untiring support and co-operation during the whole duration of my Ph.D. course. In addition I would also like to offer my sincere thanks to the institute authority for providing me the access to the laboratory and research facilities.

I wish to acknowledge Prof. P. Mishra, Professor, Department of FET, Tezpur University for her insightful suggestions. I offer my thanks to Dr. B. Mondal, Assistant Prof. Dept of ECE for his continuous help in my work. I would like to thank the Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Center (SAIC), Tezpur University, for providing facilities for characterization and express my gratitude to all staff members of SAIC. I offer my thanks to Dr. Arun Kumar Gupta and the research scholars of Food and Vegetable lab of FET dept. TU for their help in carry out my work. Also, I would like to express my gratitude to my lab mates and friends, Dr. Palash Phukan, Dr. Rewrewa Narzary, Dr. Jagat Das, Mr. Nithin Joseph Panicker, Dr. Mukut Senapati, Mr Geetartha Sarma, Mr. Mrigendra Yadav for their support and assistance. I am thankful to Ms. Honey Brahma for helping in the characterization process.

I am deeply gratefull to my father, mother, wife, my son, all my friends and family members for their unconditional support that have been my treasure and strength.

Last but not the least, heartiest thanks to the Almighty, who bestowed all his blessings on me.

Satyajit Das

Dedicated to my

Parents

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: The citrus fruits (a) Citrus Limetta (mosambi) (b) Citrus grandis (pomelo) (c) Citrus sinensis (orange)	12
Figure 2.2: Chemical structure of (a) naringin and (b) limonin	14
Figure 2.3: limonate- A-ring lactone (LARL) being converted to limonin in acidic medium and its reverse process	15
Figure 2.4: Degradation of limonin	21
Figure 2.5: Working of an electro-chemical sensor with different components	25
Figure 2.6: Parallel plate capacitor	26
Figure 3.1 (a) the IDE structure (b) Gradual transformation of parallel to planar geometry	39
Figure 3.2: (a) Interdigitated Electrodes Structure (b) Side view of IDE showing capacitances formed (c) the unit cell of IDE with permittivities of different medium (d) showing fringing field and transverse filed penetrating the dielectric, air and substrate	40
Figure 3.3: The capacitance model	41
Figure 3.4: Design and optimization of IDE parameters to improve the performance of the sensor: (a) Interdigitated Electrodes Structure showing geometric parameters (b) Geometric view of comb structure designed in COMSOL Multiphysics (c) Mesh analysis of the comb structure (d) Electric field colormap of IDE (e) simulation concept/ steps	43
Figure 3.5: (a) IDEs capacitance vs metallization ratio for We = 1 mm, L = 5 mm and and N=8 (b) capacitance vs width of the Electrodes for η =0.5, N=8 (c) Plots of Capacitance vs number of the Electrode fingers for η =0.5, N=8) (d) Change in capacitance with overlapping length of the fingers.	44
Figure 3.6: (a) & (b) Capacitance vs permittivity of the material for different values of "a" keeping width of the of electrodes constant (c) & (d) capacitance vs permittivity of the material for different values of "w" keeping the gap between the electrode constant	47
Figure.4.1: steps for green synthesis of CeO ₂ nanoparticles using D. indica	53

Figure 4.2: Reflux condenser.	54
Figure 4.3: (a) FTIR spectroscopic images of samples C1, C2, C3 and C4 (b) UV- spectroscopic images of samples C1, C2, C3 and C4	55
Figure 4.4. Figure 4.4. EDS spectra of the, samples (a) as-synthesized (C1) b C2 (c) C3 (d) C4 (e) XRD pattern of the as-synthesized CeO2 NPs (C1) and annealed sample (C3) (f) Raman spectra of C1 and sample C3	56
Figure 4.5: (a) FESEM image of the CeO ₂ nanoparticles sample (C1) (b) FESEM image of the sample (C3) annealed at 400°C (c) TEM image of the sample (C3) at 100nm scale (d) Particle size histogram of annealed CeO ₂ NPs (C3)	58
Figure 4.6: (a) the process showing the synthesis of magnesium silicate and PVA composite (b) FTIR analysis of MgSiO ₃ .xH ₂ O and MgSiO ₃ .xH ₂ O + PVA (c) XRD pattern of Magnesium silicate (MgSiO ₃ .xH ₂ O) (d) EDX analysis showing weight and atomic percentage of the composite.	59
Composite	3)
Figure 4.7: SEM image of MgSiO ₃ .xH ₂ O and PVA composite	61
Figure 4.8: (a) Showing fabrication steps and (b) drop coating of CeO ₂ +PVA over the IDE device (c) Fabrication flow chart of IDE sensor	62
Figure 4.9: Figure 4.9: a) The capacitance measuring set-using Aurduino UNO b) the PLX-DAQ data acquisition in PC	64
Figure 4.10: Sensing setup	64
Figure 4.11: (a) The equivalent circuit, showing charging and discharging of capacitor, Here, V- Supplied voltage, C=Cap. R= resistor through which the capacitor gets charged (b) the charging curve for capacitor	65
Figure 5.1: HPLC setup.	76
Figure 5.2: Sensing Mechanism of Limonin and CeO ₂	76
Figure 5.3: (a) time dependant analysis for the sensor at different concentrations of limonin standards (b) calibration curve with regression	
analysis	77
Figure 5.4: (a) Time dependant selectivity study with interfering compounds tested independently (b) columns showing gradual increase in capacitance	80

response for limonin with insignificance change with respect to other interfering compounds.	
Figure 5.5: Response of sensor shows Bars representing sensor's response to DI water and limonin conc (90 ppb, 100 ppb. 1ppm)	81
Figure 5.6: (a) time domain analysis of Citrus Ilimetta juice sample at different time interval after juice preparation at RT (b) limonin content in Citrus Ilimetta juice sample calculated at different time period (c) time domain analysis of Citrus grandis juice sample at different time interval after juice preparation at RT (d) limonin content in Citrus grandis juice sample calculated at different time period	82
Figure 5.7: HPLC analysis for (a) standard limonin (10 ppm) (b) Citrus grandis juice sample at different time (c) Citrus llimetta juice sample at different time	83
Figure 5.8: Comparison of HPLC results with sensor's output	84
Figure 5.9: Response time calculation considering real juice sample at 0h	85
Figure 5.10: Reusability of sensors (a) sensor tested with 5 ppm limonin standard (b sensor tested with 5 ppm limonin standard	86
Figure 6.1: adsorption of limonin on the surface of magnesium silicate	95
Figure 6.2: (a) Gallic acid standard curve (b) Standard regression analysis for Quercetin.	100
Figure 6.3: (a) capacitive response of the sensor with 4mM MgSiO ₃ .xH ₂ O for different concentration of limonin (b) calibration curves of the sensor for 1mM, 2mM, 4mM MgSiO ₃ .xH ₂ O-PVA composite	102
Figure 6.4: (a) Selectivity study using interfering compounds usually present in juice (b) bar graph exhibiting a gradual increase in capacitance with respect to limonin concentration with insignificance change for other interfering compounds	104
Figure 6.5: (a) Time-dependent capacitive response for model juices prepared (b) variation of sensors output with model juices	105
Figure 6.6: Detection limit of IDE sensor	105

Figure 6.7: sensor's performance on the electrodes fabricated on paper with andwithout sensing materials	106
Figure 6.8: (a) real time analysis of the citrus limetta juice sample at different time intervals after juice preparation (b) quantification of limonin content in real juice sample using HPLC.	106
Figure 6.9: comparison of sensor's response with HPLC analysis in term of limonin quantification	107
Figure 6.10: (a) real time analysis of the citrus grandis juice sample at different time intervals after juice preparation (b) quantification of limonin content in real juice sample using HPLC	107
Figure 6.11: bar showing decrease in limonin content of juice (held for 6 hrs of its preparation) with its percentage reduction when expose to the sensor for 60s and 120s (a) Citrus limetta at 0h(b) Citrus grandis at 0h (c) Citrus limetta at 6h (d) Citrus grandis at 6h	110
Figure 6.12: sensory evaluation of magnesium silicate treated and untreated juice	115
Figure 6.13: comparative study for fresh and debittered juice with respect to TPC, TFC and antioxidant activity for (a) citrus limetta (b) citrus grandis	116

Listof Tables

Table 2.1: Few Limonin assessment methods / techniques reported	19
Table 3.1: Comparison of different electrode materials	42
Table 3.2: Capacitance for IDE vs metallization ratio for W _e =1 mm and N=8	46
Table3.3: Capacitance for IDE vs Width of the Electrodes for η=0.5, N=8	46
Table 3.4: Capacitance for IDE vs number of the Electrode fingers for η=0.5, N=8	16
•	46
Table 3.5: Capacitance for IDE vs overlapping length of the Electrode fingers for η=0.5, N=8	47
Table 4.1: Calculation of average crystal size of CeO ₂ Nanoparticles particles	57
Table 4.2: Calculation of average crystal size of MgSiO3 particles	60
Table 4.3: Accuracy measurement of the capacitance measuring set up	65
Table 5.1: Calculation for the prediction interval	78
Table 5.2: Residual plot.	78
Table 5.3: Real time domain analysis using sensor and HPLC analysis for accuracy measurement for <i>citrus limetta</i>	83
Table 5.4: Real time domain analysis using sensor and HPLC analysis for accuracy measurement for <i>citrus grandis</i>	85
Table 5.5: Reusability of IDE sensor	87
Table 5.6: Shelf life of the sensor	88
Table 5.7: Comparative study with previously reported devices	89
Table 6.1: calculation for the prediction interval	103
Table 6.2 Residual plot	103

Table 6.3: Sensor based and HPLC analysis results for Citrus grandis fruit juice	108
Table 6.4: Reusability of IDE sensor	109
Table 6.5: Reproducibility of IDE sensor	109
Table 6.6: concentration of limonin, and its percentage removal in citrus limetta and citrus grandis juices treated with the fabricated device/sensor based on magnesium silicate hydrate	111
Table 6.7: Toxicity study of Magnesium Silicate	112
Table 6.8: Toxicity study for PVA	113
Table 6.9: Comparison of some of the reported sensors/devices for limonin quantification and debittering	113
Table 6.10: Cost and complexity comparison of some of the reported sensors/devices for limonin quantification/debittering	118
Table 6.11: Cost estimation (approximate) of the fabricated sensor	119

Nomenclature

Symbols:

a Gap between two consecutive electrodes

 β Line broadening at half the maximum intensity

 C_{total} Total capacitance

C_I Internal capacitance

C_e Edge capacitance

K(k) first-order elliptic integral,

k elliptical modulus Fringe capacitances along the length

L

C_f Fringe capacitances along the width W

C_{stray} Stray capacitance

C_{test} Capacitance under test (unknown capacitance)

 C_s Capacitance due to \mathcal{E}_s

 C_{t} Capacitance due to \mathcal{E}_{m}

 $C_{\rm f}$ Capacitance due to \mathcal{E}_{o}

Thickness of the electrode

D Average Crystallite size

e⁻ Electrons

 ε_0 Permittivity in vacuum

 $\varepsilon_{\rm m}$ Relative permittivity of sensing layer

 $\varepsilon_{\rm s}$ Relative permittivity of substrate

G Gap between Electrode fingers

h Hours

h Height of the sensing layer

K Dimensionless shape vector, constant

k Modulas of elliptical integral

 $L_{\rm f}$ Overlapping Length of the electrode

*L*e Length of the electrode

ns Nano seconds

N Number of electrodes

OH Hydroxyl radical

O- Oxygen ionic species

O₂-- Anionic superoxide radicals

R² Regression coefficient

R Sensor response

s second

 V_{Out} Output Voltage V_{in} Input voltage

W Width of the electrode fingers

q Charge

R Resistance

σ standard deviation

 θ Diffraction angle

 λ X-ray wavelength

Abbreviations:

Ag Silver

Au Gold

CeO₂ Cerium oxide

CAM (cytoplasmatic acetate mevalonate).

CNP Ceria Nanoparticles

DPPH 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl

2DMs Two-dimensional materials

DO Dissolved oxygen

DI De-ionized

EIA Enzyme-linked-immuno adsorbent assay

EDX Energy Dispersion

FTIR Fourier transform infrared

FESEM Fourier Enhanced Scanning Electron Microscopy

FWHM Full Width Half Median

GC Gas chromatography

GAC Gallic Acid Content

GLC Gas Liquid chromatography

HPLC High performance Liquid chromatography

HLB Huanglongbing

IDEs Interdigitated electrodes

LCD Liquid crystal display

LOD Limit of detection

LARL Limonite A-ring lactone

MRF Magnetic Resonance Finger Printing

MUT Material under test

MIPs Molecularly imprinted polymers

MgO Magnesium Oxide

nm Nano metre

NDT Nondestructive testing

NPs Nanoparticles

OFS Optical fiber sensor

OECT Organic Electrochemical Transistor

ppm Parts per million

ppb Parts per billion

PVA Poly Vinyl Alcohol

POF plastic optical fiber

PTFE Polytetrafluoro ethylene

RT Room temperature

RSD Relative standard deviation

Rpm Rotation per minute

RIA Radioimmunoassay

SiO₂ Silicon Di oxide

SD Standard Deviation

SNR signal to noise ratio

SEM Scanning Electron Microscopy

TLC Thin Layer Chromatography

TPC Total Phenolic Content

TEM Transmission Electron Microscopy

TFC Total Flavonoid Content

UV Ultra –violate

UV-Vis Ulta violet vissible

XRD R- ray Diffraction