Table of contents

Title			Page No.
Abstract			i-ii
Declaration			iii
Certificate			iv
Acknowledgement			v-vii
Table of contents			viii-xiii
List of tables			xiv-xvi
List of figures			xvii-xviii
Abbreviations used			xix
Chapter-I			**1-32**
Introduction			
1.0	Concept of Resilience		1-3
1.1	What is Academic Resilience		3-5
1.2	Academic Resilience- what it comprises?		5-7
	1.2.1	Risk factors	5-6
	1.2.2	Protective factors	6-7
1.3	Protective factors of Academic Resilience		7-13
	1.3.1	Socio-emotional skills	8-9
	1.3.2	Motivation	9-10
	1.3.3	Cognition	10-11
	1.3.4	Meta-cognition	11-12
	1.3.5	Self-belief	12-13
1.4	Can Academic Resilience be enhanced?		13-15
1.5	Significance of developing Academic Resilience among Students in Indian Schools		15-16
1.6	Secondary level of Education: an important stage of developing Academic Resilience among the Students		16-17
1.7	Need of developing Academic Resilience among Secondary level Students from Flood affected Area		18-20
1.8	How can Academic Resilience be stimulated?		20-21
1.9	How does Intervention Program matter for fostering Academic		21-24

Resilience among Students?
1.10 Rationale behind the selection of the Intervention Program for the Present Study 24-26
1.11 Rationale of the Study 26-29
1.12 Statement of the Problem 30
1.13 Operational Definition of the Terms Used 30
1.14 Objectives of the Study 30-31
1.15 Hypotheses of the Study 31-32
1.16 Delimitations of the Study 32
Chapter-II **33-67**
Review of Related Literature
2.0 Introduction 33
2.1 Studies related to Academic Resilience and its Factors. 33-52
2.2 Studies related to Designing Intervention Program on Academic Resilience 53-61
2.3 Studies related to Academic Resilience and Flood Affected Students 61-64
2..4 Critical Appraisal of the Literature Reviewed 64-67
Chapter-III **68-88**
Methodology
3.0 Introduction 68
3.1 Locale of the Study 68-69
3.2 Research Design 69-70
3.3 Participants 70-71
3.4 Clarification of important variables and concepts used in the present study 71
3.5 Controlling the Confounding factors/threats of the Study 72-73
3.6 Experimental Process 73-75
3.7 Tools Used 76-87
3.7.1 Instructional tools 76
3.7.1.1 Academic Resilience Module 76-79
3.7.1.1.1 Characteristics of the Academic Resilience Module 79
3.7.1.1.2 Importance of designing Academic 79-80

Resilience Module
3.7.1.1.3 Glimpse of the activities used and ways/strategies taught for the development of the Academic Resilience 80-81
3.7.1.1.4 Design of the Academic Resilience Module for the development of Academic Resilience among the Secondary level Students 82
3.7.1.2 Usual traditional way of teaching 82
3.7.2 Testing Tool 83
3.7.2.1 Academic Resilience Scale 83
3.7.2.2 Development of the Academic Resilience Scale 83
3.7.2.3 Pilot Study 83
3.7.2.4 Item Analysis 84-85
3.7.2.5 Final Draft of the Scale 86
3.7.2.6 Standardization of the Scale 86
3.7.2.7 Reliability of the Scale 86
3.7.2.8 Validity of the Scale 86
3.8 Procedure of Collection of Data 87
3.9 Statistical Techniques Used 87
3.10 Ethical Consideration 87-88
Chapter-IV **90-112**
Analysis and Interpretation of Data
4.0 Introduction 90
4.1 Data Analysis and interpretation 90
4.1.1 Hypothesis 1- There is no significant difference between the overall mean Academic Resilience score of Students of Control and Experimental group at the pre-test and post-test level. 90-94
4.1.2 Hypothesis 2- There is no significant difference between the mean Academic Resilience score of Students of Control group and Experimental group at the pre-test and post-test 94-95

level with reference to their Socio-emotional skill.

4.1.3	Hypothesis 3- There is no significant difference between the mean Academic Resilience score of Students of Control group and Experimental group at the pre-test and post-test level with reference to their Motivation level.	95-96
4.1.4	Hypothesis 4- There is no significant difference between the mean Academic Resilience score of Students of Control group and Experimental group at the pre-test and post-test level with reference to their Cognitive level.	96-97
4.1.5	Hypothesis 5- There is no significant difference between the mean Academic Resilience score of Students of Control group and Experimental group at the pre-test and post-test level with reference to their Meta-cognitive level.	97-99
4.1.6	Hypothesis 6- There is no significant difference between the mean Academic Resilience score of Students of Control group and Experimental group at the pre-test and post-test level with reference to their Self-belief level.	99-100
4.1.7	Hypothesis 7- There is no significant difference between the overall mean Academic Resilience score of students of Control group and Experimental group at the post-test and delayed post-test level.	100-102
4.1.8	Hypothesis 8- There is no significant difference between the overall mean Academic Resilience score of students of Control group and Experimental group at the post-test and delayed post-test level with reference to their Socio-emotional skill.	102-103
4.1.9	Hypothesis 9- There is no significant difference between the overall mean Academic Resilience score of students of Control group and Experimental group at the post-test and delayed post-test level with reference to their Motivation level.	103-105
4.1.10	Hypothesis 10- There is no significant difference between the overall mean Academic Resilience score of students of	105-106

Control group and Experimental group at the post-test and delayed post-test level with reference to their Cognitive level.

4.1.11 Hypothesis 11- There is no significant difference between the overall mean Academic Resilience score of students of Control group and Experimental group at the post-test and delayed post-test level with reference to their Meta-cognitive level. 106-107

4.1.12 Hypothesis 12- There is no significant difference between the overall mean Academic Resilience score of students of Control group and Experimental group at the post-test and delayed post-test level with reference to their Self-belief level. 107-110

4.1.13 Hypothesis 13- There is no significant difference in the mean Academic Resilience score of students of Control group at the post-test and delayed post-test level. 110-111

4.1.14 Hypothesis 14- There is no significant difference in the mean Academic Resilience score of students of Experimental group at the post-test and delayed post-test level. 112

Chapter-V **113-131**

Major findings and discussion

5.0 Introduction 113

5.1 Findings in relation to Objective 2 113

5.2 Discussion 114-115

5.3 Findings in relation to Objective 3 116

5.4 Discussion 116-117

5.5 Findings in relation to Objective 4 117-118

5.6 Discussion 118-119

5.7 Findings in relation to Objective 5 119

5.8 Discussion 119-121

5.9 Findings in relation to Objective 6 121

5.10 Discussion 121-123

5.11 Findings in relation to Objective 7 123

5.12	Discussion	124-125
5.13	Overall Discussion	127-129
5.14	Educational implications of the study	129-130
5.15	Limitations of the study	130
5.16	Suggestions for further research	130-131
Chapter-VI		**132-143**
Summary of the Study		
6.0	Introduction	132
6.1	Rationale of the Study	133
6.2	Statement of the Problem	134
6.3	Operational definition of the terms used	134
6.4	Objectives of the Study	134-135
6.5	Hypotheses of the Study	135-136
6.6	Delimitations of the Study	136
6.7	Profile of the study area	136-137
6.8	Methodology of the study	137
6.9	Participants	138-139
6.10	Tools Used	139
6.11	Procedure of Data Collection	139-140
6.12	Statistical Techniques Used	140
6.13	Major Findings and Conclusion	140-142
6.14	Educational Implications of the Study	142-143
6.15	Suggestions for Further Research	143
Bibliography		**144-174**
Appendices		**175-320**
Appendix A-Academic Resilience Module (English version)		175-306
Appendix B- Academic Resilience Scale		307-316
Appendix C- Permission Letter for Conducting the Experiment		317
Appendix D-Certificate from the School After Completion Of The Experiment		318
Appendix E-Permission Letter for Data Collection		319
Appendix F-Certificate from the Control Group School After Data Collection		320