Declaration

I, Ms. Kathryn Rai, do hereby declare that my thesis entitled "Brain hemispheric

dominance, Metacognitive awareness and Perceptual learning style preferences as

correlates of Senior secondary school students' Academic achievement in Biology"

submitted to the Department of Education, School of Humanities and Social sciences,

Tezpur University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of

Philosophy, represents my original research work and has not been submitted anywhere

else for any other degree. This research has been carried out by me under the supervision

of Dr. Rajinder Singh, Department of Education, Tezpur University, Assam, India. The

materials obtained from other sources that were incorporated into this thesis have been

duly acknowledged.

Date:

Place: Tezpur University

Kathryn Rai

Research scholar

Dept. of Education

Tezpur University

iii



TEZPUR UNIVERSITY

(A Central University established by an Act of Parliament)

Dr. Rajinder Singh Assistant Professor

Email: rajinderbadotra@gmail.com

Certificate from the Supervisor

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "Brain hemispheric dominance, Metacognitive

awareness and Perceptual learning style preferences as correlates of senior secondary

school students' Academic achievement in Biology" submitted to the Department of

Education, School of Humanities and Social sciences, Tezpur university, in partial

fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, has been carried

out by Ms. Kathryn Rai under my supervision and guidance.

All help received by her from various sources have been duly acknowledged. No part

of this thesis has been submitted anywhere else for the award of any other degree.

Date: 23-04-2024

Place: Tezpur

Dr. Rajinder Singh

Supervisor

Dept. of Education

Tezpur University



TEZPUR UNIVERSITY

(A Central University established by an Act of Parliament)

Dr. Rajinder Singh **Assistant Professor**

Email: rajinderbadotra@gmail.com

Certificate from the Supervisor

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "Brain hemispheric dominance, Metacognitive

awareness and Perceptual learning style preferences as correlates of senior secondary

school students' Academic achievement in Biology" submitted to the Department of

Education, School of Humanities and Social sciences, Tezpur university, in partial

fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, has been carried

out by Ms. Kathryn Rai under my supervision and guidance.

All help received by her from various sources have been duly acknowledged. No part

of this thesis has been submitted anywhere else for the award of any other degree.

Date:

Dr. Rajinder Singh

Place: Tezpur

Supervisor

Dept. of Education

Tezpur University

iv

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to the following people who contributed and shared their precious time, support, encouragement, and inspiration for the realization of this endeavor:

My Almighty Father in Heaven, for His blessings, never failing love and abundant grace in my life (Philippians 14:3).

My Supervisor, Dr. Rajinder Singh, for the extremely supportive role he has played throughout my research journey. His most valuable contribution to me has been his time. His busy schedules never hindered him from making time for me to review my work and discuss my progress, which I highly appreciate. He was always there, ready to mentor, ready to help me at any time, day or night. I alone, can understand the crucial role played by his incessant prodding and the confidence he had in my ability to complete this work. I am deeply grateful to him for his exceptional level of care and understanding. Regardless of the challenges we faced in this long journey, he has always been there for me and I couldn't ask for more.

My parents, for always believing that I could achieve this. Thank you for your incessant prayers and support. Thank you for always putting my education first, above everything else.

Prof. Nil Ratan Roy, Former Head of the Department, during whose tenure I completed the pre-submission of my thesis. I have the highest regard for him for being such an empathetic and altruistic person with an egalitarian approach. I am enormously grateful to him for his never-ending support, kindness and good will towards me. In particular, I'd want to express my gratitude for the excellent academic and administrative support he provided throughout the years, and the diligence and promptness with which he handled all of my requests for help.

Dr. Shashapra Chakrawarty, current Head of the Department, under whose term I am completing the final submission of my thesis. I admire her for being a figure of aspiration for all women in the educational field and thank her for her continuous guidance and support throughout my research journey.

The Doctoral Research Committee (DRC) members, Prof. Nil Ratan Roy and Dr. Hitesh Sharma for all their constructive input and continuous support towards the completion of this thesis.

All the other faculty members of the Department of Education, Dr. Yeasmin Sultana, Dr. Pratima Pallai, Dr. Sanghamitra Das, Dr. Shradhanjali Pradhan, Dr. R.D. Padmavathy, Dr. Gopal Singh, Mr. Mohammad Asif, Dr. Akash Ranjan and Dr. Akhilesh Kumar, Tezpur University, for all their insightful comments, observations, and suggestions that made it possible for me to come this far. Thank you for being the nicest, helpful and most understanding teachers.

Tezpur University, for providing the infrastructure and appropriate resources to conduct my research. I cannot think of a better place that offers both support and a serene environment to pursue this endeavor.

The Human Resource Development Department (HRDD), Government of Sikkim, India, for their cooperation and help towards this study.

All the Principals and Vice-principals of the respective schools, for being so accommodating and helpful. I want to thank them for the support they provided in rearranging their time tables, enabling me with enough time to collect data from the students. It will not be possible for me to name each one of them here but I shall remain ever grateful to all of them for their kindness. I also want to thank all the students (from whom I collected data) for their active participation in this study.

My dearest friends, Debolina Mukherjee and Gaurav Sarkar, who are part of my supervisor's research group, and with whom I have experienced this journey the most. I appreciate you being there for me through all the good times and bad. We were and will always be a team, uplifting, encouraging and helping one another.

All the research scholars of my department, for sharing this research journey with me. We experienced an array of faltering hardships and emotions together in this long journey, but none of us ever gave up. We pushed on towards our goals and I shall carry these as life's lessons wherever I go.

Sunita Guleria ma'am, for being a friend and confidante, whose care and concern for me has been my strength throughout this journey.

My closest friends, Dr. H. N. Jones Shangpliang and Ms. Brinda Rai, for their enormous help during my field work in Sikkim. My immense appreciation also goes to my childhood friend, Mr. Paljor Namgyal Bhutia and his parents for their kindness and generosity towards me.

Mr. Konark Rai, alumnus, IIM, Bangalore, India, for offering me immense help, moral support and encouragement throughout this journey. His understanding of Mathematics, his expertise on numerical data, his knowledge, vocabulary and incredible writing skills will always inspire me.

Dr. Deep Jyoti Gurung, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Commerce, Christ University, Bangalore, India, for his assistance in the statistical analysis of my data.

Suman, Anupam dada, Nilakshi, and Amarnath dada, for their invaluable assistance in all official and technical tasks, that helped me come this far. Thank you for being so cooperative and supportive in everything.

Bhupen Chetry dada, for so patiently giving me time and putting a lot of effort into printing this thesis.

Last but not the least, I must acknowledge the unconditional love of Elsa, my pet dog back home in Sikkim and all the stray animals at my university campus in Assam. Thank you, Chumki, Goofy, Timtim, Rony, Kalu cat, Kalu dog, Mimi, Bura 1, Bura 2, Leanie, Danny, Tuktuk, Beta, Beti, Juno, Becky, Bruno, Tinku, Toto, Robin, Dacoit, Lara, Shanky, Bholu, Hachi, Oreo, Lily 1, Lily 2, Cloud, Kaaliya, Sumo, Nima, Munni, Munni's babies, Tiku, Luna, Rocky, Lena, Pintu, Kaali for bringing out the best in me every day.

All my furry friends who have crossed the rainbow bridge- Jeffy, Raatey, Kaaley, Benzy, Bholu, Layla, Daisy, Cheetah, Puipui, Brownie, Alena, Puchki, Tini, Husky, Spirit, Ron, Rambo, and many more, thank you for touching my life in ways you will never know. Because there are so many of them, it will not be possible for me to mention all of them here. I just hope I get to meet you all in heaven one day! I can already picture you ecstatically racing towards me. As bizarre as this research journey was with so many highs and lows, so fulfilled was my soul in receiving their unconditional love throughout, for which I shall remain ever grateful.

Kathryn Rai

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Literacy rate of Sikkim	9
Table 1.2 Literacy rate of Sikkim compared to other North eastern states of India	9
Table 1.3 Number of schools in Sikkim	10
Table 1.4 Enrolment of students in schools	10
Table 1.5 Enrolment by Age and Grade (Senior secondary level)	10
Table 1.6 Enrolment by Stream and Grade (Senior secondary level)	11
Table 3.1 School Wise Distribution of the final sample for the study	91
Table 3.2 Distribution of Sample with Respect to gender and type of school	92
Table 3.3 Internal consistency reliability of the BDI	92
Table 3.4 Z-score norms for the MAI	93
Table 3.5 Norms for interpretation of Z-Score for the MAI	94
Table 3.6 Internal consistency of the total MAI	94
Table 3.7 Item-Total Statistics of the MAI	94
Table 3.8 Internal consistency reliability for Dimension 1: Metacognitive knowledge	96
Table 3.9 Internal consistency reliability for Dimension 2: Metacognitive regulation	96
Table 3.10 Z-score norms for the PLSPS	98
Table 3.11 Norms for Interpretation of Z-Scores for the PLSPS	98
Table 3.12 Internal consistency for Visual learning (V)	99
Table 3.13 Item-Total Statistics of Visual learning (V)	99
Table 3.14 Internal consistency for Auditory learning (A)	99
Table 3.15 Item-Total Statistics of Auditory learning (A)	100
Table 3.16 Internal consistency for Kinaesthetic learning (K)	100
Table 3.17 Item-Total Statistics of Kinaesthetic learning (K)	100
Table 3.18 KMO and Bartlett's Test	101
Table 3.19 Principal Axis Factoring with Promax Rotation for MA and PLSPS dimension	ns 101
Table 3.20 Weightage to Objectives	102

Table 3.21 Weightage to Types of questions	102
Table 3.22 Distribution of items according to the chapter and cognitive domains	102
Table 3.23 Difficulty values (DV) and Discrimination Indices (DI) of the items	105
Table 3.24 Z-score Norms for Achievement test	107
Table 3.25 Norms for Interpretation of Z-Scores for Achievement test	108
Table 4.1 Descriptive statistics on the Brain hemispheric dominance scores	110
Table 4.2 Shapiro Wilk test of Normality for Brain hemispheric dominance scores	110
Table 4.3 Percentages for left, right and whole brain dominance of the total sample	112
Table 4.4 Descriptive statistics on the Metacognitive awareness scores	114
Table 4.5 Shapiro Wilk test of Normality for Metacognitive awareness scores	. 114
Table 4.6 Percentage count for Metacognitive awareness levels of the total sample	115
Table 4.7 Descriptive statistics on the Perceptual learning style scores	. 117
Table 4.8 Shapiro Wilk test of Normality for Perceptual learning style scores	. 117
Table 4.9 Percentage count for each type of Perceptual learning style	118
Table 4.10 Descriptive statistics on the Academic achievement scores	119
Table 4.11 Shapiro Wilk test of Normality for Academic achievement scores	119
Table 4.12 Percentage count for Academic achievement levels of the total sample	121
Table 4.13 MA and PLSP of senior secondary students according to their Academic Achievement levels	. 123
Table 4.14 Brain hemispheric dominance and Gender	. 126
Table 4.15 Group statistics of Metacognitive awareness according to gender	. 127
Table 4.16 Independent samples t-test for Metacognitive awareness and Gender	127
Table 4.17 Group statistics of Perceptual learning style preferences according to gender	128
Table 4.18 Independent samples t-test for Perceptual learning style preferences and Gender	
Table 4.19 Group statistics of Academic achievement according to gender	129
Table 4.20 Independent samples test for Academic achievement and Gender	129
Table 4.21 Brain hemispheric dominance and type of school	129
Table 4.22 Group statistics of Metacognitive awareness according to type of school	. 130

Table 4.23 Independent samples t-test for Metacognitive awareness and type of school 130
Table 4.24 Group statistics of Perceptual learning style preferences according to type of school
Table 4.25 Independent samples t-test for Perceptual learning style preferences and type of school
Table 4.26 Group statistics of Academic achievement according to type of school
Table 4.27 Independent samples test for Academic achievement and type of school
Table 4.28 Academic achievement levels * Brain hemispheric dominance cross tabulations
Table 4.29 Descriptive of Metacognitive awareness according to Achievement levels 134
Table4.30ANOVAtableforMetacognitiveawarenessandAcademicachievementlevels.134
Table 4.31 Hochberg test for multiple comparisons of Academic achievement levels with Metacognitive awareness
Table 4.32 Descriptive of Perceptual learning style preferences according to Achievement levels
Table 4.33 ANOVA table for Perceptual learning style preferences and Academic achievement levels
Table 4.34 Hochberg test for multiple comparisons of Academic achievement levels with Perceptual learning style preferences
Table 4.35 Relationship between Metacognitive Awareness, Perceptual Learning Style preferences and Academic achievement of Left brainers
Table 4.36 Relationship between Metacognitive Awareness, Perceptual Learning Style preferences and Academic achievement of Right brainers
Table 4.37 VIF values testing Multicollinearity
Table 4.38 Regression model summary for left brained students
Table 4.39 Coefficients
Table 4.40 Regression model summary for right brained students
Table 4.41 Coefficients.

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Educational policies of the Indian government (1952-2008)
Figure 1.2 Educational policies of the Indian government (2008-2019)
Figure 1.3 Placement of Sikkim in India
Figure 1.4 Outline map of Sikkim
Figure 1.5 The 4MAT model (McCarthy, 1990)
Figure 1.6 Whole brain model (Herrmann-Nehdi, 1988)
Figure 1.7 John Flavell's Model of Metacognition (1979)
Figure 1.8 Ann Lesley Brown's Model of Metacognition (1987)
Figure 1.9 Nelson & Naren's Model of Metacognition (1990)
Figure 1.10 Schraw and Dennison's model of Metacognitive Awareness (1994)
Figure 1.11 Efklide's components of metacognition (2006)
Figure 3.1 Area of Study
Figure 3.2 Diagrammatic representation of determination of sample size
Figure 3.3 Flowchart showing stages for determination of sample size
Figure 4.1 Normal probability curve for Brain dominance scores
Figure 4.2 Normal Q-Q plot of Brain hemispheric dominance scores
Figure 4.3 Bar graph representing the percentages of left, right and whole brain dominance of the total sample
Figure 4.4 Percentages for left and right brain dominance of female and male students 113
Figure 4.5 Percentages for left and right brain dominance of government and private school students
Figure 4.6 Normal probability curve for Metacognitive awareness scores
Figure 4.7 Normal Q-Q plot of Metacognitive awareness scores
Figure 4.8 Bar graph representing the percentages for Metacognitive awareness levels of the total sample
Figure 4.0 Percentages for Metacognitive awareness levels of female and male students 116

Figure 4.10 Normal probability curve for Perceptual learning style preference scores 117
Figure 4.11 Normal Q-Q plot of Perceptual learning style preference scores
Figure 4.12 Percentages for Perceptual learning style preferences
Figure 4.13 Normal probability curve for Academic achievement scores
Figure 4.14 Normal Q-Q plot of Academic achievement scores
Figure 4.15 Bar graph representing the percentages of Academic achievement levels of the total sample
Figure 4.16 Percentages for Academic achievement levels of female and male students 122
Figure 4.17 Percentages for Academic achievement levels of government and private school students
Figure 4.18 BHD, MA and PLSPS for Very High achievers
Figure 4.19 BHD, MA and PLSPS for High achievers
Figure 4.20 BHD, MA and PLSPS for Average achievers
Figure 4.21 BHD, MA and PLSPS for Low achievers
Figure 4.22 Scatterplot showing homoscedasticity of data
Figure 4.23 Normal P-P Plot of Regression Standardized Residuals