

Contents

Abstract	ii
Declaration	vi
Certificate	vii
Acknowledgements	viii
Dedication	ix
Contents	x
List of Figures	xv
List of Tables	xx
List of abbreviations/notations	xxii
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 The context	1
1.2 Eutrophication mechanism and trophic status	3
1.2.1 Eutrophication mechanism	3
1.2.2 Trophic status	5
1.3 Effects of eutrophication	6
1.4 Need for modelling	7
1.5 Data-driven models	8
1.6 The present study	9
1.7 Organisation of the thesis	11
CHAPTER 2	12
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Global scenario of lake eutrophication	12
2.2 Background of lake modelling	15

2.3 Mathematical models	17
2.4 Data-driven models	24
2.4.1 Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Models	24
2.4.2 Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) models	30
2.4.3 Use of SVM and GPR in water quality modelling	36
2.5 Concluding remarks	40
CHAPTER 3	43
OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	
CHAPTER 4	45
EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY	
4.1 Experimental set-up	45
4.2 Water quality parameters investigated	48
4.2.1 Environmental Significance of investigated parameters	49
4.2.2 Experimental procedure	51
4.3 Tropic status investigation	52
4.4 Calculation of correlation coefficient	54
4.5 Summary of experimental methodology	54
CHAPTER 5	55
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ON WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS	
5.1 Results and discussion from 1st trial	55
5.1.1 Water quality analysis	56
5.1.1.1 pH	56
5.1.1.2 EC and TDS	57
5.1.1.3 DO	59
5.1.1.4 SD	60
5.1.1.5 TN and TP	60

5.1.1.6 BOD	62
5.1.1.7 Turbidity	63
5.1.1.8 Temperature	63
5.1.1.9 Statistical summary	65
5.1.2 TSI Evaluation	65
5.1.3 Evaluation of correlation coefficient	66
5.2 Results and discussion from 2nd trial	67
5.2.1 Water quality analysis	67
5.2.2 TSI Evaluation	75
5.2.3 Evaluation of correlation coefficient	76
5.3 Summary of experimental observations	78
CHAPTER 6	80
MODELLING METHODOLOGY	
6.1 Input and output parameter selection	80
6.2 MLR model	81
6.3 ANN model	82
6.3.1 ANN topology	82
6.3.2 Fixing number of neurons in hidden layer	84
6.3.3 Data normalization	84
6.4 SVR architecture	85
6.5 GPR architecture	87
6.6 ANFIS architecture	88
6.7 Model efficiency evaluation	91
6.7.1 Coefficient of determination (R ²)	91
6.7.2 Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (E)	91
6.7.3 Root mean square error (RMSE)	92

6.7.4 Mean absolute error (MAE)	92
6.8 Model validation	92
6.9 Sensitivity analysis	93
6.10 Summary	94
CHAPTER 7	96
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ON MODEL PERFORMANCE	
7.1 Model performance from 1st trial	96
7.1.1 Input Selection	96
7.1.2 MLR Models	97
7.1.3 ANN Models	99
7.1.4 SVR Models	104
7.1.5 GPR Models	106
7.1.5 Model validation	107
7.1.6 Sensitivity Analysis	114
7.1.7 Major observations on model performance	116
7.2 Model performance from 2nd trial	116
7.2.1 Input Selection	117
7.2.2 ANN Models	119
7.2.3 GPR Models	124
7.2.4 ANFIS Models	128
7.2.5 Model Validation	132
7.2.6 Sensitivity Analysis	144
7.2.7 Major observations on model performance	147
7.3 Summary on model performance	147
CHAPTER 8	151
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH SCOPE	

8.1 Conclusion	151
8.2 Future scope	152
APPENDIX-A	154
BIBLIOGRAPHY	155