

***DEDICATED TO ESHU, THE LIGHT OF MY LIFE, AND MY FAMILY  
MEMBERS FOR THEIR LOVE AND SUPPORT***

## DECLARATION

I, Namrata Gogoi, do hereby declare that the work reported in the thesis entitled '**Effectiveness of Task-Based Language Teaching in Developing Language Skills in English among Class VIII Students**' is entirely original and has been carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. Yeasmin Sultana, Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Tezpur University.

The materials which are obtained from other sources are duly acknowledged in the report. I affirm that neither this work as a whole nor a part of it has been submitted to any other university or institute for any other degree, diploma, or award.

Date : 24/07/2024

Place : Tezpur University



(Namrata Gogoi)

Registration No. TZ019001 of 2024



तेजपुर विश्वविद्यालय / TEZPUR UNIVERSITY  
(संसद के अधिनियम द्वारा स्थापित केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय)  
(A Central University established by an Act of Parliament)  
तेजपुर-784028 :: असम/ TEZPUR-784028 :: ASSAM

Dr. Yeasmin Sultana  
Assistant Professor  
Department of Education  
School of Humanities and Social Sciences

Email: yeasmin@tezu.ernet.in  
Phone: +03712-275653

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled '**Effectiveness of Task-Based Language Teaching in Developing Language Skills in English among Class VIII Students**' submitted to the School of Humanities and Social Science, Tezpur University in part fulfilment for the award of the degree of the Doctor of Philosophy in Education is a record of research work carried out by Ms. Namrata Gogoi under my supervision and guidance.

All help received by her from various sources have been duly acknowledged. No part of this thesis has been submitted elsewhere for award of any other degree.

*Sultana*  
23/7/24

(Dr. Yeasmin Sultana)  
Ph.D Supervisor  
Dept. of Education  
Tezpur University

Date:

Place: Tezpur University

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am sincerely thankful for this opportunity to convey my deep appreciation to everyone who has assisted and played a part in the completion of my doctoral dissertation. This work represents the culmination of a significant amount of committed research, and I am truly grateful to each person mentioned below for their consistent support and direction during this transformative process.

First and foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my esteemed supervisor, Dr. Yeasmin Sultana, Department of Education, Tezpur University. Her exceptional mentorship, scholarly wisdom, and unwavering commitment to excellence have been invaluable. Her insightful feedback, constructive criticism, and ongoing encouragement have not only shaped my research but also contributed significantly to my intellectual development. I am grateful for the trust she has placed in me, which has profoundly influenced my academic and personal development.

I am equally grateful to Dr. Sashapra Chakrawarty, the Head of the Department of Education, Tezpur University, for her invaluable advice and insightful remarks on my research work.

I extend my sincere regards to the esteemed members of my Doctoral Research committee, Dr. Sashapra Chakrawarty and Dr. Pratima Pallai, for their support, rigorous evaluation, and invaluable suggestions for improvement.

I am truly grateful to Professor Dr Nil Ratan Roy, Former HOD, for his unwavering support during various stages of my research journey. His insightful suggestions have been instrumental in shaping my work effectively and have significantly enriched the quality and depth of my research.

I am pleased to acknowledge the faculty members of the department: Dr. Hitesh Sharma, Dr. Sanghamitra Das, Dr. Sradhanjali Pradhan, Dr. R.D. Padmavathy, Dr. Gopal Singh, Dr. Akash Ranjan, Dr. Akhilesh Kumar, Mr. Mohammad Asif, and Dr. Antara Dey. Their valuable suggestions and academic support have significantly enhanced the quality of my research.

I wish to express my appreciation to the experts from NCERT, English and Foreign Languages University (EFLU), NCTE and Gauhati University who evaluated my research tools and provided valuable feedback to improve them for my fieldwork.

I would like to extend my gratitude to Mrs Tridipa Baruah, Principal In-Charge, Ganesh Mandir HS School and Mr. B Majumdar, Headmaster, Dakshin Beltola Prov. ME School for granting me permission to conduct my experimental study in their schools. Their unwavering support during the intervention period was crucial for the completion of my fieldwork. I also thank the English subject teachers of these schools and other faculty members for their unconditional assistance, ensuring the smooth execution of my fieldwork.

I express my gratitude to Dr. Dayananda Bhuyan, Principal, Dispur Govt. HS School, and Mr. Amulya Mohan Duarah, Retd. Headmaster, Beltola High School, for granting me permission to collect data during the pilot study.

The study would not have been possible without the support and active participation of my dear students from both schools. Their enthusiasm, engagement, and trust in me have been invaluable experiences that I will cherish, not only as a researcher but also as a teacher.

I am thankful to Mr. Ram Charan Bharali, Secretary, BEF Group of Institution, Dr. Poban Chandra Gogoi, Principal, National Institute for Teacher Education (NIFTE), Dr. Prabhat Chandra Bhuyan, HOD, M.Ed Department, NIFTE, and all the faculty members for their support during this research journey.

The journey of a research scholar is incomplete without good friends. My gratitude extends to my dear friends Anjuman Borah and Purnima Choudhury for their support. Special thanks are extended to my senior, Dr. Atreyee Sharma, Assistant Professor, EFLU, Hyderabad, for her invaluable guidance and support throughout my research endeavor.

I am especially grateful to my friend Ananya Bonjyotsna and my co-researcher, Ramanath, whose kindness and unwavering support have deeply impacted me. They exemplify the essence of genuine friendship and camaraderie.

I take great pleasure to thank my fellow researchers Debolina, Seema, Gaurav and Ujjal for their love and support. I also extend my gratitude to the other research scholars of the department who have always supported me whenever I needed it the most.

I am deeply grateful to the office staff of the department for their support and encouragement during each progress presentation and throughout all phases of my Ph.D.

journey. I express my gratitude to Nilakshi Saikia, Laboratory Assistant; Amarnath Sah, Technical Assistant; Suman Handique, Office Assistant; Chandan Nath, Library Attendant; Anupam Saikia, Laboratory Attendant; and Nitai Bauri.

My acknowledgment would be incomplete without thanking all my well-wishers who have consistently stood by me and offered moral support. Special thanks go to my dear students Munmi Hazarika Baruah, Biraj Deka, Dhritikana Nath, Nabajit Das, and Kashmiri Das for their support during my research work.

My special gratitude goes to Late Dr Daisaku Ikeda, my spiritual mentor, whose valuable guidance has been a source of strength and hope during times of distress. My Soka Gakkai family, whose care and concern for me, deserve my heartfelt gratitude.

I owe an immeasurable debt of gratitude to my family, whose unwavering love, encouragement, and sacrifices have been the cornerstone of my journey. I extend my deepest thanks to my parents for their boundless love, blessings, and support. They have consistently prioritized my needs above their own throughout this doctoral pursuit.

I am profoundly grateful to my dear sisters Dr Sampreety Gogoi and Noyonika Gogoi, my brothers-in-laws Amarjit Gogoi and Akash Prakashan, and my lovely nieces Agrima and Adrija. Their understanding and love have been a beacon of hope during this journey.

Special thanks go to my dear son, Ekansh, whose love and support have been a constant source of inspiration and motivation. His presence has been a source of joy and strength. The courage to embark on this research journey would not have been possible without my dearest friend Pinak Bhowmick. His unwavering belief in my capabilities, his encouragement, guidance, and support have been instrumental in helping me navigate the challenges and triumphs of my doctoral research.

Above all, I thank the Almighty for blessing me with such an incredible support system and protecting me and my loved ones at every moment.

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Captions</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Table 1.1	Difference between Task and Exercise	17
Table 3.1	Study design	130
Table 3.2	Description of sample	132
Table 3.3	Description of experimental group	136
Table 3.4	Description of control group	136
Table 3.5	Selected chapters for LSRW skills development	137
Table 3.6	TBLT module	139
Table 3.7	Diverse task types in TBLT module	145
Table 3.8	Item wise Discriminatory Index and Difficulty Index (for objective questions)	152
Table 3.9	Item wise Discriminatory Index (for subjective questions)	153
Table 3.10	Pearson Product Moment Correlation for Test-Retest of ELST	155
Table 3.11	Cronbach's Alpha reliability for items with individual dimensions and all items	155
Table 3.12	Descriptive statistics of the raw scores	156
Table 3.13	Z-Score norms for ELST (listening skills test)	156
Table 3.14	Norms based on Z Score for ELST (listening skills)	157
Table 3.15	Z-Score for ELST (speaking skills test)	157
Table 3.16	Norms based on Z Score for ELST (speaking skills)	158
Table 3.17	Z-Score for ELST (reading skills test)	158
Table 3.18	Norms based on Z Score for ELST (reading skills)	159
Table 3.19	Z-Score for ELST (writing skills)	159
Table 3.20	Norms based on Z Score for ELST (writing skills)	160
Table 3.21	Z-score for ELST (overall language skills)	160
Table 3.22	Norms based on Z Score for ELST (overall language skills)	161
Table 3.23	Item wise discrimination index of the Student TBLT Attitude Scale	164
Table 3.24	Distribution of positive and negative statements regarding students' TBLT attitudes	165
Table 3.25	Scoring pattern of the statements	166
Table 3.26	Pearson Product Moment Correlation for Test-Retest of the Attitude Scale	166
Table 3.27	Cronbach's Alpha reliability overall and individual dimensions of attitude scale	167

Table 3.28	Descriptive statistics of the raw scores.	167
Table 3.29	Z-score for overall attitude of students towards TBLT	168
Table 3.30	Norms for interpretation of Z-score for attitude of students towards TBLT	168
Table 3.31	Z-score for Dimension-1 (collaborative learning)	169
Table 3.32	Norms for Dimension-1 (collaborative learning) based on the Z-scores	169
Table 3.33	Z-score for Dimension-2 (teacher's role)	169
Table 3.34	Norms for Dimension-2 (teacher's role) based on the Z-scores	170
Table 3.35	Z-score for Dimension-3 (motivation)	170
Table 3.36	Norms for Dimension-3 (motivation) based on the Z-scores	170
Table 3.37	Z-score for Dimension-4 (Practical relevance)	171
Table 3.38	Norms for Dimension-4 (practical relevance) based on the Z-scores	171
Table 3.39	Z-score for Dimension-5 (satisfaction)	172
Table 3.40	Norms for Dimension-5 (satisfaction) based on the Z-scores	172
Table 3.41	Z-score for Dimension-6 (relevance to assessment method)	172
Table 3.42	Norms for Dimension-6 (relevance to assessment method) based on the Z-scores	173
Table 4.1	Results for test of normality for both pre-test and post-test scores	176
Table 4.2	Results for test for homogeneity of variances for pre-test and post-test scores	180
Table 4.3	Frequency and percentage of the listening skills development of the Class VIII students based on range of scores	181
Table 4.4	Abbreviations and symbols used	182
Table 4.5	Repeated Measures ANOVA results for listening skills	183
Table 4.6	(Part 4A,4B and 4C) - ANCOVA summary showing the effect of TBLT over TTM for the development of listening skills in English with regard to co-variation of post-test scores with pre-test scores.	184
Table 4.7	Frequency and percentage of the speaking skills development of the Class VIII students based on range of scores.	186
Table 4.8	Repeated Measures ANOVA results for speaking skills	187
Table 4.9	(Part 4A, 4B and 4C) - ANCOVA summary showing the effect of TBLT over TTM for the development of speaking skills in English with regard to co-variation of post-test scores with pre-test scores	188
Table 4.10	Frequency and percentage of the reading skills development of the Class VIII students.	190



Table 4.11	Repeated Measures ANOVA results for reading skills	191
Table 4.12	(Part 4A, 4B and 4C) - ANCOVA summary showing the effect of TBLT over TTM for the development of reading skills in English with regard to co-variation of post-test scores with pre-test scores	193
Table 4.13	Frequency and percentage of the writing skills development of the Class VIII students	194
Table 4.14	Repeated Measures ANOVA results for writing skills	195
Table 4.15	(Part 4A,4B and 4C) - ANCOVA summary showing the effect of TBLT over TTM for the development of writing skills in English with regard to co-variation of post-test scores with pre-test scores	197
Table 4.16	Frequency and percentage of the overall language skills development of the Class VIII students based on range of scores.	199
Table 4.17	Repeated Measures ANOVA results for overall language skills	200
Table 4.18	(Part 4A, 4B and 4C) - ANCOVA summary showing the effect of TBLT over TTM for the development of overall language skills in English with regard to co-variation of post-test scores with pre-test scores	201
Table 4.19	Dimensions of the Student TBLT Attitude Scale versus percentage of scores against each norm	203
Table 6.1	Description of Sample	246

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Captions</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Figure 1.1	Framework for Task-based Language Teaching	21
Figure 3.1	Non-Equivalent Control Group Design (Cohen and Morrison, 2011)	130
Map 3.1	Kamrup Metro District Map	131
Figure 3.2	Variables used in the study	133
Chart 3.1	Design of the study	138
Figure 3.3	Willis' (1996) TBLT Framework	147
Figure 4.1	Normality curve for all skill levels of CG	177
Figure 4.2	Normality curve for all skill levels of EG	178
Figure 4.3	Boxplots for all skill levels of CG and EG to verify the existence of any outliers	179
Figure 4.4	Graphical representation for mean level performance of CG and EG after pre-test and post-test	183
Figure 4.5	Graphical representation for mean level performance of CG and EG after pre-test and post-test	187
Figure 4.6	Graphical representation for mean level performance of CG and EG after pre-test and post-test	192
Figure 4.7	Graphical representation for mean level performance of CG and EG after pre-test and post-test.	196
Figure 4.8	Graphical representation for mean level performance of CG and EG after pre-test and post-test	200
Figure 4.9	Graphical Representation of collaborative learning	204
Figure 4.10	Graphical representation of teacher's role	204
Figure 4.11	Graphical representation of motivation	205
Figure 4.12	Graphical representation of practical relevance	205
Figure 4.13	Graphical representation of satisfaction	206
Figure 4.14	Graphical Representation of relevance to assessment method	206
Figure 4.15	Graphical representation of overall attitude	207

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA: Analysis of variance

ANCOVA: Analysis of co-variance

CAF: Complexity, Accuracy and Fluency

CBLT: Content-Based Language Teaching

CBSE: Central Board of Secondary Education

CEFR: Common European Framework of Reference for Languages

CF: Corrective Feedback

CLT: Communicative Language Teaching

CR: Consciousness Raising

CG: Control Group

CVR: Content Validity Ratio

CVI: Content Validity Index

*df*: Degree of Freedom

DIET: District Institute of Education and Training

EFL: English as a Foreign Language

EFLU: English and Foreign Languages University

ELT: English Language Teaching

ESL: English as a Second Language

ELST: English Language Skills Test

EG: Experimental Group

ESP: English for Specific Purpose

*F*: Analysis of variance results

*f*: frequency

*F<sub>y.x</sub>*: Analysis of variance results

GTM: Grammar Translation Method

IELTS: International English Language Testing System

L1: First Language

L2: Second Language

LSRW: Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing

*m*: Mean

*MS*: Adjusted Mean Squares

*MSS<sub>y.x</sub>*: Adjusted Mean Squares (co-variance)

*n*: Number of Students

NCF: National Curriculum Framework:

NCERT: National Council of Educational Research and Training

NCTE: National Council for Teacher Education

NEP: New Education Policy

NPE: National Policy on Education

*p*: Level of Significance

PPP: Presentation-Practice-Production

R2: Any Other Language, including English

SCERT: State Council of Educational Research and Training:

*SD*: Standard Deviation

SEBA: Board of Secondary Education, Assam

*SEM*: Standard Error Mean

SLA: Second Language Acquisition

SOS: Structural-Oral-Situational Method

$SS$ : Adjusted sum of squares

$SS_{y,x}$ : Adjusted sum of squares (co-variance)

TBI: Task-Based Instruction

TBLL: Task-Based Language Learning

TBLT: Task-Based Language Teaching

TSLT: Task-Supported Language Teaching

TTM: Traditional Teaching Method

WCF: Written Corrective Feedback

ZPD: Zone of Proximal Development