

Digital Receipt

This receipt acknowledges that <u>Turnitin</u> received your paper. Below you will find the receipt information regarding your submission.

The first page of your submissions is displayed below.

Submission author: Reetom Borkotoky

Assignment title: LLT

Submission title: Argument Structure Constructions The Case of Assamese

File name: Argument_Structure_Constructions_The_Case_of_Assamese....

File size: 32.72M

Page count: 142

Word count: 36,225

Character count: 197,149

Submission date: 01-Oct-2024 05:07PM (UTC+0530)

Submission ID: 2471433813

Title of the Thesis: Argument Structure Constructions: The Case of Assamese

Abstract

The Constructionist approach, relatively a new approach in the linguistics literature, grew as an alternative to the mainstream generative approach, which was rule based and componential in nature. The theory initially developed by Fillmore, Kay and O'Conno (1988) aimed at explaining the idiomatic expressions in language, which was largely unexamined or treated as exceptional (peripheral) within the existing approach. This formed a basis for the Constructionist approaches towards linguistic study.

Goldberg' approachs is known as the Cognitive Construction Grammar (1995), which is based on the Fillmone's approach, which treats the emergence of Argument Structure Constructions (see below) under the same leaning mechanism as idioms. Goldberg's approach is a syntactic analysis of argument structures, which claims that the verbs alone do not determine the arguments, but verbs and Argument Structure Constructions together determine the meaning in language. This approach can explain facts like why extrait verbs can occur in at least 6 different argument structures. Moreover, the approach can also explain the creative use of verbs in certain context, for instance, the typical intransitive verb 'sneeze' occurs with only one argument structures. Moreover, the approach can also explain the creative use of verbs in certain context, for instance, the typical intransitive verb 'sneeze' occurs with only one argument, i.e., the subject. However, there are instances where the verb 'sneeze' occurs in a construction with a subject. Object and oblique. This is what Goldberg terms as 'corction' i.e., a verb is coereed into a construction. The typical cases can be termed as 'fusion'. Thus, the theory claims that verbs are used with different ASCs, instead of the fact that the verb determines the number of arguments.

Within the Goldberg's constructionist approach, ASC denote generalized events which has general 'argument roles', whereas verbs denote specific events, which has specific 'participant role' (fiematic roles). The fusion of a verb with an ASC is determined by two principles, the 'semantic coherence' principle and the 'correspondence' principle. The semantic coherence principle and the 'participant role' of the verb must be an instance of the 'argument role' of the devent but he instance for the 'argument role' of the construction. Whereas, the correspondence principle states that if a verb consists of three participant roles, then one of them can be fused with a nonprofiled role, of the construction, i.e. the oblique.

'Frame Semantics' (Fillmore???) and construction grammar are regarded as sister theories. The frame semantic knowledge that is associated with a verb also plays a major role in the combination of a verb's 'participant' role with the construction's 'argument' role. An event has various elements. Linguistically, only those elements which are prominent in the event are realized in terms of direct grammatical relation, in terms of core encoding, and those which are less prominent are encoded as obliques. This is called the 'syntactically relevant aspect of verb meaning'. Thus, the syntax is a representation of the event denoted by a verb.

1

Argument Structure Constructions The Case of Assamese

by Reetom Borkotoky

Submission date: 01-Oct-2024 05:07PM (UTC+0530)

Submission ID: 2471433813

File name: Argument_Structure_Constructions_The_Case_of_Assamese.docx (32.72M)

Word count: 36225

Character count: 197149

Argument Structure Constructions The Case of Assamese

ORIGINALITY REPOR	т		
4% SIMILARITY IND	2% INTERNET SOURCES	4% PUBLICATIONS	O % STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY SOURCES			
	ethernet.edu.et t Source		<19
of th	JungEun. "Korean le English ditransiti of input frequency Juest, 20111004	ve constructio	n: The
	umen.pub t Source		<19
4	ent.ac.uk t Source		<19
Com Appi	ng Cheng, Qianwer plementary Study of coaches and Constr tledge, 2023	of Lexicalist	<1 ₉
	olarship.org t Source		<19
	nstruction Gramma	•	amins <19

Publishing Company, 2005

39	Samantha Laporte. "Corpora, Constructions, New Englishes", John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2021 Publication	<1%
40	Yeh, Hsin-hung. "Chinese Verb Phrases: Continuum of Patterns with Different Lexical Statuses.", Stanford University, 2020 Publication	<1%
41	m.moam.info Internet Source	<1%
42	www.teses.usp.br Internet Source	<1%

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

< 14 words

Exclude bibliography On