Table of Contents

Title

	Dedicat	tion	i	
	Abstrac	et	ii	
	Declara	ition	vii	
	Certific	eate from Supervisor	viii	
	Acknow	vledgement	ix	
	Table o	f contents	xi	
	List of	List of Tables		
	List of	Figures	xxi	
	Abbrev	iation	xxiii	
CHAF	TER I			
Introd	luction			
1.1.1	Introuct	ion	1	
1.2.0	Theore Theory	tical Background of Multiple Intelligence	4	
	1.2.1	Principles of Multiple Intelligence Theory	6	
	1.2.2	Educational Implications of Multiple Intelligence Theory	8	
	1.2.3	Multiple Intelligence based Instructional Approach	9	
	1.2.4	Approaches for Integrating Multiple Intelligence into classroom	10	
1.3.0	Concep	ot of learning Competency	14	
	1.3.1	Key Characteristics of Learning Competency	15	
	1.3.2	Components of Learning Competency	17	
	1.3.3.	Taxonomy of Learning	17	

	1.3.4.	Measuring Learning Competencies as Taxonomies of Learning Objective	23
	1.3.5	Importance of Learning Competency in Education	25
1.4.0	Conce	otualizing Social Science Subject	27
	1.4.1	Viewpoints of Various Policies regarding Social Science Subject at the Secondary level	28
	1.4.2.	Importance of Learning Competency in Social Science	32
	1.4.3.	Present status of pedagogical practices in social science subject at secondary level in Assam	35
1.5.0		ulum Transaction through Multiple Intelligence Instructional Approach in Social Science	37
1.6.0	Ration	ale of the Study	40
1.7.0	Statem	ent of the Study	42
1.8.0	Operat	ional Definition of the Term Used	42
1.9.0	Resear	ch Questions	43
1.10.0	Objec	etives of the Study	43
1.11.0	Hypo	theses of the Study	44
1.12.0	Signi	ficance of the Study	45
1.13.0	Delin	nitations of the Study	46
CHAP'	TER II		
REVIE	EW OF I	RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1.0	Introdu	action	47
	2.1.1	Studies Related to Multiple Intelligence	47
	2.1.2	Studies related to the Implementation of Multiple Intelligence Theory	52
	2.1.3.	Studies related to Competency	55
	214	Study related to Affective domain	62

	2.1.5	Study related to Psychomotor Domain	67
	2.1.6	Study done combining all the three domains of learning Cognitive, Affective and	
		Psychomotor domain	71
2.2.0	Insight	from the Review of Related Literature	73
	2.2.1	Conceptual Framework of the Study	74
	TER III		
RESE		METHODOLOGY	
3.1.0	Introdu	action	78
3.2.0	Resear	rch Method	78
3.3.0	Resear	rch Design	78
	3.3.1	Rationale behind adopting the Research Design	79
3.4.0	Locale	of the Study	80
3.5.0	Popula	ation of the study	81
3.6.0	Sample	e and Sampling Technique used in the Study	82
	3.6.1	Sampling Technique	82
	3.6.2	Sample	82
3.7.0	Variabl	les of the Study	83
	3.7.1	Controlling the Variables	83
3.8.0	Tools f	for Data Collection	87
	3.8.1	Descriptions of the tools are given below	87
	3.8.2	Multiple Intelligence based Instructional Module	87
3.9.0	Modifi the Ex	ication of the Module as per the Suggestions of sperts	95
3.10.0		dure to implement the designed module in com situation	96
	3.10.1	Implementation of Traditional Lecture Method	97
	3.10.2	Testing tool	97

3.11.0	Procedure for data collection		108
3.12.0	Statistica	al Techniques	109
	3.12.1	Statistics related to Descriptive Analysis	109
	3.12.2	Statistics related to Inferential Analysis	109
СНАР	TER IV		
		S AND INTERPRETATION	
4.1.0	Introduction	on	110
4.2.0	Analysis a	and Interpretation of Data	110
4.3.0	Part 1: De	escriptive Analysis	111
		4.3.1 Percentage-wise distribution of the sample of the study	111
	4	4.3.2 Frequency Distribution of Scores	114
4.4.0	Part 2: Inf	Perential Statistics	120
	4.4.1 F	Fulfilling the Assumptions of the Parametric	120
	4.4.2 I	Exploring the additional assumptions for	127
4.5.0	Testing the	e Null Hypothesis	128
СНАР	TER V		
RESUI	LTS AND D	DISCUSSION	
5.1.0	Introduction	on	185
5.2.0	Major Fin	dings of the Study	185
	In Le co	ffect of the Multiple Intelligence Based structional Approach over Traditional earning Method in achieving overall learning empetency in Social Science subject with gard to the pre-test and post-test scores	185
	5.2.2 E	ffect of the Multiple Intelligence Based astructional Approach over Traditional earning Method in achieving domain wise	

		learning competency in Social Science subject with regard to the pre-test and post-test scores	186
	5.2.3	Effect of Group, Gender and their interaction on overall Learning Competency in Social Science subject by considering the pre-test as covariate	186
	5.2.4	Effect of Group, Gender and their interaction on component wise Learning Competency in Social Science subject by considering the pre- test as covariate	187
	5.2.5	Effect of Group, Academic Achievement Level and their Interaction on Overall Learning Competency in Social Science Subject by Considering the Pre-Test As Covariate	188
	5.2.6	Effect of Group, Academic Achievement Level and their Interaction on component wise Learning Competency in Social Science Subject by Considering the Pre-Test as Covariate.	188
5.3.0	Discus	ssion of the Study	190
5.4.0	Educa	ational Implications	196
	5.4.1	Educational Implication for Teachers	196
	5.4.2	Educational Implications for Students	197
	5.4.3	Educational Implication for Curriculum Developer	197
	5.4.4	Educational Implication for In - service Teacher	198
	5.4.5	Educational Implication for Pre- Service Teacher	198
5.5.0	Sugge	estions for further research studies	199
5.6.0	Limita Study	ation of the	199
СНАР	TER V	I	
SUMN	MARY A	AND CONCLUSION	
6.1.0	Introd	uction	201

6.2.0	Background of the Study		
6.3.0	Object	207	
6.4.0	Hypotheses		207
6.5.0	Method	dology of the Study	209
	6.5.1	Introduction	209
	6.5.2	Research Method	209
	6.5.3	Research Design	209
	6.5.4	Locale of the study	209
	6.5.5	Population of the study	210
	6.5.6	Sample and Sampling Technique Used in the Study	210
	6.5.7	Variables of the study	211
	6.5.8	Tools for Data Collection	212
6.6.0	Proced	lure for data collection	212
6.7.0	Statisti	ical Techniques	213
6.7.1	Statisti	ics related to Descriptive Analysis	213
6.7.2	Statisti	ics related to Inferential Analysis	213
6.8.0	Major	Findings of the Study	214
6.9.0	Educat	cional Implications	218
	6.9.1	Educational Implication for Teachers	218
	6.9.2	Educational Implications for Students	219
	6.9.3	Educational Implication for Curriculum Developer	220
6.10.0	Sugge	estions for further research studies	220
6.11.0	Limit	ation of the Study	221
List of	Table		
Table 3		lowing the Research Design Adopted for the ady	79
Table 3	.2 Sh	owing the details of the selected samples	79

1aule 5.5	categories according to the Intelligence Type	83
Table 3.4	Weightage of marks given to each of the units	94
Table 3.5	Weightage of marks given to different levels of learning	100
Table 3.6	Distribution of marks on the basis of different types of test item	100
Table 3.7	Blueprint of the test	100
Table 3.8	Dimension wise distribution of the items in the Final Draft of the Scale	101
Table 3.9	Scoring Pattern of Positive And Negative Items	104
Table 3.10	Results of the two Methods	105
Table 4.1.1	Distribution on the basis of Gender and Group	112
Table 4.1.2	Distribution on the basis of Academic Achievement level and Group	113
Table 4.1.3	Frequency Distribution of Scores of Pre- test on Overall Learning Competency on the basis of group and scores	114
Table 4.1.4	Frequency Distribution of Scores of Post- test on Overall Learning Competency on the basis of group and scores	115
Table 4.1.5	Frequency Distribution of the Pre-test Score of the Overall Learning Competency on the basis of Gender and Group	117
Table 4.1.6	Frequency Distribution of the Post-test Score of the Overall Learning Competency on the basis of Gender and Group	118
Table 4.1.7	Tests of Normality	121
Table 4.1.8	Descriptive Statistics for Overall Learning	121
14016 4.1.0	Competency Test	128
Table 4.1.9	ANOVA summary for Overall Learning Competency Test in Social Science	129
Table 4.1.10	Dependent Variable: Post-test Learning Competency Test	131

Table 4.1.11	Effect of MIBIA over TLM in Achieving Learning Competency in Social Science subject by taking pre-test as co-variate	131
Table 4.1.12	Descriptive Statistics for Cognitive Domain Performance	133
Table 4.1.13	ANOVA Result of Cognitive Domain	134
Table 4.1.14	Dependent Variable: Post-test Cognitive Level Learning Competency Test	135
Table 4.1.15	Effect of MIBIA over TLM in Achieving Cognitive Level Learning Competency in Social Science subject by taking pre-test as co-variate	136
Table 4.1.16	Descriptive Statistics for Affective Domain Performance	137
Table 4.1.17	ANOVA Result of Affective Domain	138
Table 4.1.18	Dependent Variable: Post-test Affective Level Learning Competency Test	139
Table 4.1.19	Effect of MIBIA over TLM in Achieving Affective Level Learning Competency in Social Science subject by taking pre-test as co-variate	140
Table 4.1.20	Descriptive Statistics for Psychomotor Domain Performance	141
Table 4.1.21	ANOVA Result of Psychomotor Domain	142
Table 4.1.22	Dependent Variable: Post-test Psychomotor Level Learning Competency Test	144
Table 4.1.23	Effect of MIBIA over TLM in Achieving Psychomotor Level Learning Competency in Social Science subject by taking pre-test as co-	144
Table 4.1.24	variate Descriptive statistics for Overall Learning Competency in Social Science Subject	144 145
Table 4.1.25	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	146
Table 4.1.26	Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	146
Table 4.1.27	Group - Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	146

Table 4.1.28	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	
Table 4.1.29	Descriptive statistics for Overall Learning Competency in Social Science Subject	
Table 4.1.30	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	149
Table 4.1.31	Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	150
Table 4.1.32	Group and Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	150
Table 4.1.33	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	151
Table 4.1.34	Descriptive statistics for Affective Domain Learning Competency in Social Science Subject	153
Table 4.1.35	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Affective Domain Learning Competency	154
Table 4.1.36	Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Affective Domain Learning Competency	154
Table 4.1.37	Group and Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Affective domain Learning Competency	155
Table 4.1.38	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	155
Table 4.1.39	Descriptive statistics for Psychomotor Domain Learning Competency in Social Science Subject	158
Table 4.1.40	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Psychomotor Domain Learning Competency	159
Table 4.1.41	Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Psychomotor Domain Learning Competency	159
Table 4.1.42	Group and Gender wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Psychomotor domain Learning Competency	160
Table 4.1.43	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	161
Table 4.1.44	Descriptive statistics for Overall Learning	101
13010 1.11.11	Competency in Social Science Subject	163

Table 4.1.45	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	164
Table 4.1.46	Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	165
Table 4.1.47	Group - Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Overall Learning Competency	166
Table 4.1.48	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	166
Table 4.1.49	Descriptive statistics for Cognitive Domain Learning Competency in Social Science Subject	169
Table 4.1.50	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Cognitive Domain Learning Competency	170
Table 4.1.51	Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Cognitive Domain Learning Competency	171
Table 4.1.52	Group – Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean scores of Cognitive Domain Learning Competency	172
Table 4.1.53	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	173
Table 4.1.54	Descriptive statistics for Affective Domain Learning Competency in Social Science Subject	175
Table 4.1.55	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Affective Domain Learning Competency	176
Table 4.1.56	Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Affective Domain Learning Competency	177
Table 4.1.57	Group - Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean scores of Affective Domain Learning Competency	177
Table 4.1.58	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	178
Table 4.1.59	Descriptive statistics for Psychomotor Domain Learning Competency in Social Science Subject	181
Table 4.1.60	Group wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Psychomotor Domain Learning Competency	181

Table 4.1.61	Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean score of Psychomotor Domain Learning Competency	182
Table 4.1.62	Group - Academic Achievement wise distribution of Adjusted mean scores of Psychomotor Domain Learning Competency	182
Table 4.1.63	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	182
List of Figur	re	
Figure 1.1	Types of Multiple Intelligence	4
Figure 1.2	Bloom's Taxonomy Revised	20
Figure 1.3	Psychomotor Domain	21
Figure 1.4	Affective Domain	22
Figure: 2.1	Visual representation of Conceptual framework of the Study	77
Figure 3.1	Block wise Map of Nalbari District	81
Chart 3.1	Design of the Study	86
Figure 4.1.1	Distribution of sample on the basis of Gender and Group	112
Figure 4.1.2	Distribution of sample on the basis of Academic Achievement and Group	113
Figure 4.1.3	Pre-test on Overall Learning Competency on the basis of group and scores	115
Figure 4.1.4	Post- test on Overall Learning Competency on the basis of group and scores	116
Figure 4.1.5	Pre-test Score of the Overall Learning Competency on the basis of Gender and Group	117
Figure 4.1.6	Post-test Score of the Overall Learning Competency on the basis of Gender and Group	118
Figure 4.1.7	Histogram	122
Figure 4.1.8	Q – Q Plot	125
Figure 4.1.9	Overall Learning Competency	129

Figure 4.1.10	Cognitive	
	Domain	133
Figure 4.1.11	Affective Domain	137
Figure 4.1.12	Psychomotor Domain	141
Bibliography		xxiv
Appendices		xLii