DECLARATION

I, Sekholu Tetseo, hereby declare that the thesis titled ***The Phonetics and Phonology of Tone in Chokri*** submitted to Tezpur University for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Linguistics is a result of my original research work carried out under the supervision of Dr. Amalesh Gope. The work contained in this thesis has not been submitted in part or full for any other degree or diploma at any other university or institution.

Sekholu 19/9/25

Sekholu Tetseo
Department of Linguistics and Language Technology
Tezpur University
Napaam, Tezpur-784028
Date:

तेजपुर विश्वविद्यालय/ **TEZPUR UNIVERSITY**
(संसद के अधिनियम द्वारा स्थापित केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय)
(A Central University established by an Act of Parliament)
तेजपुर-784028 :: असम/ **TEZPUR-784028 :: ASSAM**

(सर्वोत्तम विश्वविद्यालय के लिए कुलाध्यक्ष पुरस्कार,2016 औरभारत के 100श्रेष्ठ उच्च शिक्षण संस्थानों में पंचम स्थान प्राप्त विश्वविद्यालय)
(Awardee of Visitor's Best University Award, 2016 and 5th among India's Top 100 Universities, MHRD-NIRF Ranking, 2016)

Dr. Amalesh Gope
Assistant Professor
Department of Linguistics and Language Technology
School of Humanities and Social Sciences

Email: amalesh@tezu.ac.in

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis titled ***The Phonetics and Phonology of Tone in Chokri*** submitted by ***Sekholu Tetseo*** in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of ***Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)*** in Linguistics is an original work carried out by the candidate under my supervision.

The work presented in this thesis is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, original and has not been submitted for any degree, diploma, or other similar titles or recognition before.

Date: 19/08/2025
Place: Tezpur, Assam

(Dr. Amalesh Gope)

Dr Amalesh Gope
Assistant Professor
Dept. of Linguistics and Language Technology
Tezpur University

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank God for His love, strength, and countless blessings. Without His grace, this journey would not have been possible.

I am profoundly grateful to my supervisor, Dr. Amalesh Gope, for his continuous guidance, invaluable feedback, and steadfast support. His expertise has been instrumental in shaping this work, and I am truly privileged to have had the opportunity to work under his supervision. Like a father, you took care of me and encouraged me to trust in myself and my work. Your kindness and belief in me have made this experience truly special, and I could not have asked for a better mentor. Thank you, Sir!

I extend my sincere gratitude to the members of my doctoral committee: Prof. Gautam Kumar Borah, Chairman of the Committee, and Dr. Sarat Kumar Doley, for their continued support, insightful feedback and mentorship. Their expertise has enriched this dissertation in many ways.

My heartfelt appreciation goes to all the members of the Department of Linguistics and Language Technology, the esteemed faculty and administrative staff for their assistance and encouragement.

I am deeply indebted to all the Chokri speakers who participated in this study, especially Cükholü Rhakho, Veselü Chüzho, Cütalü Thingo, Choino Tetseo, Verakholu Veyie, Vevolü Chüzho, Vesehü Chüzho, Uzhozo Kezo, Zalepo Tetseo, Mülekho Chüzho, Veshupra, and Cükhoneyi Tetseo. Their patience during the long recording sessions and willingness to share their knowledge have been invaluable. I also acknowledge Apo Hüngoyi and Apotsa Veyi for their generous help and insights. Their knowledge and guidance have greatly contributed to this research, and I am deeply thankful for their support.

I am grateful to my fellow scholars, Jo, Maina, and Dinkur, for their companionship, insightful discussions, and unwavering support. A special mention goes to Dr. Anyshuya Pal and Dr. Paroma for their expert advice and invaluable feedback, which have significantly shaped this work.

I owe my deepest gratitude to my parents and my brothers, whose unconditional love and support have been my greatest source of strength. Their unwavering belief in me has been the driving force behind all my achievements.

A special thank you to Neiu, your patience, love, and understanding have been a source of immense strength. Your support has meant the world to me.

Last but not the least, I cannot thank my friends enough: Jo, Maina, Toni, Pele, Heisu, Issac, for their friendship, encouragement, and the unforgettable moments we have shared. You have made this journey even more memorable.

This thesis is the result of collective effort, and I am truly grateful to each and every one of you for being a part of it.

Thank you all.

Tezpur University

March, 2025

ABBREVIATIONS

1	First person
2	Second person
3	Third Person
DAT	Dative
DECL	Declarative
DEF	Definitive
DL	Dual
EH	Extra High tone
FUT	Future
GEN	Genitive
GT	Grammatical Tone
H	High tone
INT	Intensifier
JND	Just Noticeable Difference
L	Low tone
LOC	Locative
M	Mid tone
MR	Mid-Rising tone
NEG	Negation
PERM	Permission
PFV	Perfective
PRF	Perfect
PL	Plural

PN	Personal Noun
POSS	Possessive
PROG	Progressive
QP	Question Particle
RED	Reduplication
REQ	Request
RES	Resultative
SG	Singular
SUBJ	Subjunctive
T	Tone
TBU	Tone Bearing Unit
TOP	Topic

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: The sub-groups of the Chakhesang tribe 12

Figure 1.2: Districts of Nagaland in India, with the expanded inset showing the Phek district, the place where we collected our data from. 13

Figure 1.3: Grierson's (1903) Classification of Naga Languages 14

Figure 1.4: Marrison's (1967) Classification of Naga languages 15

Figure 1.5: Burling (2003: 184) Classification of the Kuki-Chin-Naga languages 15

Figure 2.1: Waveforms indicating three types of stops in Chokri in reference to VOT 30

Figure 2.2: Spectrograms illustrating Chokri Voiced bilabial Nasal in the word [ma]. 32

Figure 2.3: Spectrograms illustrating Chokri voiceless aspirated bilabial Nasal in the word [m̥^{h}a]. 33

Figure 2.4: Spectrograms of voiced rhotic tap in the word [məɾa] 'bird' and voiceless rhotic tap in the word [məɾ̥a] 'to scratch' 35

Figure 2.5: Waveform and spectrograms illustrating Chokri voiced unaspirated fricative in the word [və]. 36

Figure 2.6: Waveform and spectrograms illustrating Chokri voiceless unaspirtaed fricative in the word [fə]. 37

Figure 2.7: Waveform and spectrograms illustrating voiced unaspirated affricate in the word [dʒə]. 38

Figure 2.8: Waveform and spectrograms illustrating voiceless unaspirated affricate in the word [tʃə]. 39

Figure 2.9: Waveform and spectrograms illustrating voiceless aspirated affricate [tʃ^{h}ə]. 39

Figure 2.10: Distribution of first three formants (measured in Hz): F1, F2, and F3 for each vowel uttered in the Vl_V environment. Vl= Voiceless consonant occurring in the onset position, V= the target vowel. 44

Figure 2.11: Distribution of first three formants (measured in Hz): F1, F2, and F3 for each vowel uttered in the Vd_V environment. Vd Voiceed consonant occurring in the onset position, V= the target vowel. 44

Figure 2.12: Comparison of vowel periphery by female (left panel) and male (right panel) speakers. 45

Figure 2.13: Vowel periphery in Chokri, drawn using the first two formant values (in Mel), averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects. 46

Figure 2.14: Vowel space in Chokri, drawn using the normalized F1 and F2 values, averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects for each vowel. 47

Figure 2.15: Comparison of vowel space in Male and Female speakers. 48

Figure 3.1: Syllable structure 58

Figure 3.2: Monosyllabic patterns in Chokri 59

Figure 3.3: Permissible sounds in onset, nucleus, and coda positions 62

Figure 4.1: Non-normalized averaged pitch tracks for [ta] series produced by each speaker, meanings: 'to splash water,' 'to run,' 'to chew,' 'to walk,' and 'mouth.' 84

Figure 4.2: Non-normalized averaged pitch tracks for the [sɔ] series produced by each speaker, meanings: 'to sow(paddy),' 'dry,' 'to shake/move,' 'to count,' and 'younger sibling.' 85

Figure 4.3: Averaged non-normalized pitch tracks for all the words produced by all males (left panel) and all females (right panel) separately. 87

Figure 4.4: Normalized and averaged (across five repetitions by each speaker) pitch tracks for [sɔ] series produced by individual speakers. 89

Figure 4.5: Normalized and averaged (across five repetitions by each speaker) pitch tracks for [ta] series produced by individual speakers. 90

Figure 4.6: The range of mean f0 (f0 height), averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects for the five contrastive tones observed in monosyllabic words. 95

Figure 4.7: Mean duration (measured in ms), averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects for the five contrastive tones observed in monosyllabic words. 97

Figure 4.8: Mean intensity (measured in dB), averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects for the five contrastive tones observed in monosyllabic words. 99

Figure 4.9: Normalized pitch-contours, averaged across all the tokens produced by all the speakers) of [ɛlə] series, meaning 'to rest [EH],' 'wood worm H],' 'pinch [M],' 'inward [L],' and 'warmth [MR].' 101

Figure 4.10: Normalized pitch-contours, averaged across all the tokens produced by all the speakers) of [mɛlə] series, meaning 'to suspect [EH],' 'to move [H],' 'believe [M],' 'to tie [L],' and 'to heat/warm [MR].' 102

Figure 4.11: Normalized pitch-contours, averaged across all the tokens produced by all the speakers) of [mɛza] series, meaning 'to style [EH],' 'thank you [H],' 'friend [M],' 'catch [L],' and 'weak [MR].' 102

Figure 4.12: Normalized pitch-contours, averaged across all the tokens across all the contrastive tones, produced by all the speakers 103

Figure 4.13: Mean f0 (f0 height), averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects for the five contrastive tones observed in bisyllabic words. 105

Figure 4.14: Mean duration (measured in ms), averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects for the five contrastive tones observed in bisyllabic words. 107

Figure 4.15: Mean intensity (dB), averaged across all the tokens produced by all the subjects for the five contrastive tones observed in bisyllabic words. 110

Figure 4.16: The original pitch track, with the mid-tone serving as the baseline reference (upper panel), and the modified pitch track increased by +40 Hz to create the extra high tone [EH] (lower panel). 112

Figure 4.17 : The original pitch track, with the mid-tone serving as the baseline reference (upper panel), and the modified pitch track, increased by +20 Hz to create the high tone [H] (lower panel). 112

Figure 4.18: The original pitch track, with the mid-tone serving as the baseline reference (upper panel), and the modified pitch track, decreased by -20 Hz to create the low tone [L] (lower panel). 113

Figure 4.19: The original pitch track, with the mid-tone serving as the baseline reference (upper panel), and the modified pitch track, which has been increased by +25 Hz to 70% of the pitch contour to generate the mid-rising tone [MR] (lower panel). 114

Figure 4.20: Responses to stimulus 1 (EH tone), where mid-tone was systematically converted to EH. S= subject, Tone 1= (manipulated) EH tone (embedded as one of the six options), Tone 2= H tone (embedded as one of the six options). The Y-axis represents the response accuracy in percentage. 115

Figure 4.21: Responses to stimulus 2 (H tone), where mid-tone was systematically converted to H tone. S= subject. All the subjects predicted all the repetitions correctly for stimulus 2. S= subject. The Y-axis represents the response accuracy in percentage. 116

Figure 4.22: Responses to stimulus 3 (mid tone), where the original sound found was used without any manipulation. S= subject, Tone 4= L tone (embedded as one of the six options), Tone 3= M tone (embedded as one of the six options). The Y-axis represents the response accuracy in percentage. 117

Figure 4.23*:* Responses to stimulus 4 (L tone), where mid-tone was systematically lowered to create the low tone stimulus. S= subject, Tone 3= mid tone (embedded as one of the six options), Tone 4= L tone (embedded as one of the six options). The Y-axis represents the response accuracy in percentage. 118

Figure 4.24*:* Responses to stimulus 5 (MR tone), where mid-tone was systematically adjusted to create the MR stimulus. S= subject, All the subjects predicted all the tokens correctly for stimulus 5. The Y-axis represents the response accuracy in percentage. 119

Figure 4.25*:* Overall response to all the stimuli by all the Subjects. T1=EH, T2=H, T3=M, T4=L, and T5=MR. The Y-axis represents the response accuracy in percentage. 120

Figure 4.26*:* Representation of TBU in Chokri 121

Figure 5.1: Representation of Chokri bimoraic syllable with lexical and grammatical tone. 145

Figure 6.1: Averaged pitch tracks of the verbal roots [tấ] ‘to splash water’, , [tá] ‘to run’, [tā] ‘to chew’ and [tà] ‘to walk’ combined with the progressive suffix [-va]. 157

Figure 6.2: Averaged pitch tracks of the verbal roots [mɛ̄tə̋] ‘suck’ with an underlying mid-rising tone (to suck) with the progressive suffix [-va] 158

Figure 6.3: Averaged pitch tracks of the verbal roots [tấ] ‘to splash water’, , [tá] ‘to run’, [tā] ‘to chew’ and [tà] ‘to walk’ combined with the progressive suffix [-hi]. 159

Figure 6.4: Averaged pitch tracks of the verbal roots [mɛ̄tə̋] ‘to suck’ combined with the imperative negation suffix [-hi]. 159

Figure 6.5: Averaged pitch tracks of the verbal roots words [tr̃ấ] ‘to drink’, [tá] ‘to run’, [bá] ‘to sit’, [thà] ‘stand’, and [tì] ‘eat’ combined with the causative prefix [mɛ-]. 160

Figure 6.6: Averaged pitch tracks of the nominal roots [dʒɔ́] ‘to bless’, [ʙə́] ‘to defecate’, [r̥ɔ̄] ‘to respect’, [bá] ‘to sit’ combined with the plural suffix [tʰɛ-]. 161

Figure 6.7: Averaged pitch tracks of the nominal roots [tʃʰə̄] ‘bangle’, [pə̋] ‘fat’, [sə́] ‘tree’ combined with plural suffix [-kɔ]. 163

Figure 6.8: Averaged pitch tracks of the nominal roots [mɔ̄rā] ‘bird’ and [pʰɛ̄pfə̀] ‘shoe’ combined with the plural suffix [-kɔ]. 163

Figure 6.9: Averaged pitch tracks of the nominal roots [tʃʰə̄] ‘bangle’, [pə̋] ‘fat’, [sə́] ‘tree’ combined with dual suffix [-ni]. 164

Figure 6.10: Averaged pitch tracks of the nominal roots [mɔ̄rā] ‘bird’ and [pʰɛ̄pfə̀] ‘shoe’ combined with the plural suffix [-ni]. 165

Figure 6.11: Pitch track of distributive reduplication [pə-pə] (one each) with underlying Low tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’ 168

Figure 6.12: Pitch track of distributive reduplication [ɛna-na] (two each) with underlying Mid tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’ 169

Figure 6.13: Pitch track of distributive reduplication [da-da] (four each) with underlying Mid Rising tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’ 169

Figure 6.14: Pitch track of distributive reduplication [tʰɛtʃə-tʃə] (nine each) with underlying High tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’ 169

Figure 6.15: Pitch track of iterative reduplication [tʰɔ-tʰɔ] (write and write) with underlying EH tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’ 170

Figure 6.16: Pitch track of iterative reduplication [pʰu-pʰu] (search and search) with underlying H tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’ 171

Figure 6.17: Pitch track of iterative reduplication [pʰɾi-pʰɾi] (read and read) with underlying M tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’.....171

Figure 6.18: Pitch track of iterative reduplication [ti-ti] (eat and eat) with underlying L tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’ 172

Figure 6.19: Pitch track of iterative reduplication [mɛtə-mɛtə] (suck and suck) with underlying MR tone in fixed sentence frame ‘vapü X si sa sü te’.....172

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Minimal pairs of Chokri plosives. 29

Table 2.2: Minimal pairs of Chokri nasals. 31

Table 2.3: Chokri voiceless aspirated nasals in initial and medial position. 33

Table 2.4: Minimal and near minimal pairs of Chokri approximants and taps. 34

Table 2.5: Minimal pairs of Chokri fricatives. 36

Table 2.6: Minimal pairs of Chokri affricates. 37

Table 2.7: Consonants observed in Chokri. 40

Table 2.8: Minimal pairs observed in Chokri. 40

Table 2.9: Distribution of vowels in Chokri 41

Table 2.10: Pairwise Comparison for F1 across vowel categories (a, i, u, ɛ, ɔ, ɘ and ə). The * shows the significant pairs. 50

Table 2.11: Pairwise Comparison for F2 across vowel categories (a, i, u, ɛ, ɔ, ɘ and ə). The * shows the significant pairs. 51

Table 2.12: Pairwise Comparison for F3 across vowel categories (a, i, u, ɛ, ɔ, ɘ and ə). The * shows the significant pairs. 52

Table 2.13: Pairwise Comparison for duration across vowel categories (a, i, u, ɛ, ɔ, ɘ and ə). The * shows the significant pairs. 53

Table 3.1: Phonotactic distribution of syllabic constituents. 63

Table 3.2: Permissible combination of consonant-vowel in Chokri. 64

Table 3.3: Allophones of Chokri. 67

Table 3.4: Consonant clusters in initial and medial positions 67

Table 3.5: Illustrative examples of minimal verb and noun structures in Chokri 69

Table 4.1: Dataset considered for the production experiment. 82

Table 4.2: Average f0 spacing between level tones in male and female speech. 88

Table 4.3 Estimated Marginal Means (EMMs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for fundamental frequency (f0) across tone categories (EH, H, M, L, and MR). 93

Table 4.4: Pairwise comparisons for estimated f0 across tonal contrasts (EH, H, M, L, and MR). The asterisk (*) indicates the significant pairs. 94

Table 4.5: Estimated Marginal Means (EMMs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for duration across tone categories (EH, H, M, L, and MR). 96

Table 4.6: Pairwise Comparisons for estimated duration across tonal contrasts (EH, H, M, L, and MR). The asterisk (*) indicates the significant pairs. 96

Table 4.7: Estimated Marginal Means (EMMs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for Intensity across tone categories (EH, H, M, L, and MR).....98

Table 4.8: Pairwise Comparisons for estimated intensity across tonal contrasts (EH, H, M, L, and MR). No pairs were observed to be significant in terms of intensity values. 98

Table 4.9: Tonal distribution in monosyllabic nominal roots. 100

Table 4.10: Tonal distribution in monosyllabic verbal roots. 100

Table 4.11: Estimated Mean values of f0 (Hz) at CV1 for the five tones (EH, H, M, L, and MR), along with their standard errors (SE) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). 104

Table 4.12: Pairwise Comparisons for estimated f0 across tonal contrasts (EH, H, M, L, and MR) at CV2. The asterisk (*) shows the significant pairs. 105

Table 4.13: Estimated mean values of duration (ms) at CV1 for the five tones (EH, H, M, L, and MR), along with their standard errors (SE) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).....106

Table 4.14: Pairwise Comparisons for estimated duration at CV2 across tonal contrasts (EH, H, M, L, and MR). The asterisk (*) shows the significant pairs.....107

Table 4.15: Estimated mean values of Intensity (dB) at CV1for the five tones (EH, H, M, L, and MR), along with their standard errors (SE) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).....108

Table 4.16: Pairwise Comparisons for estimated Intensity at CV2 across tonal contrasts (EH, H, M, L, and MR). The asterisk (*) shows the significant pairs.....109

Table 5.1*:* Grammatical roles of contrastive tones in Chokri verbs: the progressive aspect is realized with a high tone; the perfective aspect is realized with an extra high tone.....133

Table 5.2*:* Tone deriving nominal forms from verbal forms.....136

Table 6.1: Dataset containing nominal roots and the suffixes considered in this study.....155

Table 6.2: Dataset containing verbal roots and the suffixes considered for the production experiment.....156

Table 6.3: Dataset containing verbal roots and the prefixes considered for the production experiment.....156

Table 6.4: Interaction between nominalizer prefix and the verbal roots with varying tonal specifications.....162

Table 6.5: Reduplication system in Chokri.....173