

REFERENCES

- Aaker, D. A. (1996). Measuring brand equity across products and markets. *California management review*, 38(3), 102-120.
- Abbas, J., Balsalobre-Lorente, D., Amjid, M. A., Al-Sulaiti, K., Al-Sulaiti, I., & Aldereai, O. (2024). Financial innovation and digitalization promote business growth: The interplay of green technology innovation, product market competition and firm performance. *Innovation and Green Development*, 3(1), 100111, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2023.100111>
- Abdallah, A. B., Phan, A. C., & Matsui, Y. (2016). Investigating the effects of managerial and technological innovations on operational performance and customer satisfaction of manufacturing companies. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, 10(2-3), 153-183. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbir.2016.074824>
- Abdullah, F., Suhaimi, R., Saban, G., and Hamali, J. (2011). Bank service quality (BSQ) index: an indicator of service performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 28 (5), 542-555. DOI 10.1108/02656711111132571
- Afum, E., Agyabeng-Mensah, Y., Sun, Z., Frimpong, B., Kusi, L. Y., & Acquah, I. S. K. (2020). Exploring the link between green manufacturing, operational competitiveness, firm reputation and sustainable performance dimensions: a mediated approach. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 31(7), 1417-1438. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-02-2020-0036>
- Agarwal, A (December 5, 2023). Rajya Sabha passes Post Office Bill amid debate over privacy concerns. *Hindustan Times*. <https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/rajya-sabha-passes-post-office-bill-amid-debate-over-privacy-concerns-101701715808238.html>
- Alabboodi, A. S. (2019). The effect of customer satisfaction on service quality: The case of Iraqi banks. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 5(1), 146-152.
- Alaimo, L. S., Fiore, M., & Galati, A. (2020). How the COVID-19 pandemic is changing online food shopping human behaviour in Italy. *Sustainability*, 12(22), 9594. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229594>

- Alam, W. (2011). GIS based assessment of noise pollution in Guwahati city of Assam, India. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 2(2), 743-751.
- Ali, A. H., Gruchmann, T., & Melkonyan, A. (2022). Assessing the impact of sustainable logistics service quality on relationship quality: Survey-based evidence in Egypt. *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain*, 4, 100036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clscn.2022.100036>
- Ambrosini, V., & Bowman, C. (2009). What are dynamic capabilities and are they useful construct in strategic management? *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 11 (1), 29-49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2008.00251.x>
- Ambulkar, S., Blackhurst, J., & Grawe, S. (2015). Firm's resilience to supply chain disruptions: Scale development and empirical examination. *Journal of operations management*, 33-34, 111-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2014.11.002>
- Anastasiadou, E., Anestis, M. C., Karantza, I., & Vlachakis, S. (2020). The coronavirus' effects on consumer behavior and supermarket activities: insights from Greece and Sweden. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 40(9/10), 893-907. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-07-2020-0275>
- Andersen (2012). Protective capacity and absorptive capacity: Managing the balance between retention and creation of knowledge-based resources. *The Learning Organisation*, 19(5), 440-452. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09696471211239730>
- Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological bulletin*, 103(3), 411. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.103.3.411>
- Andrejic, M. (2013). Measuring efficiency in logistics. In *Proceedings of International Logistics Conference*, 61(2), 84-104.
- Antwi, B. O., Agyapong, D., & Owusu, D. (2022). Green supply chain practices and sustainable performance of mining firms: Evidence from a developing country. *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain*, 4, 100046, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clscn.2022.100046>
- Anvekar, S. (2007). Courier Services in India: concerns for effective service delivery. *Journal of Business management*, 6(2), 83-90. <https://doi.org/10.12725/ujbm.11.8>

- Appannan, S., Doraisamy, B., & Hui, T. X. (2013). Customer perception on service quality of commercial banks: A case study in Penang, Malaysia. *Academic Research International*, 4(5), 459.
- Ardjmand, E., Singh, M., Shakeri, H., Tavasoli, A., & Young II, W. A. (2021). Mitigating the risk of infection spread in manual order picking operations: A multi-objective approach. *Applied Soft Computing*, 100, 106953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106953>
- Ariani, S., Firdaus, M.R., & Hairudinor. (2019). Analysis of the influence of service quality, price, trust, and corporate image on satisfaction and customer loyalty in PT. POS Indonesia Baryarmasin. *European Journal of Management and Marketing studies*, 4(2), 46-61.
- Arvidsson, N., Woxenius, J., & Lammgård, C. (2013). Review of road hauliers' measures for increasing transport efficiency and sustainability in urban freight distribution. *Transport Reviews*, 33(1), 107-127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2013.763866>
- Asthana, S., & Dwivedi, A. (2020). Performance measurement of India-based third party logistics sector: an empirical study of user versus provider perspectives. *Production planning & control*, 31(2-3), 259-272. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2019.1631467>
- Ayinaddis, S. G., Taye, B. A., & Yirsaw, B. G. (2023). Examining the effect of electronic banking service quality on customer satisfaction and loyalty: an implication for technological innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 12(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-023-00287-y>
- Bandura, R. (2008). A survey of composite indices measuring country performance: 2008 update. Technical report, Office of Development Studies, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), New York.
- Bang, H. S., Kang, H. W., Martin, J., & Woo, S. H. (2012). The impact of operational and strategic management on liner shipping efficiency: a two-stage DEA approach. *Maritime Policy & Management*, 39(7), 653-672. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03088839.2012.740165>

- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantages. *Journal of Management*, 17 (1), 99-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Barney, J. (1995). Looking inside for competitive advantages. *Academy of Management Executive*, 9(4), 49-61.
- Basu, A., & Adak, K. (2019). Analysis of factors of advantages and disadvantages in the business scenario of Northeast India: The Entrepreneur's Perspective. *Business Governance and Society: Analyzing Shifts, Conflicts, and Challenges*, 207-234. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94613-9_13
- Basumatary, N., & Panda, B. (2020). A review of institutional and developmental issues in North-East India. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 66(2), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019556120916884>
- Beamish, P. W., & Chakravarty, D. (2021). Using the resource-based view in multinational enterprise research. *Journal of Management*, 47(7), 1861-1877. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206321995575>
- Bechtel, R. (2007). Calculating human capital: The market based valuation of the human resource. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(3), 206-231. <https://doi.org/10.1177/239700220702100302>
- Bentler, P. M., & Bonett, D. G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological bulletin*, 88(3), 588. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.88.3.588>
- Bhattacharya, U., Hsu, P. H., Tian, X., & Xu, Y. (2017). What affects innovation more: Policy or policy uncertainty? *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 52(5), 1869–1901. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109017000540>
- Bier, T., Lange, A., & Glock, C. H. (2020). Methods for mitigating disruptions in complex supply chain structures: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(6), 1835–1856. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1687954>
- Blankson, C., & Kalafatis, S. P. (2004). The development and validation of a scale measuring consumer/customer-driven generic typology of positioning strategies.

Journal of Marketing Management, 20(1-2), 5-43.
<https://doi.org/10.1362/026725704773041113>

- Bloemer, J., De Ruyter, K. O., & Wetzels, M. (1999). Linking perceived service quality and service loyalty: a multi-dimensional perspective. *European journal of marketing*, 33(11/12), 1082-1106. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090569910292285>
- Booyesen, F. (2002). An overview and evaluation of composite indices of development. *Social Indicators Research*, 59(2), 115–151.
- Borenstein, D., Luiz Becker, J., & José do Prado, V. (2004). Measuring the efficiency of Brazilian post office stores using data envelopment analysis. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 24(10), 1055-1078. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570410558076>
- Bottani, E., Rizzi, A., & Vignali, G. (2015). Improving logistics efficiency of industrial districts: a framework and case study in the food sector. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 18(5), 402-423. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2014.945401>
- Brau, R. I., Sanders, N. R., Aloysius, J., & Williams, D. (2023). Utilizing people, analytics, and AI for digitalized retail supply chain decision-making. *Journal of Business Logistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12355>
- Bujang, M., Sa'at, N., & Sidik, T. (2017). Determination of minimum sample size requirement for multiple linear regression and analysis of covariance based on experimental and non-experimental studies. *Epidemiology Biostatistics and Public Health*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.2427/12117>
- Business Today. (2019, April 15). *Why has India Post turned into the biggest loss-making PSU?* <https://www.businesstoday.in/industry/psu/story/india-post-losses-touch-rs-15000-crore-in-fy19-replaces-air-india-bsnl-as-biggest-loss-making-psu-187294-2019-04-15#:~:text=12%20PM%20IST-In%20the%20past%20three%20fiscals%2C%20the%20revenue%20deficit%20of%20the,and%20Air%20India%20far%20behind.>

- Busse, C., & Wallenburg, C. M. (2014). Firm-level innovation management at logistics service providers: an exploration. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 17(5), 396–419. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2013.871509>
- Butt, A. S. (2022). Understanding the implications of pandemic outbreaks on supply chains: an exploratory study of the effects caused by the COVID-19 across four South Asian countries and steps taken by firms to address the disruptions. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 52 (4), 370-392. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-08-2020-0281>
- Buttle, F. (1996). SERVQUAL: Review, critique, research agenda. *European Journal of marketing*, 30(1), 8-32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090569610105762>
- Cagliano, A. C., De Marco, A., Mangano, G., & Zenezini, G. (2017). Levers of logistics service providers' efficiency in urban distribution. *Operations Management Research*, 10, 104-117. DOI 10.1007/s12063-017-0125-4
- Cain, M. K., Zhang, Z., & Yuan, K. H. (2017). Univariate and multivariate skewness and kurtosis for measuring nonnormality: Prevalence, influence and estimation. *Behavior research methods*, 49, 1716-1735. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-016-0814-1>
- CARE Research- A division of CARE Ratings. (2014, November). *Review of issues faced by the Indian Express delivery Industry*. https://www.eiciindia.org/frontSite/Review_of_Issues_faced_by_the_Indian_Express_Delivery_Services_Industry.pdf
- Carter, S. R., Ahmed, A. M., & Schneider, C. R. (2023). The role of perceived service quality and price competitiveness on consumer patronage of and intentions towards community pharmacies. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 19(5), 717-727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2023.02.002>
- Caruana, A. (2002). Service loyalty the effects of service quality and the mediating role of customer satisfaction. *European Journal of Marketing*, 36(7/8), 811-828. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560210430818>

- Carvalho, H., Cruz-Machado, V. and Tavares, J.G. (2012). A mapping framework for assessing supply chain resilience. *International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management*, 12(3), 354-373.
- Cassell, J., Bickmore, T., 2000. External manifestations of trustworthiness in the interface. *Communication of the ACM*, 43 (12), 50–56.
- Cavallo, C., Sacchi, G., & Carfora, V. (2020). Resilience effects in food consumption behaviour at the time of Covid-19: perspectives from Italy. *Heliyon*, 6(12), 1-8, e05676.
- Chadee, S., and Stoute, V. (2018). Development of an urban intensity index to facilitate urban ecosystem studies in Trinidad and Tobago. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 45(3), 508-527. DOI: 10.1080/02664763.2017.1282440
- Chan, F. T. S., Chan, H. K., Lau, H.C.W., & Ip, R. W. (2006). An AHP approach in benchmarking logistics performance of the postal industry. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 13(6), 636-661. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14635770610709031>
- Chao, S. L., Yu, M. M., & Sun, Y. H. (2023). Ascertaining the effects of service quality on customer loyalty in the context of ocean freight forwarders: An integration of structural equation modeling and network data envelopment analysis. *Research in Transportation Business & Management*, 47, 100955. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2023.100955>
- Charnes, A., Cooper, W. W., & Rhodes, E. (1978). Measuring the efficiency of decision-making units. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2(6), 429–444.
- Chatzoglou, P., & Chatzoudes, D. (2018). The role of innovation in building competitive advantages: an empirical investigation. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 21(1), 44-69. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-02-2017-0015>
- Chen, I. S., Fung, P. K., & Yuen, S. S. (2019). Dynamic capabilities of logistics service providers: Antecedents and performance implications. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 31(4), 1058-1075. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-12-2017-0308>
- Chen, J., Wan, Z., Zhang, F., Park, N. K., He, X., & Yin, W. (2016). Operational Efficiency Evaluation of Iron Ore Logistics at the Ports of Bohai Bay in China: Based on the

- PCA-DEA Model. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2016(1), 9604819.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/9604819>
- Cherchye, L., Moesen, W., Rogge, N., & Puyenbroeck, T. V. (2007). An introduction to 'benefit of the doubt' composite indicators. *Social Indicators Research*, 82(1), 111–145.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern methods for business research*, 295(2), 295-336.
- Chodakowska, E & Nazarko, J. (2016). The models evaluating courier and messenger companies in Poland. *Engineering Management in Production and Service*, 8(4), 50-58.
- Choi, T.-M. (2021). Risk analysis in logistics systems: a research agenda during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 145, 102190.
- Choudhury, N., Raut, R. D., Gardas, B. B., Kharat, M. G., & Ichake, S. (2018). Evaluation and selection of third party logistics services providers using data envelopment analysis: a sustainable approach. *International Journal of Business Excellence*, 14(4), 427-453.
- Chowdhary, N., & Prakash, M. (2007). Prioritizing service quality dimensions. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, 17(5), 493-509.
- Chowdhury, M. M. H. & Quaddus, M. (2017). Supply chain resilience: Conceptualization and scale development using dynamic capability theory. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 188 (1), 185–204.
- Choy, M., Laik, M. N., & Shung, K. P. (2013). Performance measurement design for a parcel delivery company. *Proceeding of the World Congress on Engineering*, 3, Research collection school of information system. Retrieved from https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sis_research/2040
- Christopher, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). Supply Chain 2.0: Managing supply chains in the era of turbulence. *International journal of physical distribution & logistics management*, 41(1), 63-82.

- Chu, Z., Feng, B., & Lai, F. (2018). Logistics service innovation by third party logistics providers in China: Aligning guanxi and organizational structure. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 118, 291-307.
- Chunsheng, L., Wong, C. W., Yang, C. C., Shang, K. C., & Lirn, T. C. (2020). Value of supply chain resilience: roles of culture, flexibility, and integration. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 50(1), 80-100. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-02-2019-0041>
- Cichosz, M., Wallenburg, C. M., & Knemeyer, A. M. (2020). Digital transformation at logistics service providers: barriers, success factors and leading practices. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 31(2), 209-238. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-08-2019-0229>
- Cooper, W., Seiford, L. M., & Tone, K. (2000). Data envelopment analysis: A comprehensive text with models, applications, References and DEA and DEA-solver software. Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- Correia, D., Teixeira, L., and Marques, J. L. (2021). Last-mile-as-a-service (LMaaS): An innovative concept for the disruption of the supply chain. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 75, 103310.
- Cortes, J. D., and Suzuki, Y. (2021). Last-mile delivery efficiency: en route transloading in the parcel delivery industry. *International Journal of Production Research*, 60(9), 2983-3000.
- Cortez, R.M. & Johnston, W.J. (2020). The Coronavirus crisis in B2B settings: crisis uniqueness and managerial implications based on social exchange theory. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 88, 125-135.
- Cozzolino, A., Verona, G., & Rothaermel, F. T. (2018). Unpacking the disruption process: New technology, business models, and incumbent adaptation. *Journal of Management Studies*, 55(7), 1166–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12352>
- Craighead, C. W., Ketchen Jr, D. J., and Darby, J. L. (2020). Pandemics and supply chain management research: toward a theoretical toolbox. *Decision Sciences*, 51(4), 838-866.

- Cronin, J. J., Brady, M. K., & Hult, G.T.M., (2000). Assessing the effects of quality, value and customer satisfaction on consumer behaviour intentions in service environment. *Journal of Relating*, 76(2), 193-218
- Cui, L., Ivan Su, S.-I., & Hertz, S. (2012). Logistics Innovation in China. *Transportation Journal*, 51 (1), 98–117. <https://doi.org/10.5325/transportationj.51.1.0098>
- Dai, J., Che, W., Lim, J. J., & Shou, Y. (2020). Service innovation of cold chain logistics service providers: A multiple-case study in China. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 89, 143-156.
- Dannenberg, P., Fuchs, M., Riedler, T., & Wiedemann, C. (2020). Digital transition by COVID-19 pandemic? The German food online retail. *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geografie*, 111(3), 543-560. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tesg.12453>
- Dash, G., & Paul, J. (2021). CB-SEM vs PLS-SEM methods for research in social sciences and technology forecasting. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 173, 121092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121092>
- Dash, S., & Loggie, K. (2008). Equal Weight Indexing - Five Years Later. Capital Markets: Market Efficiency. 1-17 <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1321726>.
- Dash, S., & Zeng, L. (2010). Equal Weight Indexing-Seven Years Later. Available at SSRN 1691213. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1691213>
- Datta, S. (2001) What Ails the Northeast: An enquiry into the economic factors. *Strategic Analysis*, 25(1), 73-87, DOI: [10.1080/09700160108458940](https://doi.org/10.1080/09700160108458940)
- Daugherty, P. J., Chen, H., & Ferrin, B. G. (2011). Organizational structure and logistics service innovation. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 22 (1), 26–51. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09574091111127543>
- David, A.M. (2007). The role of Postal Department in Marketing mail, financial and premium services in southern region of Tamil Nadu. (*Doctoral Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, Department of Commerce*).
- de Oña, J., de Oña, R., Eboli, L., & Mazzulla, G. (2016). Index numbers for monitoring transit service quality. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 84, 18-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2015.05.018>

- de Vaus, D. (2002). *Analyzing social science data: 50 key problems in data analysis*. London, UK: Sage.
- Decancq, K., Van Ootegem, L., & Verhofstadt, E. (2013). What if we voted on the weights of a multidimensional well-being index? An illustration with Flemish data. *Fiscal Studies*, 34(3), 315–332.
- Deng, Q., & Noorliza, K. (2023). Integration, resilience, and innovation capability enhance LSPs' operational performance. *Sustainability*, 15(2), 1019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021019>
- Department of Revenue, Central Board of Excise and Customs, Deloitte Analysis. (2018). *Indian Express Industry – 2018, A multi-modal play in building the ecosystem*. https://www.eiciindia.org/images/Indian_Express_Industry_2018.pdf
- Dialga, I., & Giang, L. (2017). Highlighting Methodological Limitations in the Steps of Composite Indicators Construction. *Social Indicators Research*, 131, 441-465. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11205-016-1263-Z>.
- Diep, N. T. N., Canh, T. Q., & Thach, N. N. (2024). How to Improve the Logistics Performance Index. In *Partial Identification in Econometrics and Related Topics, Studies in Systems, Decision and Control*, 531, 481-494. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-59110-5_32
- Dobbie, M. J., & Dail, D. (2013). Robustness and sensitivity of weighting and aggregation in constructing composite indices. *Ecological Indicators*, 29, 270-277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.12.025>
- Dobrodolac, M., Lazarevic, D., Zivanomic, M. (2014). Software application for the postal items routing as part of postal system critical infrastructure. *Machines Technologies, Materials*, 8(3), 9-12
- Dovbischuk, I. (2022). Innovation-oriented dynamic capabilities of logistics service providers, dynamic resilience and firm performance during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 33(2), 499-519.
- Doyle, P. (2001). Shareholder-value-based brand strategies. *Journal of brand Management*, 9, 20-30.

- Drejer IA (2002). Schumpeterian Perspective on Service Innovation. *Danish Research Unit for Industrial Dynamics Working Paper No 02–09*. Available from: <https://ideas.repec.org/p/aal/abbswp/02-09.html>
- Duarte Alonso, A., & Bressan, A. (2016). A resource-based view of the firm and micro and small Italian wine firms. *International Journal of Wine Business Research*, 28(4), 349-368. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJWBR-12-2015-0051>
- Dubey, R., Ali, S. S., Aital, P., & Venkatesh, V. G. (2014). Mechanics of humanitarian supply chain agility and resilience and its empirical validation. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, 17 (4), 367- 384.
- Duncan, W. T., Ginter, P. M., & Swayne, L. E. (1998). Competitive advantages and internal organizational assessment. *Academy of Management Executive*, 12(3), 6-16
- Dutta, P., & Borah, A. S. (2018). A study on role of moderating variables in Influencing employees' acceptance of information technology. *Vision*, 22(4), 387-394. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972262918803467>
- Dwaikat, N. Y., Zighan, S., Abualqumboz, M., & Alkalha, Z. (2022). The 4Rs supply chain resilience framework: A capability perspective”, *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 30(3), 281-294.
- Dyer, J., & Singh, H. (1998). The relational view: cooperative strategy and sources of inter-organizational competitive advantages. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(4), 660-679.
- Echaniz, E., dell' Olio, L., & Ibeas, Á. (2018). Modelling perceived quality for urban public transport systems using weighted variables and random parameters. *Transport Policy*, 67, 31–39. [doi:10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.05.006)
- El Baz, J., & Ruel, S. (2021). Can supply chain risk management practices mitigate the disruption impacts on supply chains' resilience and robustness? Evidence from an empirical survey in a COVID-19 outbreak era. *International journal of production economics*, 233, 107972. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107972>
- El Gibari, S., Gómez, T., & Ruiz, F. (2019). Building composite indicators using multicriteria methods: a review. *Journal of Business Economics*, 89(1), 1-24.

- Eryarsoy, E., Özer Torgalöz, A., Acar, M.F. & Zaim, S. (2022). A resource-based perspective of the interplay between organizational learning and supply chain resilience”, *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 52 (8), 614-637.
- Faroos, Q., Peihua F., Yunhong, H. (2019). A review of management and importance of e-commerce implementation in service delivery of private express enterprises of China. *Sage Journals*. Retrieved from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2158244018824194>
- Fernandes, A., Tulsyan, A., Nisar, A., Rawat, A., & Kumar, A. (2017). Use of operations research in courier delivery services. *International Journal of New Technology and Research*, 3(10), 22-26.
- Fernie, J., & McKinnon, A. (2003). The grocery supply chain in the UK: improving efficiency in the logistics network. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 13(2), 161-174. DOI: 10.1080/0959396032000051693
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (4th ed.). London, UK: Sage
- Finsterwalder, J. and Kuppelwieser, V.G. (2020). Equilibrating resources and challenges during crises: a framework for service ecosystem wellbeing, *Journal of Service Management*, doi: [10.1108/JOSM-06-2020-0201](https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-06-2020-0201).
- François, O., Jay, F. (2020). Factor analysis of ancient population genomic samples. *Nat Commun* 11, 4661. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18335-6>
- Freudenberg, M. (2003). Composite indicators of country performance: A critical assessment. OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Gammelgaard, B., Kumar, S., Pattnaik, D., & Joshi, R. (2020). Thirty years of the International Journal of Logistics Management—a retrospective analysis. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 31(2), 173-208. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-03-2020-0121>

- Garola, G., Siragusa, C., Seghezzi, A. & Mangiaracina, R. (2023). Managing COVID-19 disruption: the response of express couriers and lessons learned to improve resilience. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 34(7), 121-141.
- Gebauer, H., Gustafsson, A., & Witell, L. (2011). Competitive advantage through service differentiation by manufacturing companies. *Journal of business research*, 64(12), 1270-1280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.01.015>
- German, J. D., Redi, A. A. N. P., Prasetyo, Y. T., Persada, S. F., Ong, A. K. S., Young, M. N., & Nadlifatin, R. (2022). Choosing a package carrier during COVID-19 pandemic: An integration of pro-environmental planned behavior (PEPB) theory and Service Quality (SERVQUAL). *Journal of cleaner production*, 346, 131123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131123>
- Gil Saura, I., Servera Frances, D., Berenguer Contrí, G., & Fuentes Blasco, M. (2008). Logistics service quality: a new way to loyalty. *Industrial management & data systems*, 108(5), 650-668. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570810876778>
- Goodman, C. J., & Mance, S. M. (2011). Employment loss and the 2007-09 recession: An overview. *Monthly Labor Review*, 134, 3.
- Grant, R. M., & Baden-Fuller, C. (1995). A knowledge-based theory of inter-firm collaboration. *Academy of management*, 1995(1), 17-21. Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.1995.17536229>
- Greco, S., Ishizaka, A., Tasiou, M., & Torrisi, G. (2019). On the Methodological Framework of Composite Indices: A Review of the Issues of Weighting, Aggregation, and Robustness. *Social Indicators Research*, 141, 61 - 94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-017-1832-9>.
- Green, P. E., & Srinivasan, V. (1978). Conjoint analysis in consumer research: issues and outlook. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 5(2), 103–123
- Greyling, T., & Tregenna, F. (2016). Construction and analysis of a composite quality of life index for a region of South Africa. *Social Indicators Research*, 131(3), 887–930.
- Groeneveld, R. A., & Meeden, G. (1984). Measuring skewness and kurtosis. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series D: The Statistician*, 33(4), 391-399. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2987742>

- Grönroos, C. (2017). On value and value creation in service: a management perspective. *Journal of creating value*, 3(2), 125-141.
- Grönroos, C., & Ojasalo, K. (2004). Service productivity: Towards a conceptualization of the transformation of inputs into economic results in services. *Journal of Business research*, 57(4), 414-423. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(02\)00275-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(02)00275-8)
- Gulc A (2021) Multi-stakeholder perspective of courier service quality in B2C ecommerce. *PLoS ONE* 16(5): e0251728. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251728>
- Gulc, A. (2017). Courier Service Quality From the clients' perspective. *Engineering Management in products & services*, 9(1), 36-45.
- Gulc, A. (2020). Determinants of Courier Service Quality in e-Commerce from Customers' Perspective. *Quality Innovation Prosperity*, 24(2), 137. <https://doi.org/10.12776/qip.v24i2.1438>
- Gunasekaran, A., Patel, C., & McGaughey, R. E. (2004). A framework for supply chain performance measurement. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 87(1), 333-347.
- Gunasekaran, A., Subramanian, N., & Papadopoulos, T. (2017). Information technology for competitive advantage within logistics and supply chains: A review. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 99, 14-33. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2016.12.008>
- Guo, X., Duff, A., & Hair, M. (2008). Service quality measurement in the Chinese corporate banking market. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 26(5), 305–327.
- Gupta, A., Singh, R. K., & Mangla, S. K. (2022). Evaluation of logistics providers for sustainable service quality: Analytics based decision making framework. *Annals of Operations Research*, 315(2), 1617-1664. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03913-0>
- Gupta, A., Singh, R. K., Mathiyazhagan, K., Suri, P. K., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2022). Exploring relationships between service quality dimensions and customers satisfaction: empirical study in context to Indian logistics service providers. *The international Journal of logistics management*, 34(6), 1858-1889.

- Gupta, G., Tan, K. T. L., Ee, Y. S., & Phang, C. S. C. (2018). Resource-based view of information systems: Sustainable and transient competitive advantage perspectives. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 22, 1-9
<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9737-9824>
- Hair Jr, J. F., Howard, M. C., & Nitzl, C. (2020). Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. *Journal of business research*, 109, 101-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.11.069>
- Hair Jr, J. F., LDS Gabriel, M., Silva, D. D., & Braga, S. (2019b). Development and validation of attitudes measurement scales: fundamental and practical aspects. *RAUSP Management Journal*, 54(4), 490-507.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/RAUSP-05-2019-0098>
- Hair Jr, J. F., Matthews, L. M., Matthews, R. L., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). PLS-SEM or CB-SEM: updated guidelines on which method to use. *International Journal of Multivariate Data Analysis*, 1(2), 107-123.
- Hair, J. F., Money, A. H., Samouel, P., & Page, M. (2007). Research methods for business. *Education+ Training*, 49(4), 336-337.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/et.2007.49.4.336.2>
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019a). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European business review*, 31(1), 2-24.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203>
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 40, 414-433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-011-0261-6>
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *J. Market. Theor. Pract.* 19(2), 139–152.
- Hamidu, Z., Boachie-Mensah, F. O., & Issau, K. (2023). Supply chain resilience and performance of manufacturing firms: role of supply chain disruption. *Journal of manufacturing technology management*, 34(3), 361-382.

- Harrington, J.S., Srai, I.s., Kumar, M., Wohlrab, J. (2016). Identifying design criteria for urban system last- mile solutions- a multi- stakeholders' perspectives. *Productions, Planning and control*, 27(6), 456-476.
- Hemalatha, R. (2010). Customer satisfaction on premium products on Indian postal services- with special reference to speed post, Chennai city. (*Doctoral Dessertation, University of Madras, Department of Commerce*)
- Hendricks, K.B. and Singhal, V.R., (2003). The effect of supply chain glitches on shareholder wealth", *Journal of operations Management*, 21(5), 501-522.
- Hermans, E., Van den Bossche, F., & Wets, G. (2008). Combining road safety information in a performance index. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 40(4), 1337–1344.
- Herold, D. M., Nowicka, K., Pluta-Zaremba, A., & Kummer, S. (2021). COVID-19 and the pursuit of supply chain resilience: Reactions and lessons learned from logistics service providers (LSPs). *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 26 (6), 702-714.
- Herold, D.M., Prativiera, L.B. and Nowicka, K. (2024). From exploitation and exploration to exaptation? A logistics service provider's (LSP) perspective on building supply chain resilience capabilities during disruptions. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-02-2023-0077>
- Hillmann, J., & Guenther, E. (2020). Organizational resilience: a valuable construct for management research? *International journal of management reviews*, 23(1), 7-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12239>
- Hirata, E. and Matsuda, T. (2021). Uncovering the impact of COVID-19 on shipping and logistics. *Maritime Business Review*, 7(4). [10.1108/MABR-03-2021-0018](https://doi.org/10.1108/MABR-03-2021-0018)
- Ho, J., Jeik, D., Tiffaby, F., KOK, L., & The, T. (2012). Logistics services quality among courier services in Malayasia. *International Conference on Economics, Business Innovation*, 38, 113-117.
- Hohenstein, N. O. (2022). Supply chain risk management in the COVID-19 pandemic: strategies and empirical lessons for improving global logistics service providers' performance. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 33(4), 1336-1365.

- Hosseini, A. S., Soltani, S., & Mehdizadeh, M. (2018). Competitive advantages and its impact on new product development strategy (Case study: Toos Nirro Technical Firm). *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market and Complexity*, 4(2), 1-12.
- Hsiao, H. I., Kemp, R. G. M., Van der Vorst, J. G. A. J., & Omta, S. O. (2010). A classification of logistics outsourcing levels and their impact on service performance: evidence from the food processing industry. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 124(1), 75-86.
- Hsiao, J. M. (2014). Building competitive advantage through innovative reverse logistics capabilities. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 3(2), 70-82.
- Huang, K. F., Dyerson, R., Wu, L. Y., & Harindranath, G. (2015). From temporary competitive advantage to sustainable competitive advantage. *British Journal of Management*, 26(4), 617-636. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12104>
- Huo, B., Selen, W., Hoi Yan Yeung, J., & Zhao, X. (2008). Understanding drivers of performance in the 3PL industry in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 28(8), 772-800. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570810888607>
- Imrie, B.C., Durden, G., & Cadogan, J.W. (2000). Towards a conceptualisation of service quality in the global market arena. *Asia Pacific Research Institute*. available at: <http://apri.ac.nz/aimfinal.html>
- International Monetary Fund. (2020). *A year like no other*. <https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/ar/2020/eng/downloads/imf-annual-report-2020.pdf>
- Ishizaka, A. (2012). A multicriteria approach with AHP and clusters for the selection among a large number of suppliers. *Pesquisa Operacional*, 32(1), 1–15.
- Ishizaka, A., & Nemery, P. (2013). Multi-criteria decision analysis: Methods and software. Chichester: Wiley
- Israle, G. D. (1992). Determining the sample size. *Program Evaluation and Organisational Development (PEOD6)*, University of Florida

- Ivanov, D. (2020). Predicting the impact of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains: A simulation-based analysis on coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) case. *Transportation Research Part E*, 136(1)
- Ivanov, D. (2022). Viable supply chain model: integrating agility, resilience and sustainability perspectives—lessons from and thinking beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. *Annals Operation Research*, 319, 1411–1431. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03640-6>
- Ivanov, D., and Dolgui, A. (2020). A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the era of Industry 4.0. *Production Planning & Control*. 10.1080/09537287.2020.1768450
- Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., Sokolov, B., & Ivanova, M. (2017). Literature review on disruption recovery in the supply chain. *International Journal of Production Research*, 55(20), 6158-6174.
- Iwan, S., Kijewska, K., & Lemke, J. (2016). Analysis of parcel lockers' efficiency as the last mile delivery solution—the results of the research in Poland. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 12, 644-655. doi: 10.1016/j.trpro.2016.02.018
- Izogo, E. E., Elom, M. E., & Mpinganjira, M. (2021). Examining customer willingness to pay more for banking services: The role of employee commitment, customer involvement and customer value. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 16(6), 1176-1201.
- Jahanshahi, A. A., & Bhattacharjee, A. (2019). Competitiveness improvement in public sector organizations: What they need? Retrieved from- <https://doi.org/10.102/pa.2011/>
- Jain, V., Kumar, S., Soni, U., & Chandra, C. (2017). Supply chain resilience: model development and empirical analysis. *International Journal of Production Research*, 55(22), 6779-6800. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1349947>
- Jaiswal, A. K., & Niraj, R. (2011). Examining mediating role of attitudinal loyalty and nonlinear effects in satisfaction-behavioral intentions relationship. *Journal of services marketing*, 25(3), 165-175.

- Jamkhaneh, H. B., Shahin, R., & Tortorella, G. L. (2022). Analysis of Logistics 4.0 service quality and its sustainability enabler scenarios in emerging economy. *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain*, 4, 100053. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clscn.2022.100053>
- Jeong, K. Y., & Phillips, D. T. (2001). Operational efficiency and effectiveness measurement. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(11), 1404-1416. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM00000000006223>
- Joo, S. J., Keebler, J. S., & Hanks, S. (2013). Measuring the longitudinal performance of 3PL branch operations. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 20(2), 251-262. DOI [10.1108/14635771311307704](https://doi.org/10.1108/14635771311307704)
- Kabadayi, S., O'Connor, G.E. and Tuzovic, S. (2020). Viewpoint: the impact of coronavirus on service ecosystems as service mega-disruptions. *Journal of Services Marketing*. doi: [10.1108/JSM-03-2020-0090](https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-03-2020-0090).
- Kabue, L. W., & Kilika, J. M. (2016). Firm resources, core competencies and sustainable competitive advantage: An integrative theoretical framework. *Journal of management and strategy*, 7(1), 98-108.
- Kähkönen, A.K., Evangelista, P., Hallikas, J., Immonen, M. and Lintukangas, K. (2023). COVID-19 as a trigger for dynamic capability development and supply chain resilience improvement. *International Journal of Production Research*, 61(8), 2696-2715. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.2009588>
- Kaleke, A., & Morgan, N. A. (2017). Which competitive advantage(s)? Competitive advantages-market performance relationships in international markets. *Journal of International Marketing*, 25(4), 25-49.
- Karagiannis, R., & Karagiannis, G. (2020). Constructing composite indicators with Shannon entropy: The case of Human Development Index. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 70. 100701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2019.03.007>
- Karcz, J., & Slusarczyk, B. (2016). Improvements in the quality of courier delivery. *International Journal for Quality Research*, 10(2), 355-372
- Katsaliaki, K., Galetsi, P., & Kumar, S. (2022). Supply chain disruptions and resilience: A major review and future research agenda. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1-38.

- Kaur, V. (2017). Knowledge-based dynamic capabilities and competitive advantage: A study of MNC's in IT sector. [Doctoral Thesis]
- Kaur, V., & Mehta, V. (2016). Knowledge-based dynamic capabilities: A new perspective for achieving global competitiveness in IT sector. *Pacific Business Review International*, 1(3), 95-106.
- Kelley, S.W., Hoffman, K.D. and Davis, M.A. (1993). A typology of retail failures and recoveries. *Journal of Retailing*, 69 (4), 429-452.
- Ketudat, S. and Jeenanunta, C. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on logistics firms and their resilience: case studies in Thailand. *Engineering Management in Production and Services*, 13(3), 86-98.
- Khan, M. R., Pervin, M. T., Arif, M. Z. U., & Hossain, S. K. (2024). The impact of technology service quality on Bangladeshi banking consumers' satisfaction during the pandemic situation: Green development and innovation perspective in banking service. *Innovation and Green Development*, 3(2), 100120, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2023.100120>
- Kim, S.B. and Kim, D.Y. (2016). The impacts of corporate social responsibility, service quality, and transparency on relationship quality and customer loyalty in the hotel industry. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 1(1), 39-55.
- Kim, T. (2010). Efficiency of trucks in logistics: technical efficiency and scale efficiency. *Asian Journal on Quality*, 11(1), 89-96. [10.1108/15982681011051859](https://doi.org/10.1108/15982681011051859)
- Kincl, M., & Flak, J. (2021). Knowledge in the center of attention-the latest approaches to the creation of the postal ecosystem. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 55, 188-195. [10.1016/j.trpro.2021.06.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2021.06.021)
- Kock, N. (2015). Common method bias in PLS-SEM. *International Journal of Collaboration*, 11(4), 1-10.
- Koh, S.L., Suresh, K., Ralph, P. and Saccone, M., (2024). Quantifying organisational resilience: an integrated resource efficiency view. *International Journal of Production Research*, 62(16), 5737-5756. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2023.2296018>

- Koks, E., Pant, R., Thacker, S., & Hall, J. W. (2019). Understanding business disruption and economic losses due to electricity failures and flooding. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 10, 421-438.
- Koncová, D., Kremeňová, I., & Fabuš, J. (2021). Proposal for a change in the type of devices used for submitting of postal items by using the Saaty' s method, a case study for the postal sector. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 55, 236-243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2021.06.027>
- Kumar, S. (2008). An analysis of efficiency–profitability relationship in Indian public sector banks. *Global Business Review*, 9(1), 115-129. DOI: 10.1177/097215090700900108
- Kuo, Y.F., Wu, C.M., & Deng, W. J. (2009). The relationships among service quality, perceived value, customer satisfaction and post purchase intention in mobile value added services, *Customer in Human Behaviour*, 25(4), 887-896.
- Kyle, G., Theodorakis, N., Karageorgiou, A. and Lafazani, M. (2010). The effects of service quality on customer loyalty within the context of ski resorts. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 28 (1), 1-15.
- Ladhari, R. (2009). A review of twenty years of SERVQUAL research. *International journal of quality and service sciences*, 1(2), 172-198. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17566690910971445>
- Lafuente, E., Araya, M., & Leiva, J. C. (2020). Assessment of local competitiveness: a composite indicator analysis of Costa Rican counties using the “Benefit of the Doubt” model. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2020.100>
- Lai, P. L., Jang, H., Fang, M., & Peng, K. (2022). Determinants of customer satisfaction with parcel locker services in last-mile logistics. *The Asian Journal of Shipping and Logistics*, 38(1), 25-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajsl.2021.11.002>
- Laseinde, O. T., & Mpofu, K. (2017). Providing solution to last mile challenges in postal operations. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 20(5), 475-490. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2017.1288712>
- Lasisi, S.A. (2018) Emerging Issues Surrounding same day Logistics: From customer retailer & carrier perspectives. [Doctoral thesis University of Brighton].

- Lavie, D. (2006). The competitive advantages of interconnected firms: an extension of the resource based view. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(3), 638-658.
- Lee, S., & Chen, G. (2022). Understanding financial resilience from a resource-based view: Evidence from US state governments. *Public Management Review*, 24(12), 1980-2003. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1955951>
- Li, L., Xu, S. X., Ning, Y., Liu, Y., & Yang, S. (2023). How should companies deploy their digital supply chain platforms to gain competitive advantages? An asset orchestration perspective. *Information & Management*, 60(6), 103842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2023.103842>
- Li, S., Ragu-Nathan, B., Ragu-Nathan, T. S., Rao, S. S. (2006). The Impact of supply chain management practices on competitive advantage and organizational performance. *Omega- The International Journal of Management Science*, 34(1), 107-124.
- Libório, M. P., da Silva, L. M. L., Ekel, P. I., Figueiredo, L. R., & Bernardes, P. (2022). Consensus-based sub-indicator weighting approach: constructing composite indicators compatible with expert opinion. *Social Indicators Research*, 164(3), 1073-1099. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-022-02989-4>
- Lieberman, M. B., & Montgomery, D. B. (2013). Conundra and progress: Research on entry order and performance. *Long Range Planning*, 46(4-5), 312-324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.06.005>
- Lin, C. Y. (2007). Factors affecting innovation in logistics technologies for logistics service providers in China. *Journal of technology management in china*, 2(1), 22-37. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17468770710723604>
- Lin, C.Y., Durden, G.R., Imrie, B.C., & Cadogan, J.W. (2000). Towards the re-conceptualisation of service quality in an Asian context: a confirmatory study. *Proceedings of ANZMAC 2000: Visionary Marketing for the 21st Century: Facing the Challenge*. <http://130.195.95.71:8081/www/ANZMAC2000/Cdsite/papers/Lin1.pdf>
- Lin, Y., Chen, A., Zhong, S., Giannikas, V., Lomas, C., and Worth, T. (2023). Service supply chain resilience: A social-ecological perspective on last-mile delivery

- operations. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 43(1), 140-165.
- Liu, C.-L. and Lee, M.-Y. (2018). Integration, supply chain resilience, and service performance in third-party logistics providers. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 29(1), 5-21.
- Liu, L., & Liu, C. (2014). Empirical Study of Express Logistics Service Quality—A Survey of Changdao County Express Sector. *Atlantis Press, 2nd International Conference on Education Technology and Information System (ICETIS 2014)*, 393–397.
- Llosa, S., Chandon, J. L., & Orsingher, C. (1998). An empirical study of SERVQUAL's dimensionality. *Service Industries Journal*, 18(2), 16-44.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069800000017>
- Loya, D., Mate, P., & Kane, P. (2023). Service quality analysis using quality function deployment for two-wheeler service center. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 82, 351-355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.02.431>
- Lummus, R. R., Krumwiede, D. W., & Vokurka, R. J. (2001). The relationship of logistics to supply chain management: developing a common industry definition. *Industrial management & data systems*, 101(8), 426-432.
- Ma, H. (2000). Competitive advantage and firm performance. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 10(2), 15-32.
- Madhani, P. M. (2012). Intangible assets: value drivers for competitive advantages. *Best Practice in Management Accounting*, 146-165.
- Madleňáková, L., Turská, S., & Madleňák, R. (2019). The image of the postal company as a key attribute of the customer's purchasing behaviour. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 40, 1088-1095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2019.07.152>
- Magableh, G. M. (2021). Supply chains and the COVID-19 pandemic: A comprehensive framework. *European Management Review*, 18(3), 363-382.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12449>
- Maggino, F. (2010). Obtaining weights: from objective to subjective approaches in view of more participative methods in the construction of composite indicators of well-being. *Social Indicators Network News*, 4-5.

- Mahadev, K. R. (2015). An analytical study of administrative and operational efficiency of India post with reference to Solapur district. [Doctoral Thesis, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Department of Business Administration]
- Mahadevia, D., Desai, R., Mishra, A. (2014). City profile Guwahati. Working paper, Center for urban equity Ahmedabad
- Mahdi, O. R., Nassar, I. A., & Almsafir, M. K. (2019). Knowledge management processes and sustainable competitive advantage: An empirical examination in private universities. *Journal of Business Research*, 94, 320-334. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.02.013>
- Mahoney, J. T., & Pandian, J. R. (1992). The resource-based view within the conversation of strategic management. *Strategic management journal*, 13(5), 363-380. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250130505>
- Makhija, M. (2003). Comparing the resource-based and market-based views of the firm: empirical evidence from Czech privatization. *Strategic management journal*, 24(5), 433-451. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.304>
- Mangiaracina, M., Perego, A., Seghezzi, A. and Siragusa, C. (2019). Innovative solutions to increase last-mile delivery efficiency in B2C e-commerce: a literature review. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 49(9), 901-920.
- Marchet, G., Melacini, M., Sassi, C., & Tappia, E. (2017). Assessing efficiency and innovation in the 3PL industry: an empirical analysis. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 20(1), 53-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2016.1226789>
- Markovits-Somogyi, R., Gecse, G., & Bokor, Z. (2011). Basic efficiency measurement of Hungarian logistics centers using data envelopment analysis. *Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences*, 19(2), 97-101.
- Martin, C. R., & Horne, D. A. (2001). A perspective on client productivity in business-to-business consulting services. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 12(2), 137-157.

- Martín-Consuegra, D., Molina, A., & Esteban, A. (2007). An integrated model of price, satisfaction and loyalty: an empirical analysis in the service sector. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 16(7), 459-468.
- Masudin, I., Restuputri, D. P., Indriani, T. R., Lau, E., & Widayat, W. (2022). Modified-Kansei engineering for the quality of logistics services during the Covid-19 pandemic: Evidence from Indonesia. *Cogent Engineering*, 9(1), 2064588. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2022.2064588>
- McKinsey. (2019, May 24). *The endgame for postal networks: How to win in the age of e-commerce*. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/industries/travel%20logistics%20and%20infrastructure/our%20insights/the%20endgame%20for%20postal%20networks%20how%20to%20win%20in%20the%20age%20of%20e%20commerce/the_end_game_for_postal_networks_how_to_win_in_the_age_of_e-commerce.pdf
- Mentzer, J.T., Flint, D.J. and Hult, G.T.M. (2001). Logistics service quality as a segment-customized process. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(4), 82-104.
- Miller, D., & Shamsie, J. (1996). The resource-based view of the firm in two environments: the Hollywood film studios from 1936-1965. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 39(3), 519-543.
- Miller, K., and Clayton, A. (2023). Measuring the causal effect of Panama Canal expansion on Latin America and the Caribbean's economic growth: a Bayesian structural time series approach. *Marine Economics and Management*, 6(2), 37-58. 10.1108/MAEM-12-2022-0011
- Min, H., & Joo, S. J. (2006). Benchmarking the operational efficiency of third party logistics providers using data envelopment analysis. *Supply chain management: An International journal*, 11(3), 259-265.
- Min, H., Ahn, Y. H., & Lambert, T. E. (2015). Evaluating the comparative efficiency of urban mass transit system: a longitudinal analysis of the Ohio case. *International general of logistics: research and applications*, 18(6), 518- 534.
- Min, H., DeMond, S., & Joo, S. J. (2013). Evaluating the comparative managerial efficiency of leading third party logistics providers in North America.

Benchmarking: An International Journal, 20(1), 62-78.
[10.1108/14635771311299498](https://doi.org/10.1108/14635771311299498)

Mishra, L. (2019, April 15). *Coronavirus | Despite odds, India Post ensures essential services during lockdown.* Business Today.
<https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/coronavirus-despite-odds-india-post-ensures-essential-services-during-lockdown/article31824172.ece>

Mitroff, I.I., Shrivastava, P. and Udwadia, F.E. (1987), "Effective crisis management. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, Vol. 1 No. 4, pp.283-292.

Mizutani, F., Uranishi, S. (2003). The post office vs parcel delivery companies' competitive effects on cost and productivity. *Journal of Regulatory Economics* 23(3), 299-319

Mohan, S., Gopalakrishnan, M., & Mizzi, P. J. (2013). Improving the efficiency of a non-profit supply chain for the food insecure. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 143(2), 248-255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.05.019>

Moreno, J. J. M., Pol, A. P., Abad, A. S., and Blasco, B. C. (2013). Using the R-MAPE index as a resistant measure of forecast accuracy. *Psicothema*, 25(4), 500-506. [doi: 10.7334/psicothema2013.23](https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2013.23)

Mu, C., & Wang, X. (2020). Research on the development path of logistics and express delivery industry in the era of artificial intelligence. In *2020 International Conference on Urban Engineering and Management Science (ICUEMS)*, 197-199. IEEE.

Mukherjee, A., Pal, P., & Goswami, R. (2010). Addressing new service sectors in WTO/FTAs: Express Delivery and India. *Indian Council on International Economic Relations, Working Paper*, 251.

Nandy, S. N. (2014). Road infrastructure in economically underdeveloped north-east India: a district level study. *Journal of Infrastructure Development*, 6(2), 131-144. DOI: 10.1177/0974930614564648

Negi, S., & Negi, G. (2021). Framework to manage humanitarian logistics in disaster relief supply chain management in India. *International Journal of Emergency Services*, 10(1), 40-76. [10.1108/IJES-02-2020-0005](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJES-02-2020-0005)

- Nicoletti, G., Scarpetta, S., & Boylaud, O. (2000). Summary indicators of product market regulation with an extension to employment protection legislation. Economics Department Working Paper No. 226. OECD
- Nolan, J. F., Ritchie, P. C., & Rowcroft, J. R. (2001). Measuring efficiency in the public sector using non parameter frontier estimators a study of transit agencies in the USA. *Applied Economics* 33(7), 913-922.
- Noorbakhsh, F. (1996). The human development indices: Are they redundant? Occasional Papers No. 20. Centre for Development Studies, University of Glasgow, Glasgow
- Noordin, A., Hasnan, N., & Osman, N.H. (2012). Service Innovations of postal and courier services in Malaysia: will it lead to customer responsiveness. *International Proceedings of Economics Development & Research*, 42, 205-209.
- Nugroho, B. F., Syamil, A., Nurhasan, H. M., Fatma, E., Noor, M. M., Soeharsono, I. P. F. M., ... & Balázs, G. (2024). A pattern of collaborative logistics during multiple crises. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 108, 104499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2024.104499>
- OECD. (2008). Handbook on constructing composite indicators: Methodology and user guide. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Ofori-Atta, P. E. T. E. R. (2015). *Street Address System and Delivery Service: The Case of Courier Service Operators in the Accra Metropolitan Area* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Ghana).
- Oke, A. (2004). Barriers to innovation management in service companies. *Journal of Change Management*, 4(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1469701032000154953>
- Olavarrieta, S., & Ellinger, A. E. (1997). Resource-based theory and strategic logistics research. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 27(9/10), 559-587.
- Otsetova, A., & Dudin, E. (2017). A study of courier service market in Bulgaria. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences*, 6(8), 85-100

- Overby, J., Rayburn, M., Hammond, K. and Wyld, D.C. (2004). The China Syndrome: the impact of the SARS epidemic in Southeast Asia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 16 (1), 69-94. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13555850410765131>
- Pachar, N., Darbari, J. D., Govindan, K., & Jha, P. C. (2022). Sustainable performance measurement of Indian retail chain using two-stage network DEA. *Annals of Operations Research*, 315(2), 1477-1515. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04088-y>
- Pal, P., Mukherjee, A., & Mitra, S. (2010). The new Indian Postal bill: issues and way forward. *Economic and political weekly* 45(49), 18-22.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of marketing*, 49(4), 41-50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224298504900403>
- Park, H. S., Dailey, R., & Lemus, D. (2002). The use of exploratory factor analysis and principal components analysis in communication research. *Human communication research*, 28(4), 562-577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2002.tb00824.x>
- Patrizii, V., Pettini, A., & Resce, G. (2017). The cost of well-being. *Social Indicators Research*, 133(3), 985–1010.
- Pearson, K. (1901). LIII on lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 2(11), 559–572.
- Peters, M., Siller, L., & Matzler, K. (2011). The resource-based and the market-based approaches to cultural tourism in alpine destinations. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 19(7), 877-893. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2010.547198>
- Pettit, T.J., Fiksel, J. and Croxton, K.L. (2010). Ensuring supply chain resilience: development of a conceptual framework. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 31(1), 1-21.
- Phan, T. M., Thai, V. V., & Vu, T. P. (2021). Port service quality (PSQ) and customer satisfaction: an exploratory study of container ports in Vietnam. *Maritime Business Review*, 6(1), 72–94.
- Piazza, J. A. (2009). Economic development, poorly managed political conflict and terrorism in India. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 32(5), 406–419.

- Pine, B. J., & Gilmore, J. H. (1998). The experience economy. *Harvard business review*, 76(6), 18-23.
- Pisal, P. K. (2003). A study of private and public sector mail and messenger, angadia and selected courier service in Maharashtra. [Doctoral Thesis, University of Pune, Department of Commerce and Management Sciences]
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of applied psychology*, 88(5), 879.
- Ponirir, P., Scott, D. R., & Von der Heide, T. (2009). Does e-store service quality affect customer loyalty. *Social Science Research Network*, 11, 1082-106.
- Porter, M. E. (1980). Industry structure and competitive strategy: Keys to profitability. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 36(4), 30-41. <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v36.n4.30>
- Porter, M. E. (1985). Technology and competitive advantage. *Journal of business strategy*, 5(3), 60-78. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb039075>
- Potdar, M. P. (2015). An analytical study of administrative and operational efficiency of India post with reference to Solapur district. (Doctoral Thesis, Savitribai phule Pune University, Department of Organisational Management)
- Prasad, M. D., & Shekhar, B.R. (2010). Impact of service quality management practices on Indian Railways - A study of south-central railways. *International Journal of Business Management*, 5(9).
- Pratap, S., Daultani, Y., Dwivedi, A., & Zhou, F. (2022). Supplier selection and evaluation in e-commerce enterprises: a data envelopment analysis approach. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 29(1), 325-341. [10.1108/BIJ-10-2020-0556](https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-10-2020-0556)
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior research methods*, 40(3), 879-891.
- Priem, R., & Bulter, J. E. (2001). Is the resource based view a useful perspective for strategic management research? *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 22-40.

- Purbasari, R., Sari, D. S., & Muttaqin, Z. (2020). Mapping of digital industry competitive advantages: Market-based view approach. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 9, 380-398.
- Purohit, K. (2007). Critical appraisal of customer satisfaction in the postal services in Vadodara city of Gujrat state - An Empirical study. *SEMCOM Management & Technology Review*, 4(2) 20-31.
- Queiroz, M.M., Fosso Wamba, S. and Branski, R.M. (2022), "Supply chain resilience during the COVID-19: empirical evidence from an emerging economy", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 29(6), 1999-2018.
- Rabinovich, E., Knemeyer, A. M., & Mayer, C. M. (2007). Why do Internet commerce firms incorporate logistics service providers in their distribution channels? The role of transaction costs and network strength. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(3), 661-681.
- Rafiq, M., & Jaafar, H.S. (2007). Measuring customers perceptions of logistic service quality of 3PL service providers. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 28(2), 159-175.
- Raj, R., Singh, A., Kumar, V., De, T., & Singh, S. (2024). Assessing the e-commerce last-mile logistics' hidden risk hurdles. *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain*, 10, 100131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clscn.2023.100131>
- Ram, R. (1982). Composite indices of physical quality of life, basic needs fulfilment, and income. A 'principal component' representation. *Journal of Development Economics*, 11(2), 227-247
- Rantasila, K., & Ojala, L. (2012). Measurement of national-level logistics costs and performance. *International Transport Forum, Discussion Paper no. 2012-4, Turku School of Economics at the University of Turku, Finland*
- Rao, S., Goldsby, T.J., Griffis, S. E & Iyengar, D. (2011). Electronic Logistics service quality (e-LSQ): Its impact on the customers purchase satisfaction and retention. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 32(2), 167-79.
- Rapaccini, M., Saccani, N., Kowalkowski, C., Paiola, M., and Adrodegari, F. (2020). Navigating disruptive crises through service-led growth: The impact of COVID-19

- on Italian manufacturing firms. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 88, 225-237.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2020.05.017>
- Raposo, M. L., Alves, H. M., & Duarte, P. A. (2009). Dimensions of service quality and satisfaction in healthcare: a patient's satisfaction index. *Service Business*, 3, 85-100.
[10.1007/s11628-008-0055-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11628-008-0055-1)
- Rashid, D. A., & Rasheed, D. R. (2024). Logistics service quality and product satisfaction in e-commerce. *SAGE Open*, 14(1), 1-12.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231224250>
- Ratkovic, M., Pavlovic, M., & Andelkovic, M. (2017). Comparative analysis of customer satisfaction in postal and banking services. *International Review*, (1-2), 108-120
- Raval, S. J., Kant, R., & Shankar, R. (2020). Analyzing the Lean Six Sigma enabled organizational performance to enhance operational efficiency. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 27(8), 2401-2434. [10.1108/BIJ-05-2019-0221](https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-05-2019-0221)
- Ray, A. K. (2008). Measurement of social development: An international comparison. *Social Indicators Research*, 86(1), 1-46.
- Ray, G., Barney, J. B & Muhanna, W.A. (2004). Capabilities, business processes, and competitive advantage: choosing the dependent variable in empirical tests of the resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(1), 23-37.
- Restuputri, D. P., Indriani, T. R., & Masudin, I. (2021). The effect of logistic service quality on customer satisfaction and loyalty using kansei engineering during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Business & Management*, 8(1), 1906492.
- Richey Jr, R. G., Chowdhury, S., Davis-Sramek, B., Giannakis, M., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2023). Artificial intelligence in logistics and supply chain management: A primer and roadmap for research. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 44(4), 532-549.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12364>
- Rogge, N. (2012). Undesirable specialization in the construction of composite policy indicators: The Environmental Performance Index. *Ecological Indicators*, 23, 143-154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2012.03.020>.

- Rose, A., Chen, Z., & Wei, D. (2023). The economic impacts of Russia–Ukraine War export disruptions of grain commodities. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 45(2), 645-665. DOI:10.1002/aepp.13351
- Roszkowska, E. (2013). Rank ordering criteria weighting methods—a comparative overview. *Optimum. Studia Ekonomiczne*, 5(65), 14–33.
- Rothaermel, F. T. (2016). Competitive advantage in technology intensive industries. *Technological innovation: Generating economic results*, 26, 233-256. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-4736\(07\)00007-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-4736(07)00007-0)
- Roy, J., Pamučar, D., & Kar, S. (2020). Evaluation and selection of third party logistics provider under sustainability perspectives: an interval valued fuzzy-rough approach. *Annals of Operations Research*, 293, 669-714. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-019-03501-x>
- Saaty, T. L. (1977). A scaling method for priorities in hierarchical structures. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 15(3), 234–281.
- Sabahi, S., & Parast, M. M. (2020). Firm innovation and supply chain resilience: a dynamic capability perspective. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 23(3), 254-269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2019.1683522>
- Sabancı, D., Kılıçarslan, S., and Adem, K. (2023). An application on forecasting for stock market prices: hybrid of some metaheuristic algorithms with multivariate adaptive regression splines. *International Journal of Intelligent Computing and Cybernetics*, 16(4), 847-866. [10.1108/IJICC-02-2023-0030](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJICC-02-2023-0030)
- Saikia, A., & Kar, B. K. (2023). Impact of road connectivity on urbanisation: A case study of Central Brahmaputra Valley, Assam, India. *Geo Journal*, 88, 3923-3934. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-023-10843-4>
- Saisana, M., & Tarantola, S. (2002). State-of-the-art report on current methodologies and practices for composite indicator development. *European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for the Protection and the Security of the Citizen, Technological and Economic Risk Management Unit*, Ispra, Italy.

- Sandberg, E., & Abrahamsson, M. (2011). Logistics capabilities for sustainable competitive advantages. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Application*, 14(1), 81-75.
- Saravanan, R., & Rao, K.S.P (2007). Measurement of service Quality from the customers Perspective - An empirical study. *Total Quality Management*, 18(4), 435-449.
- Sarkis, J. (2021). Supply chain sustainability: learning from the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 41 (1), 63-73.
- Saunders, M. N., & Townsend, K. (2016). Reporting and justifying the number of interview participants in organization and workplace research. *British Journal of Management*, 27(4), 836-852. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12182>
- Schnitzler, S. U., & Schnitzler, P. (2009). An update on swine-origin influenza virus A/H1N1: a review. *Virus genes*, 39, 279-292. [10.1007/s11262-009-0404-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-009-0404-8)
- Selvakumar, S. (2007). A study of Courier services in Tamil Nadu. [Doctoral Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, Department of Commerce]
- Selvavinayagam, K., Francina, V. J., & Rameshkumar, V. P. (2018). Evaluation of logistics performance index of India in the Indian Postal services. *International journal of engineering and management research*, 8(5), 80-87.
- Sengazhani Murugesan, V., Sequeira, A. H., Shetty, D. S., & Jauhar, S. K. (2020). Enhancement of mail operational performance of India post facility layout using AHP. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 11(2), 261-273. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-019-00854-1>
- Seth, N., Deshmukh, S.G., and Vrat, P. (2006). A framework for measurement of quality of service in supply chains. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 11, 82-94.
- Shah, T. R., Kautish, P., & Mehmood, K. (2023). Influence of robots service quality on customers' acceptance in restaurants. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 35(12), 3117-3137. [10.1108/APJML-09-2022-0780](https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-09-2022-0780)
- Shainesh, G., & Mathur, M. (2000). Service quality Measurement: The case of Railway Freight Services. *Vikalpa*, 25(3), 15-22

- Shanghali, J. W. (2015). Service Quality Delivery and its Impact on Customer Satisfaction in the Bank Services in Tanzania: The case of Moshi Uchumi Commercial Bank. [Doctoral dissertation, Mzumbe University].
- Sharma, M., Luthra, S., Joshi, S. and Kumar, A. (2021). Accelerating retail supply chain performance against pandemic disruption: adopting resilient strategies to mitigate the long-term effects. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 34 (6), 1844-1873.
- Sharma, S. K. (2014). *Dynamics of bandhs and blockades in Northeast India: A study of Manipur and the way ahead* (Manekshaw Paper No. 48). New Delhi: Knowledge World Publishers Pvt. Ltd.
- Sharma, V. M., & Erramilli, M. K. (2004). Resource-based explanation of entry mode choice. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice*, 12(1), 1-18.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2004.11658509>
- Sheffi, Y. and Rice Jr, J.B. (2005). A supply chain view of the resilient enterprise. *MIT Sloan management review*.
- Shekarian, N., Ramirez, R. and Khuntia, J. (2023). Resilience through operational flexibility for crisis response: an international investigation of firm responses during COVID-19. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 75(6), 1253-1279.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-04-2022-0204>
- Sheykhfard, A., Haghighi, F., Saeidi, S., SafariTaherkhani, M., & Das, S. (2024). Understanding the influence of environmental factors on driver speed: A structural equation modeling analysis. *IATSS Research*, 48(3), 427-439.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iatssr.2024.08.001>
- Shou, Y., Shao, J. and Chen, A. (2017). Relational resources and performance of Chinese third-party logistics providers: the mediating role of innovation capability. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 47(9), 864-883, [doi: 10.1108/IJPDLM-09-2016-0271](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-09-2016-0271).
- Singh, J. (1991). Understanding the structure of consumers' satisfaction evaluations of service delivery. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 19(3), 223-244.

- Singh, N. P. (2020). Managing environmental uncertainty for improved firm financial performance: the moderating role of supply chain risk management practices on managerial decision making. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 23(3), 270-290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2019.1684462>
- Singh, S., Kumar, R., Panchal, R., & Tiwari, M. K. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on logistics systems and disruptions in food supply chain. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(7), 1–16.
- Sinha, S (2023, December 21). *What the Post Office Bill, 2023 is all about*. Hindu Business Lines. <https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/blexplainer/what-the-post-office-bill-2023-is-all-about/article67650792.ece>
- Slack, N., Singh, G., & Sharma, S. (2020). The effect of supermarket service quality dimensions and customer satisfaction on customer loyalty and disloyalty dimensions. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 12(3), 297-318.
- Soloducho-Pelc, L. (2014). Competitive advantage: the courage in formulating objectives and expansiveness of a strategy. *Procedia – Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 150(1), 271-280.
- Spearman, C. (1904). ‘General intelligence’, objectively determined and measured. *American Journal of Psychology*, 15(2), 201–292.
- Spencer, N. H., Lay, M., & Kevan de Lopez, L. (2017). Normal enough? Tools to aid decision making. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 20(2), 167-179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2016.1155379>
- Srinivas, S.S. and Marathe, R.R. (2021). Moving towards ‘mobile warehouse’: last-mile logistics during COVID-19 and beyond. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 10, 100339.
- Steininger, D. M., Huntgeburth, J. C., & Veit, D. J. (2011). Conceptualizing business models for competitive advantage research by integrating the resource and market-based views. *AMCIS 2011 Proceedings - All Submissions*. 286. https://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2011_submissions/286
- Stenger, A. J. (2011). Advances in information technology applications for supply chain management. *Transportation Journal*, 50(1), 37-52.

- Su, D. N., Nguyen-Phuoc, D. Q., Duong, T. H., Dinh, M. T. T., Luu, T. T., & Johnson, L. (2022). How does quality of mobile food delivery services influence customer loyalty? Gronroos's service quality perspective. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 34(11), 4178-4205. [10.1108/IJCHM-08-2021-1039](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-08-2021-1039)
- Subramanian, N., Gunasekaran, A., Yu, J., Cheng, J., & Ning, K. (2014). Customer satisfaction and competitiveness in the Chinese E-retailing: Structural equation modeling (SEM) approach to identify the role of quality factors. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(1), 69-80.
- Sum, C. C., & Teo, C. B. (1999). Strategic posture of logistics service providers in Singapore. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 29(9), 588-605.
- Sureeyatanapas, P., Sriwattananusart, K., Niyamosoth, T., Sessomboon, W., & Arunyanart, S. (2018). Supplier selection towards uncertain and unavailable information: an extension of TOPSIS method. *Operations Research Perspectives*, 5, 69–79.
- Suresh, M., Mahadevan, G. & Abhishek, R.D. (2019). Modelling the factors influencing the service quality in supermarkets. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering Management*, 10, 1474–1486. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-019-00897-4>
- Surjandari, I., Rindrasari, R., & Dhini, A. (2023). Evaluation of Efficiency in Logistics Company: An Analysis of Last-Mile Delivery. *EVERGREEN Joint Journal of Novel Carbon Resource Sciences & Green Asia Strategy*, 10(2), 649-657. <https://doi.org/10.5109/6792811>
- Switala, M. (2013). Marketing in the activities of logistics service providers selected direct survey results. *Journal of economics and management*, 12(1), 97-110.
- Tabassum, R., & Badiuddin, A. (2014). Measuring the service quality gap in courier industry. *IRJA-Indian Research Journal*, 1(5), 1-11.
- Tajeddini K, Martin E, Altinay L. (2020). The importance of human-related factors on service innovation and performance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 85, 102431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.102431>

- Teece, D. J, Pisano, G & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.
- Tenenhaus, M., Vinzi, V. E., Chatelin, Y. M., & Lauro, C. (2005). PLS path modeling. *Computational statistics & data analysis*, 48(1), 159-205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2004.03.005>
- Thai, V. V. (2008). Service quality in maritime transport: conceptual model and empirical evidence. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 20(4), 493-518. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13555850810909777>
- Thai, V.V. (2015). The impact of port service quality on customer satisfaction: the case of Singapore. *Maritime Economics and Logistics*, 18(4), 1-18.
- Theriou, G., & Chatzoudes, D. (2015). Exploring the entrepreneurship-performance relationship: evidence from Greek SMEs. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 22(2), 352-375. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-03-2013-0024>
- Thoma, K., Scharte, B., Hiller, D., & Leismann, T. (2016). Resilience engineering as part of security research: definitions, concepts and science approaches. *European Journal for Security Research*, 1(1), 3-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41125-016-0002-4>
- Tofallis, C. (2013). An automatic-democratic approach to weight setting for the new human development index. *Journal of population economics*, 26, 1325-1345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-012-0432-x>
- Tontini, G., Söilen, K. S., & Zanchett, R. (2017). Nonlinear antecedents of customer satisfaction and loyalty in third-party logistics services (3PL). *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 29(5), 1116-1135. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-09-2016-0173>
- Tresch, M. C., Cheung, V. C., & d'Avella, A. (2006). Matrix factorization algorithms for the identification of muscle synergies: evaluation on simulated and experimental data sets. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 95(4), 2199-2212. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00222.2005>
- Tseng, P. H. and Liao, C. H. (2015). Supply chain integration, information technology, market orientation and firm performance in container shipping firms. *The*

International Journal of Logistics Management, 26(1), 82-106. [doi: 10.1108/IJLM-09-2012-0088](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-09-2012-0088)

- Tushman, M. L., & Romanelli, E. (1985). Organizational evolution: A metamorphosis model of convergence and reorientation. *Research in organizational behavior*.
- Ul-Hameed, W., Shabbir, M. S., Raza, A., & Salman, R. (2019). Remedies of low performance among Pakistani e-logistic companies: The role of firm's IT capability and information communication technology (ICT). *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, 7, 369-380. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2018.6.002>
- Upadhaya, B., Munir, R., & Blount, Y. (2014). Association between performance measurement systems and organisational effectiveness. *International journal of operations & production management*, 34(7), 853-875. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-02-2013-0091>
- Uvet, H. (2020). Importance of logistics service quality in customer satisfaction: an empirical study. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 13(1), 1-10
- Uzir, Md. U. H., Al Halbusi, H., Thurasamy, R., Thiam Hock, R. L., Aljaberi, M. A., Hasan, N., & Hamid, M. (2021). The effects of service quality, perceived value and trust in home delivery service personnel on customer satisfaction: Evidence from a developing country. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 63, 102721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102721>
- Valaei, N., Rezaei, S., & Shahijan, M.K. (2016). CouQual: assessing overall service quality in courier service industry and the moderating impact of age, gender and ethnicity. *International Journal of Management Concepts and Philosophy*, 9(2), 144–169.
- Valarmathi, D. (2010). A study on consumer preference towards courier service in Thanjavur district. [Doctoral thesis, Bharathidasan University, Department of Commerce]
- Varshney, S. and Sahay, A. (2007). Indian courier industry: The leader's dilemma. *Journal of Services Research*, 7(1), 219-245.

- Verhoef, Peter C. (2003). Understanding the Effect of Customer Relationship Management Efforts on Customer Retention and Customer Share Development. *Journal of Marketing*, 67, 30-45.
- Villapando, K. D. C., Agunos, A. J. S., Sanchez, A. A., Loto, L. D. B., & Borres, R. D. (2021). SERVQUAL Model Application: Integration of AHP, Prioritization Matrix, and QFD in Assessing Various Delivery Courier Services in the Philippines. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, 1794.
- Viu-Roig, M. & Alvarez-Palau, E.J. (2020). The impact of E-Commerce-related last-mile logistics on cities: a systematic literature review. *Sustainability*, 12 (16), 6492.
- Wang, C. L., & Ahmed, P. K. (2007). Dynamic capabilities: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 31-51
- Wang, C. L., Zhang, Y., Ye, L. R., & Nguyen, D. D. (2005). Subscription to fee-based online services: What makes consumer pay for online content? *Journal of electronic commerce research*, 6(4), 304.
- Wang, F. (2009). Factor analysis and principal-components analysis. *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography*.
- Wang, F., Zhao, J., Chi, M., & Li, Y. (2017). Collaborative innovation capability in IT-enabled inter-firm collaboration. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 117(10), 2364-2380. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2016-0392>
- Wang, H. L. (2014). Theories of competitive advantage. In H. Hasan (Eds.), *Being Practical with Theory: A Window into Business Research*, 33-43. Wollongong, Australia: THEORI. Retrieved from http://eurekaconnection.files.wordpress.com/2014/02/p-33-43-theoriesof-competitive-advantage-theori-ebook_finaljan2014-v3.pdf
- Wang, H.L. (2004). A framework to support and understand strategic decision-making in business to-business electronic commerce. *The International Workshop on Business and Information (BAI2004)*, Taipei.
- Wang, M. (2017). Impacts of supply chain uncertainty and risk on the logistics performance. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics* 30(3), 689-704.

- Wang, M., Asian, S., Wood, L.C. and Wang, B. (2020). Logistics innovation capability and its impacts on the supply chain risks in the industry 4.0 era. *Modern Supply Chain Research and Applications*, 2(2), 83-98.
- Wang, Q., Voss, C., Zhao, X., & Wang, Z. (2015). Modes of service innovation: A typology. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 115(7), 1358–1382.
- Wanke, P. F. (2012). Determinants of scale efficiency in the Brazilian 3PL industry: A 10-year analysis. *International journal of production research*, 50(9), 2423-2438. [10.1080/00207543.2011.581005](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2011.581005)
- Warner, M., & Hefetz, A. (2003). Rural-urban differences in privatizations: Limits to the competitive stste. *Environment and planning C: Government and Policy*, 23(1), 703-718.
- Wasko, M. M., & Faraj, S. (2005). Why should I share? Examining social capital and knowledge contribution in electronic networks of practice. *MIS quarterly*, 35-57. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148667>
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic management journal*, 5(2), 171-180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250050207>
- Wieland, A., & Wallenburg, C. M. (2013). The influence of relational competencies on supply chain resilience: a relational view. *International journal of physical distribution & logistics management*, 43(4), 300-320. [10.1108/IJPDLM-08-2012-0243](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-08-2012-0243)
- Wiklund, J., & shepherd, D. (2003). Knowledge-based resources, Entrepreneurial orientation, and the Performance of small and Medium-sized businesses. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(1), 1307–1314
- Wind, Y., & Green, P. E. (Eds.). (2013). Marketing research and modelling: progress and prospects: A tribute to Paul E. Green, 14.
- Wong, R. C. P., & Szeto, W. Y. (2018). An alternative methodology for evaluating the service quality of urban taxis. *Transport Policy*, 69, 132-140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2018.05.016>
- Wu, K-J, Tseng, M-L, Chiu, ASF & Lim, M 2016, 'Achieving competitive advantage through supply chain agility under uncertainty: A novel multi-criteria decision-

- making structure' *International Journal of Production Economics*, 190, 96-107
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.08.027>
- Xu, S., Zhang, X., Feng, L., & Yang, W. (2020). Disruption risks in supply chain management: a literature review based on bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(11), 3508–3526.
- Xu, X., & Gursoy, D. (2015). Influence of sustainable hospitality supply chain management on customers' attitudes and behaviors. *International journal of hospitality management*, 49, 105-116.
- Yang, S., Ning, L., Jiang, T., and He, Y. (2021). Dynamic impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on the regional express logistics: evidence from China. *Transport Policy*, 111, 111-124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2021.07.012>
- Yee, H. L., & Daud, D. (2011). Measuring customer satisfaction in the parcel service delivery: a pilot study in Malaysia. *Business and Economic Research*, 1(1), 1-10.
- Yoganandan, G., Vasan, M., & Vértessy, L. (2024). Evaluating the effect of logistics service quality on customer satisfaction and loyalty. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, 47(4), 515-534. [10.1504/IJSOM.2024.137991](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSOM.2024.137991)
- Yu, B., Zhang, S., Wu, S., & Xie, J. (2013). A study of courier service quality improvement based on a two-stage QFD. *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, 3(3), 26–33.
- Yu, H., Sun, X., Solvang, W. D., & Zhao, X. (2020). Reverse logistics network design for effective management of medical waste in epidemic outbreaks: Insights from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in Wuhan (China). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(5), 1770.
- Yusif, S., Hafeez-Baig, A., Soar, J., & Teik, D. O. L. (2020). PLS-SEM path analysis to determine the predictive relevance of e-Health readiness assessment model. *Health and Technology*, 10, 1497-1513. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-020-00484-9>
- Zanella, A., Camanho, A., & Galvão, T. (2015). Undesirable outputs and weighting schemes in composite indicators based on data envelopment analysis. *European Journal of Operation Research*, 245, 517-530.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.03.036>.

- Zeithaml, V.A., Berry, L.L. and Parasuraman, A. (1996). The behavioural consequences of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 60 (2), 31-46.
- Zenezini, G., Lagorio, A., Robert, P., Marco, A. D. (2018). The collection and delivery points implementation process from the courier, express and parcel operator's perspective. *IFAC Papers Online*, 51(11), 594-599.
- Zeng, L., & Luo, F. (2013). 10 Years Later: Where in the World is Equal Weight Indexing Now? PSN: Global Markets. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2257481>.
- Zhao, J., & Xie, F. (2020). Cognitive and artificial intelligence system for logistics industry. *International Journal of Innovative Computing and Applications*, 11(2-3), 84-88. [10.1504/IJICA.2020.107118](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICA.2020.107118)
- Zhao, K., Zuo, Z., & Blackhurst, J. V. (2019). Modelling supply chain adaptation for disruptions: An empirically grounded complex adaptive systems approach. *Journal of Operations Management*, 65(2), 190–212.
- Zhao, M. (2018). Analyzing the operation efficiency of logistics chain service providers: An analytical method. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 21(4), 1009-1016. [10.1080/09720502.2018.1456823](https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2018.1456823)
- Zhao, N., Hong, J., and Lau, K. H. (2023). Impact of supply chain digitalization on supply chain resilience and performance: A multi-mediation model. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 259, 108817.
- Zhen, X., Li, Y., Cai, G. G., & Shi, D. (2016). Transportation disruption risk management: business interruption insurance and backup transportation. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 90, 51–68.
- Zhou, G., Min, H., Xu, C., & Cao, Z. (2008). Evaluating the comparative efficiency of Chinese third-party logistics providers using data envelopment analysis. *International Journal of physical distribution & logistics management*, 38(4), 262-279. DOI 10.1108/09600030810875373
- Zhou, L., Zhang, L. and Fang, Y., (2020). Logistics service scheduling with manufacturing provider selection in cloud manufacturing. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 65, 101914. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2019.101914>

- Zhou, P., Ang, B. W., & Poh, K. L. (2007). A mathematical programming approach to constructing composite indicators. *Ecological economics*, 62(2), 291-297.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.12.020>
- Zhou, Q., Lim, F. J., Yu, H., Xu, G., Ren, X., Liu, D., ... & Xu, H. (2021). A study on factors affecting service quality and loyalty intention in mobile banking. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 60, 102424.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102424>
- Zhu, W., Ng, S. C. H., Wang, Z., Jhao, X. (2017). The role of outsourcing management process in improving the effectiveness of logistics outsourcing. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 188, 29-40.
- Zighan, S., Al-Kalha, Z., Bamford, D., and Reid, I. (2021). A capability perspective on service provision in project-based organisations. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, 38(4), 467-489.
<https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSOM.2021.114247>

Appendix-I



SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE (For CEP Service Providers)

The present survey is in respect of partial fulfilment of Ph.D. research work. This survey aims to research on the resilience of courier, express and parcel (CEP) service providers in times of disruptions. The responses will be kept under strict confidentiality and will be used for academic purposes only. Therefore, genuine, and appropriate responses are anticipated. Thank you for your cooperation in advance. Regards.

What is disruption? When anything out of the ordinary happens to a business, it might throw off its regular operations and processes. There are a number of potential causes of such disruptions, including but not limited to natural catastrophes, technical failures, economic recessions, and supply chain disruptions. Recent COVID-19 pandemic is one of the world-wide disruptions.

1. How do your organization handle the operational activities during disruptive events?
Please provide a score on each statements given below on a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score].

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Timely and reliable pickup and delivery (Scheduling/vehicle routing)							
Number of rejected/undelivered items are returned back to the hub							
We have tracking and tracing system to protect packages during transit							
We provide notifications or alerts for delivery status update to the customers							
We ensure accurate delivery							
We have enough flexibility in operating hours as well as changing routes and facilities as per customers requirement							
We can easily handle express or time-sensitive deliveries							
Short processing time and in transit time							
We provide cost-effective services to meet different customers' needs.							

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Short response time for queries and to resolve conflicts							
Delivery agents are given daily targets to deliver shipments							
We have adopted technological advancement initiatives to tackle disruptions							
Our organization is using novel delivery solutions or services demonstrates innovation in the field of courier service							
Our organization has changed or adapted the operational processes that demonstrates innovation in the field of courier services							
Our overall advancements made during the pandemic in terms of tracking and visibility of shipments, contactless delivery, and other digital solutions in response to evolving dynamic environment							
We promptly adapt our operational processes to handle supply chain disruptions and shifts in demand patterns during the pandemic							
How successfully, compared to competitors, do your courier services continue to operate and remain dependable to carry out deliveries promptly and securely, and effectively amid disruptive events?							
In compared to rivals, our courier business has bounced back and carry on with business as usual following a disruption							
Our courier services during the disruption are aided by geographical area coverage							
Our courier services during the disruption are aided by distribution centers and mode of transportation to handle sufficient number of deliveries							
We always focus on resources are being efficiently utilized							
We establish new relationships or collaborations with healthcare providers, government organizations, or the other essential service providers to provide uninterrupted services during disruptions							
We efficiently handle shipping-related risks like damage or theft or delay in delivery and risks posed by disruptions							
Our employees are capable of handling disruptions efficiently							

2. Please evaluate the following statements regarding your company's performance relative to its competitors during disruptions. Please answer in a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
We have sales growth							
We have better market reach							
We have better profitability							
We have better customer satisfaction							

3. How do you perceive your organization's performance during periods of disruption? Please answer on a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= the lowest score and 7= the highest score]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

4. What innovative strategies or practices has your organization adopted to adapt to the dynamic environment created by disruptions? Please specify.
5. What are the primary challenges your organization faces in remaining competitive in the market? Additionally, how has the recent pandemic impacted the operational direction of the courier, service, and parcel industry?

6. Other information

a. Name of your organisation _____

b. Age of the organisation (Tick on the answer)

- i) 0-3 years ☐
- ii) 4-10 years ☐
- iii) 11-20 years ☐
- iv) More than 20 years ☐

c. Number of employees (Tick on the answer)

- i) Less than 50 ☐
- ii) Between 50 and 100 ☐
- iii) Between 100 and 250 ☐
- iv) Above 250 ☐



SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

(For Individual Customers)

The present survey is in respect of partial fulfilment of Ph.D. research work. This survey aims to research on the customer perspectives regarding courier, express and parcel service providers (CEP) service providers in maintaining service quality during disruptive environment (recent COVID-19 pandemic is one of the examples of disruptive event). The responses will be kept under strict confidentiality and will be used for academic purposes only. Therefore, genuine and appropriate responses are anticipated. Thank you for your cooperation in advance. Regards.

The respondent must be a user of both a) India Post and b) other Private couriers (such as DTDC, Blue dart, Ekart etc.); Consider both the cases a) sending and b) receiving of shipments (documents, parcels, online deliveries etc.)

1. For what purpose do you use courier services? (Tick on the answer)

- a) To send documents ☐
- b) To send non-documents (parcels, packages) ☐
- c) Both ☐

2. Name private couriers that you use _____

3. Which of the following delivery features matters the most while selecting a courier service?

(Tick on the answer)

- a) Flexibility ☐
- b) Safety ☐
- c) Price ☐
- d) Speed ☐
- e) Appropriate customer service ☐

4. Have you used courier services in last 2 years? (Tick on the answer)

- a) Yes ☐ b) No ☐

5. How frequently do you use the courier services? (Tick on the answer)

- a) Rarely ☐
- b) Daily ☐

- c) Weekly ☐
- d) Monthly ☐
- e) More than two times in a year ☐

6. Which courier service provider do you prefer to send urgent documents/packages? (Tick on the answer)

- a) India Post ☐ b) Private couriers ☐ c) Both ☐

7. How do you find the disruption pushed redefined services innovation adopted by your courier service provider? Please answer on a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

Statements	India Post							Private courier services						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Completely follow pandemic protocols both at the counter and at the time of delivery														
Assure the health status of delivery executives														
Assurance of sanitized packages before handling														
Delivery executives drop parcels at doorstep and ask for confirmation from a safe distance														
Facilitates contactless delivery														
OTP based transactions														
Use of mobile applications, GPS tracking														
Adoption of sustainability practices (such as environmental friendly, hybrid vehicles, etc)														
Encourages use of digital/online payment														

8. Please rate the service quality of both India post and private courier service providers based on your recent experience in a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= the lowest score and 7= the highest score]

Statements	India Post							Private courier services						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cope with the changes brought by the disruption														
Adapt to the disruption easily														
Ability to provide quick response to the disruption														
Maintains high situational awareness during disruptions														
Facilitates home pickups if desired by customers														
Arranging services for containment areas														
OTP based transaction														
Mobile applications, GPS tracking														
Digital/online payment														
Easy adequate information														
Short response time														
Customer support system														
Update on shipment status														
Immediate notification on delivered shipment														
Knowledgeable staffs														
Adequate delivery time														
Special care for shipments														
Real-time information														
Undamaged shipment														
Fast delivery process														
Make changes in delivery dates and destination														
Confidentiality and privacy														
Advance information before actual delivery														
Delivery executives' performance														
Convenient working hours														
Convenient location														
Uniform services														
Customer's feedback														
Information sharing														
Exchange ideas with customers														

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Inform about delays														
Resolve problems promptly and appropriately														
Compensation for damages/loss														
Demonstrates creativity														
Searches for novel approaches														
Quick to introduce new services														
More service availability than competitors														
Reasonable price than competitors														
Better service quality than competitors														
More adaptive to disruptions as compared to competitors														
Do not provide immediate notification on delivered shipment*														

9. Please rate your satisfaction level, future intention to use or not to use the services CEP service providers on a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= the lowest score and 7= the highest score]

Statements	India Post							Private courier services						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Best service quality despite disruptions														
Safe and secure services despite disruptions														
Offer promised service despite disruptions														
Meet my expectations despite disruptions														
This courier has everything I need to receive/send parcel during disruptions														
I will continue to use the services in future														
I will recommendation to others														
Whenever I need to send any shipment, I prefer this courier service														

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
I will use other service provider if that offer more attractive prices														
I will pay higher price to competitors because of the service quality														
I will switch to other service providers if encountered more problems														
I will certainly voice my concerns, if encounters any issue														

10. Are you willing to pay more than the standard price for improved delivery services?

[where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

11. How do you rate the disruption preparedness of the CEP services providers to provide a smooth and reliable experience in the face of unforeseen occurrences or challenges?

[where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

12. Other information: (Tick on the answer)

i) Gender: a) Male ☐ b) Female ☐

ii) Education:

a) High School ☐

b) Higher secondary (12th) ☐

c) Graduate ☐

d) Post Graduate ☐

e) Others (specify)

iii) Profession: (Tick on the answer)

a) Self-employed ☐

b) Public sector ☐

c) Private sector ☐

- d) Government employee ☐
- e) Housemaker ☐
- f) Students ☐
- g) Others (specify)

iv) Age: (Tick on the answer)

- a) 18-24 yrs ☐
- b) 25-34 yrs ☐
- c) 35-44 yrs ☐
- d) 45-54 yrs ☐
- e) 55-65 yrs ☐
- f) Above 65 yrs ☐

v) Monthly income: (Tick on the answer)

- a) Up to Rs. 20,000 ☐
- b) Rs. 21,000 to 40,000 ☐
- c) Rs. 41,000 to 60,000 ☐
- d) Rs 61,000 to 1,00,000 ☐
- e) Above Rs. 1,00,000 ☐

vi) Area Pincode of your residence _____

*Reverse coded statement to check respondents' engagement in the survey



SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

(For Organisational Customers)

The present survey is in respect of partial fulfilment of Ph.D. research work. This survey aims to research on the customer perspectives regarding courier, express and parcel service providers (CEP) service providers in maintaining service quality during disruptive environment (recent COVID-19 pandemic is one of the examples of disruptive event). The responses will be kept under strict confidentiality and will be used for academic purposes only. Therefore, genuine and appropriate responses are anticipated. Thank you for your cooperation in advance. Regards.

The respondent must be a user of both a) India Post and b) other Private couriers (such as DTDC, Blue dart, Trackon etc.); Consider both the cases a) sending and b) receiving of shipments (such as documents, parcels, e-com deliveries etc.)

1. For what purpose do you use courier services? (Tick on the answer)

- a) To send documents ☐
- b) To send non-documents (parcels, packages) ☐
- c) Both ☐

2. Name private couriers that you use _____

3. Which of the following delivery features matters the most while selecting a courier service?
(Tick on the answer)

- a) Flexibility ☐
- b) Safety ☐
- c) Price ☐
- d) Speed ☐
- e) Appropriate customer service ☐

4. Have you used courier services in last 2 years? (Tick on the answer)

- a. Yes ☐ b) No ☐

5. How frequently do you use the courier services? (Tick on the answer)

- a) Rarely ☐
- b) Daily ☐
- c) Weekly ☐

- d) Monthly ☐
- e) More than two times in a year ☐
6. Which courier service provider do you prefer to send urgent documents/packages? (Tick on the answer)
- a. India Post ☐ b) Private couriers ☐ c) Both ☐
7. How do you find the disruption pushed redefined services innovation adopted by your courier service provider? Tick N/A if not applicable otherwise rate your answer in a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

Statements	India Post							Private courier services						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Completely follow pandemic protocols both at the counter and at the time of delivery														
Assure the health status of delivery executives														
Assurance of sanitized packages before handling														
Delivery executives drop parcels at doorstep and ask for confirmation from a safe distance														
Facilitates contactless delivery														
OTP based transactions														
Use of mobile applications, GPS tracking														
Adoption of sustainability practices (such as environmental friendly, hybrid vehicles, etc)														
Encourages use of digital/online payment														

8. Please rate the service quality of receiving/sending documents or packages, for both India post and private courier service providers during pandemic in a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

Statements	India Post							Private courier services						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Accommodates changing and urgent requirement during disruptions														
Clear, accurate online real-time tracking information														
Safety assurance														
Convenient operating working hours														
Provides automated reports for failed deliveries														
Efficiently handles shipments during Peak seasons														
Ensures timely and effective handling of trade documents														
Application of modern updated information technology														
Delivery confirmation through using modern technology														
IT application and easy information sharing														
Makes arrangement for most of our shipping requisitions														
Multiple mode of shipments available based on requirement														
Ease of return from our customers														
Widespread distribution coverage														
Upgrading their express delivery time (such as next-day or even same day)														
Undeliverable shipments handling														
Assurance of sanitization and adherence to pandemic protocols														
Contactless delivery for the customers/receiver														
Availability of alternative delivery options														
Safely handling and proper communication of shipments trapped mid-shipments during disruptions														

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Delivery executives do not perform their jobs well*														
Provide information on health status of delivery executives to share with customers														
Easy to booking, schedule pickup by phone or web application														
Provide appropriate and sufficient capacity for desired shipments														
Provide an option for preferred time slot service for delivery or pickup (such as early morning within 10:30 am or as requested)														
The entire logistics process is well coordinated and functioned complying														
Accurate information on current shipment location and the estimated delivery time														
Web based order handling, modern packaging solutions														
Shipments send by us delivered at promised time														
Provide information that the package has arrived at the nearest hub														
Communicates through encrypted system that keeps our customer's contact details secure														
Delivery executives perform their jobs well														
Ask for customer's feedback regarding service experience														
Informs us when the shipment gets delivered to the end customer														
Keeps us informing about changes, special discounts, offers, new products and services														
Timely processing is done while dealing with the grievances														

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Shipping problems are resolved promptly through representative over the phone /internet communications (such as email)														
Take the responsibility in case of damage or loss														
Shipments can be sent to any location as compared to competitors														
Reasonable prices and rates are charged for service as compared to competitors														
Service quality is better as compared to competitors														
More adaptive to disruptions as compared to competitors														

9. Please rate your satisfaction level, future intention to use or not to use the services CEP service providers on a scale of 1 to 7 [where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

Statements	India Post							Private courier services						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
We are delighted to use the services of our courier service providers during disruptions														
We are satisfied with the overall service quality of the courier service providers during disruptions														
We are satisfied with the management and employees of the courier service providers during disruptions														
We are satisfied with the process/ operation of the courier service providers during disruptions														
We will shift of business to competitor who offer more attractive prices														

Statements	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
We will pay higher price to competitors if I get quality services														
We are switch to a competitor if encountered more problems														
We will complain, in case of service failure														
We will outsource more business and will continue the relationship with our present courier service providers as long as possible														
We will recommend our courier service providers to other organization														
Whenever need to send any shipment, we prefer this courier service														

10. Are you willing to pay more than the standard price for improved delivery services?

[where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

11. How do you rate the disruption preparedness of the CEP services providers to provide a smooth and reliable experience in the face of unforeseen occurrences or challenges?

[where, 1= lowest score and 7= highest score]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

12. Other information:

(i) Type of organisation (Tick on the answer)

a) Manufacturing

☐

b) Pharmaceuticals

☐

c) Banking/IT/Accounting/other firms

☐

d) Educational institutes/printing/publishers

☐

e) E commerce/Retails

☐

f) Others _____

(ii) Age of the organisation (Tick on the answer)

- a) 0-3 years ☐
- b) 4-10 years ☐
- c) 11-20 years ☐
- d) More than 20 years ☐

(iii) Area pincode _____

(iv) Number of employees (Tick on the answer)

- a) Less than 50 ☐
- b) Between 50 and 100 ☐
- c) Between 100 and 250 ☐
- d) Above 250 ☐

(v) Monthly turnover (Tick on the answer)

- a) Below Rs. 2,50,000 ☐
- b) Between Rs. 2,50,000 and 5,00,000 ☐
- c) Between Rs. 5,00,000 and 10,00,000 ☐
- d) Above Rs. 10,00,000 ☐

*Reverse coded statement to check respondents' engagement in the survey

Appendix-II

Cross loadings

Competitive Preparedness parameters

CEP service providers

	<i>DP</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LE</i>	<i>OE</i>	<i>PERF</i>	<i>SA</i>
<i>DP1</i>	0.912	0.593	0.614	0.670	0.726	0.557
<i>DP2</i>	0.916	0.628	0.622	0.586	0.719	0.591
<i>DP3</i>	0.928	0.602	0.683	0.641	0.786	0.660
<i>DP4</i>	0.867	0.610	0.693	0.479	0.695	0.481
<i>DP5</i>	0.892	0.588	0.633	0.578	0.717	0.613
<i>DP6</i>	0.933	0.625	0.619	0.593	0.705	0.568
<i>INV1</i>	0.598	0.914	0.613	0.597	0.688	0.454
<i>INV2</i>	0.520	0.862	0.551	0.375	0.555	0.232
<i>INV3</i>	0.669	0.916	0.633	0.488	0.727	0.548
<i>INV4</i>	0.601	0.897	0.673	0.589	0.745	0.478
<i>LE1</i>	0.638	0.631	0.943	0.640	0.769	0.517
<i>LE2</i>	0.640	0.671	0.920	0.636	0.746	0.483
<i>LE3</i>	0.682	0.680	0.924	0.549	0.745	0.573
<i>LE4</i>	0.640	0.581	0.893	0.688	0.663	0.486
<i>LE5</i>	0.675	0.621	0.930	0.567	0.716	0.503
<i>OE1</i>	0.516	0.515	0.501	0.871	0.676	0.689
<i>OE2</i>	0.576	0.547	0.582	0.912	0.659	0.593
<i>OE3</i>	0.599	0.512	0.652	0.843	0.706	0.614
<i>OE4</i>	0.533	0.501	0.568	0.842	0.699	0.527
<i>OE5</i>	0.614	0.429	0.580	0.831	0.666	0.569
<i>OE6</i>	0.514	0.469	0.539	0.844	0.651	0.629
<i>PERF1</i>	0.644	0.683	0.723	0.790	0.923	0.653
<i>PERF2</i>	0.759	0.624	0.644	0.660	0.888	0.657
<i>PERF3</i>	0.762	0.753	0.818	0.734	0.927	0.703
<i>PERF4</i>	0.750	0.718	0.691	0.696	0.910	0.695
<i>SA1</i>	0.573	0.410	0.512	0.669	0.663	0.949
<i>SA2</i>	0.615	0.532	0.579	0.695	0.767	0.959
<i>SA3</i>	0.634	0.447	0.491	0.643	0.683	0.947

Courier service quality (CSQ) model parameters

Individual postal customers

<i>Items</i>	<i>CDLTY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>COM</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>ICT</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>SI</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>CDLTY1</i>	0.907	-0.104	-0.201	-0.055	-0.201	-0.147	-0.052	-0.182	-0.085	-0.167	-0.150	-0.052	-0.212
<i>CDLTY2</i>	0.895	-0.117	-0.232	-0.053	-0.194	-0.097	-0.089	-0.131	-0.042	-0.129	-0.159	-0.044	-0.176
<i>CDLTY3</i>	0.885	-0.095	-0.133	-0.004	-0.165	-0.061	-0.050	-0.123	-0.075	-0.104	-0.121	-0.024	-0.117
<i>CDLTY4</i>	0.875	-0.139	-0.162	-0.104	-0.164	-0.096	-0.102	-0.116	-0.055	-0.137	-0.159	-0.054	-0.137
<i>CI1</i>	-0.134	0.865	0.347	0.337	0.612	0.503	0.459	0.436	0.190	0.441	0.482	0.466	0.462
<i>CI2</i>	-0.112	0.866	0.314	0.279	0.528	0.515	0.406	0.521	0.173	0.459	0.511	0.411	0.439
<i>CI3</i>	-0.067	0.820	0.263	0.302	0.457	0.422	0.399	0.378	0.267	0.355	0.459	0.368	0.375
<i>CLTY1</i>	-0.209	0.380	0.894	0.337	0.592	0.470	0.436	0.389	0.172	0.402	0.436	0.436	0.525
<i>CLTY2</i>	-0.213	0.307	0.871	0.342	0.548	0.409	0.362	0.342	0.177	0.333	0.402	0.426	0.511
<i>CLTY3</i>	-0.098	0.238	0.789	0.299	0.435	0.297	0.270	0.264	0.137	0.246	0.285	0.311	0.448
<i>COM1</i>	-0.018	0.192	0.296	0.813	0.366	0.300	0.238	0.282	0.167	0.289	0.281	0.348	0.277
<i>COM2</i>	-0.060	0.369	0.360	0.863	0.529	0.375	0.326	0.323	0.109	0.437	0.421	0.390	0.405
<i>COM3</i>	-0.069	0.347	0.354	0.811	0.442	0.340	0.345	0.273	0.179	0.340	0.373	0.365	0.372
<i>COM4</i>	-0.028	0.170	0.128	0.672	0.251	0.222	0.167	0.229	0.107	0.182	0.191	0.261	0.239
<i>CSAT1</i>	-0.205	0.537	0.525	0.427	0.870	0.576	0.516	0.526	0.250	0.494	0.547	0.478	0.647
<i>CSAT2</i>	-0.145	0.523	0.509	0.414	0.861	0.602	0.498	0.476	0.278	0.533	0.558	0.499	0.615
<i>CSAT3</i>	-0.181	0.579	0.564	0.483	0.886	0.613	0.548	0.561	0.322	0.535	0.576	0.533	0.671
<i>CSAT4</i>	-0.155	0.550	0.559	0.509	0.867	0.635	0.501	0.537	0.312	0.570	0.608	0.502	0.663
<i>CSAT5</i>	-0.212	0.582	0.557	0.463	0.891	0.667	0.544	0.559	0.287	0.546	0.621	0.573	0.643
<i>DAP1</i>	-0.095	0.540	0.421	0.384	0.641	0.871	0.467	0.536	0.215	0.526	0.531	0.512	0.491
<i>DAP2</i>	-0.084	0.462	0.400	0.320	0.610	0.852	0.461	0.493	0.189	0.562	0.489	0.429	0.433
<i>DAP3</i>	-0.132	0.473	0.393	0.347	0.593	0.852	0.459	0.464	0.134	0.540	0.533	0.450	0.419
<i>DAP4</i>	-0.124	0.476	0.406	0.347	0.634	0.871	0.490	0.533	0.207	0.535	0.554	0.470	0.467
<i>DAP5</i>	-0.085	0.476	0.417	0.338	0.608	0.847	0.425	0.505	0.177	0.541	0.514	0.483	0.460
<i>DAP6</i>	-0.069	0.474	0.338	0.315	0.518	0.817	0.381	0.515	0.132	0.465	0.456	0.415	0.368
<i>DM1</i>	-0.072	0.440	0.385	0.353	0.552	0.467	0.892	0.415	0.197	0.420	0.465	0.448	0.423
<i>DM2</i>	-0.061	0.453	0.400	0.298	0.508	0.486	0.894	0.395	0.156	0.404	0.457	0.397	0.417
<i>DM3</i>	-0.081	0.431	0.340	0.293	0.524	0.447	0.872	0.422	0.146	0.370	0.452	0.429	0.410
<i>ICT1</i>	-0.085	0.441	0.265	0.308	0.445	0.406	0.363	0.811	0.220	0.335	0.410	0.325	0.371
<i>ICT2</i>	-0.193	0.481	0.331	0.278	0.521	0.513	0.392	0.872	0.224	0.345	0.423	0.325	0.423
<i>ICT3</i>	-0.120	0.424	0.392	0.316	0.573	0.580	0.422	0.867	0.213	0.454	0.458	0.399	0.473
<i>INV1</i>	-0.068	0.256	0.179	0.176	0.311	0.212	0.212	0.244	0.893	0.185	0.215	0.232	0.194
<i>INV2</i>	-0.072	0.205	0.168	0.174	0.282	0.187	0.154	0.199	0.889	0.134	0.171	0.208	0.175
<i>INV3</i>	-0.053	0.174	0.157	0.113	0.280	0.147	0.127	0.233	0.858	0.130	0.169	0.149	0.187
<i>LGE1</i>	-0.111	0.422	0.374	0.370	0.572	0.565	0.385	0.434	0.157	0.884	0.507	0.399	0.444
<i>LGE2</i>	-0.111	0.407	0.341	0.367	0.516	0.538	0.360	0.393	0.179	0.832	0.462	0.425	0.406
<i>LGE3</i>	-0.150	0.437	0.322	0.317	0.477	0.502	0.398	0.391	0.113	0.818	0.543	0.370	0.389

<i>Items</i>	<i>CDLTY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>COM</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>ICT</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>SI</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>LGE4</i>	-0.077	0.425	0.304	0.352	0.481	0.454	0.361	0.305	0.156	0.774	0.509	0.409	0.370
<i>LGE5</i>	-0.157	0.398	0.322	0.358	0.532	0.533	0.388	0.386	0.129	0.864	0.482	0.369	0.418
<i>LGE6</i>	-0.160	0.388	0.271	0.313	0.468	0.503	0.353	0.323	0.117	0.817	0.451	0.338	0.363
<i>OPE1</i>	-0.119	0.523	0.348	0.350	0.578	0.476	0.451	0.445	0.185	0.459	0.874	0.443	0.443
<i>OPE2</i>	-0.113	0.505	0.350	0.369	0.551	0.533	0.453	0.408	0.164	0.532	0.833	0.479	0.416
<i>OPE3</i>	-0.153	0.475	0.412	0.368	0.563	0.535	0.428	0.407	0.185	0.526	0.864	0.444	0.419
<i>OPE4</i>	-0.155	0.462	0.380	0.338	0.589	0.529	0.464	0.457	0.201	0.492	0.825	0.433	0.471
<i>OPE5</i>	-0.132	0.463	0.434	0.388	0.606	0.518	0.447	0.442	0.207	0.509	0.859	0.389	0.427
<i>OPE6</i>	-0.172	0.474	0.329	0.326	0.486	0.475	0.382	0.420	0.123	0.489	0.833	0.355	0.362
<i>SI1</i>	0.024	0.353	0.329	0.308	0.392	0.358	0.344	0.232	0.184	0.306	0.304	0.793	0.270
<i>SI2</i>	0.064	0.160	0.242	0.309	0.289	0.281	0.249	0.165	0.158	0.230	0.231	0.737	0.194
<i>SI3</i>	-0.071	0.403	0.407	0.371	0.522	0.498	0.438	0.413	0.207	0.421	0.457	0.820	0.433
<i>SI4</i>	-0.096	0.499	0.418	0.427	0.587	0.497	0.429	0.417	0.193	0.460	0.513	0.852	0.494
<i>SI5</i>	-0.058	0.449	0.431	0.351	0.510	0.465	0.431	0.373	0.153	0.403	0.425	0.873	0.395
<i>SI6</i>	-0.042	0.455	0.399	0.370	0.520	0.494	0.412	0.345	0.209	0.389	0.438	0.846	0.379
<i>WPAY</i>	-0.185	0.504	0.582	0.422	0.741	0.519	0.471	0.501	0.211	0.480	0.501	0.459	1.000

Individuate private CEP customers

	<i>CDLTY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>COM</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>DP</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>SI</i>	<i>TA</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>CDLTY1</i>	0.896	-0.166	-0.243	-0.175	-0.468	-0.304	-0.216	-0.255	-0.191	-0.319	-0.259	-0.313	-0.241	-0.379
<i>CDLTY2</i>	0.883	-0.182	-0.228	-0.158	-0.439	-0.254	-0.209	-0.248	-0.105	-0.265	-0.247	-0.260	-0.241	-0.348
<i>CDLTY3</i>	0.892	-0.194	-0.162	-0.161	-0.399	-0.227	-0.167	-0.231	-0.180	-0.275	-0.233	-0.267	-0.204	-0.306
<i>CDLTY4</i>	0.889	-0.174	-0.188	-0.159	-0.419	-0.245	-0.183	-0.253	-0.133	-0.278	-0.238	-0.284	-0.249	-0.336
<i>CI1</i>	-0.202	0.855	0.340	0.374	0.434	0.381	0.202	0.389	0.105	0.382	0.373	0.433	0.337	0.414
<i>CI2</i>	-0.172	0.859	0.341	0.318	0.387	0.378	0.188	0.429	0.105	0.347	0.362	0.371	0.307	0.341
<i>CI3</i>	-0.112	0.777	0.224	0.257	0.323	0.304	0.095	0.274	0.115	0.231	0.282	0.332	0.209	0.299
<i>CLTY2</i>	-0.215	0.311	0.907	0.356	0.444	0.411	0.213	0.398	0.166	0.391	0.427	0.345	0.247	0.388
<i>CLTY3</i>	-0.112	0.224	0.677	0.241	0.307	0.328	0.099	0.259	0.153	0.349	0.383	0.287	0.240	0.253
<i>CLTY1</i>	-0.240	0.378	0.903	0.383	0.480	0.460	0.267	0.439	0.168	0.406	0.420	0.371	0.313	0.382
<i>COM1</i>	-0.097	0.288	0.334	0.789	0.283	0.250	0.102	0.268	0.125	0.225	0.299	0.266	0.159	0.249
<i>COM2</i>	-0.190	0.398	0.374	0.862	0.420	0.417	0.267	0.430	0.136	0.349	0.393	0.408	0.340	0.381
<i>COM3</i>	-0.183	0.310	0.335	0.851	0.421	0.323	0.197	0.360	0.161	0.316	0.354	0.327	0.268	0.337
<i>COM4</i>	-0.049	0.132	0.106	0.595	0.169	0.125	0.042	0.137	0.076	0.091	0.157	0.143	0.115	0.139
<i>CSAT1</i>	-0.406	0.403	0.401	0.392	0.882	0.503	0.287	0.535	0.290	0.483	0.520	0.463	0.433	0.700
<i>CSAT2</i>	-0.358	0.418	0.384	0.359	0.843	0.483	0.251	0.495	0.206	0.391	0.478	0.441	0.439	0.697
<i>CSAT3</i>	-0.393	0.357	0.468	0.341	0.835	0.470	0.275	0.482	0.273	0.466	0.483	0.451	0.340	0.665
<i>CSAT4</i>	-0.425	0.389	0.400	0.375	0.859	0.467	0.247	0.522	0.265	0.479	0.522	0.439	0.401	0.656
<i>CSAT5</i>	-0.509	0.437	0.495	0.436	0.899	0.536	0.330	0.543	0.232	0.513	0.551	0.481	0.475	0.693
<i>DAP1</i>	-0.239	0.403	0.443	0.346	0.546	0.862	0.275	0.522	0.257	0.482	0.511	0.427	0.468	0.428
<i>DAP2</i>	-0.284	0.314	0.396	0.324	0.458	0.822	0.237	0.463	0.124	0.434	0.467	0.400	0.484	0.366
<i>DAP3</i>	-0.257	0.360	0.399	0.332	0.482	0.837	0.230	0.480	0.220	0.425	0.430	0.349	0.430	0.381
<i>DAP4</i>	-0.174	0.352	0.376	0.295	0.426	0.815	0.250	0.520	0.191	0.456	0.521	0.420	0.402	0.324
<i>DAP5</i>	-0.236	0.339	0.410	0.328	0.455	0.844	0.244	0.480	0.217	0.456	0.497	0.417	0.432	0.357
<i>DAP6</i>	-0.251	0.364	0.359	0.287	0.447	0.774	0.277	0.429	0.192	0.391	0.474	0.355	0.443	0.348
<i>DM1</i>	-0.195	0.195	0.230	0.218	0.295	0.296	0.922	0.346	0.077	0.213	0.231	0.190	0.224	0.236
<i>DM2</i>	-0.190	0.151	0.204	0.161	0.261	0.239	0.939	0.318	0.073	0.204	0.216	0.152	0.215	0.219
<i>DM3</i>	-0.220	0.207	0.232	0.228	0.333	0.305	0.914	0.345	0.119	0.245	0.264	0.237	0.238	0.274

	<i>CDLTY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>COM</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>DP</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>SI</i>	<i>TA</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>DP1</i>	-0.222	0.437	0.379	0.374	0.523	0.500	0.361	0.885	0.234	0.528	0.582	0.477	0.411	0.420
<i>DP2</i>	-0.259	0.386	0.418	0.358	0.539	0.547	0.342	0.874	0.261	0.552	0.640	0.417	0.418	0.394
<i>DP3</i>	-0.230	0.320	0.353	0.298	0.474	0.450	0.272	0.817	0.240	0.476	0.566	0.428	0.367	0.401
<i>DP4</i>	-0.238	0.379	0.374	0.383	0.505	0.495	0.269	0.845	0.286	0.500	0.534	0.425	0.430	0.392
<i>INV1</i>	-0.149	0.109	0.164	0.142	0.287	0.250	0.103	0.288	0.901	0.289	0.266	0.188	0.138	0.219
<i>INV2</i>	-0.170	0.134	0.167	0.149	0.276	0.207	0.080	0.279	0.901	0.198	0.245	0.193	0.118	0.211
<i>INV3</i>	-0.137	0.099	0.187	0.153	0.211	0.191	0.078	0.224	0.864	0.200	0.207	0.168	0.118	0.151
<i>LGE1</i>	-0.284	0.359	0.398	0.320	0.465	0.437	0.158	0.473	0.229	0.866	0.555	0.389	0.234	0.353
<i>LGE2</i>	-0.305	0.370	0.419	0.320	0.528	0.490	0.275	0.605	0.220	0.875	0.621	0.449	0.335	0.412
<i>LGE3</i>	-0.278	0.321	0.391	0.290	0.474	0.492	0.230	0.562	0.228	0.884	0.621	0.409	0.271	0.368
<i>LGE4</i>	-0.235	0.311	0.367	0.256	0.393	0.423	0.157	0.425	0.219	0.835	0.538	0.355	0.238	0.277
<i>OPE1</i>	-0.247	0.401	0.480	0.358	0.543	0.528	0.191	0.631	0.262	0.600	0.882	0.450	0.417	0.412
<i>OPE2</i>	-0.202	0.305	0.330	0.281	0.432	0.481	0.223	0.512	0.203	0.542	0.800	0.421	0.368	0.290
<i>OPE3</i>	-0.297	0.359	0.463	0.387	0.548	0.542	0.257	0.644	0.231	0.643	0.883	0.522	0.409	0.415
<i>OPE4</i>	-0.180	0.323	0.380	0.331	0.445	0.415	0.130	0.461	0.191	0.512	0.792	0.474	0.363	0.368
<i>OPE5</i>	-0.227	0.352	0.396	0.364	0.527	0.500	0.287	0.607	0.253	0.560	0.878	0.492	0.379	0.437
<i>SI1</i>	-0.255	0.379	0.287	0.341	0.431	0.412	0.129	0.413	0.161	0.385	0.454	0.839	0.353	0.361
<i>SI2</i>	-0.190	0.287	0.332	0.251	0.379	0.339	0.113	0.328	0.117	0.324	0.441	0.763	0.308	0.360
<i>SI3</i>	-0.263	0.403	0.351	0.322	0.457	0.384	0.273	0.414	0.203	0.417	0.475	0.801	0.328	0.383
<i>SI4</i>	-0.328	0.430	0.365	0.357	0.485	0.400	0.171	0.495	0.232	0.406	0.459	0.839	0.344	0.415
<i>SI5</i>	-0.206	0.311	0.250	0.280	0.320	0.369	0.142	0.360	0.075	0.305	0.382	0.743	0.308	0.285
<i>TA1</i>	-0.193	0.243	0.265	0.234	0.431	0.487	0.187	0.424	0.131	0.277	0.421	0.327	0.870	0.337
<i>TA2</i>	-0.253	0.358	0.274	0.288	0.434	0.479	0.207	0.407	0.113	0.307	0.397	0.374	0.877	0.377
<i>TA3</i>	-0.231	0.297	0.283	0.263	0.381	0.416	0.238	0.394	0.118	0.223	0.359	0.359	0.828	0.352
<i>WPAY</i>	-0.386	0.428	0.414	0.380	0.789	0.447	0.265	0.469	0.220	0.411	0.457	0.457	0.414	1.000

Organizational postal customers

	<i>CDLTY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>FLEX</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>RRL</i>	<i>TA</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>CDLTY1</i>	0.946	-0.390	-0.400	-0.599	-0.402	-0.531	-0.512	-0.414	-0.498	-0.487	-0.519	-0.344	-0.543
<i>CDLTY2</i>	0.921	-0.367	-0.420	-0.598	-0.401	-0.480	-0.556	-0.370	-0.511	-0.478	-0.507	-0.348	-0.549
<i>CDLTY3</i>	0.923	-0.413	-0.397	-0.613	-0.404	-0.529	-0.535	-0.390	-0.480	-0.481	-0.493	-0.367	-0.532
<i>CDLTY4</i>	0.931	-0.404	-0.416	-0.592	-0.404	-0.501	-0.516	-0.382	-0.507	-0.494	-0.482	-0.319	-0.512
<i>CI1</i>	-0.377	0.861	0.237	0.467	0.359	0.459	0.429	0.316	0.399	0.371	0.353	0.156	0.391
<i>CI2</i>	-0.379	0.873	0.246	0.464	0.358	0.355	0.447	0.314	0.432	0.366	0.319	0.107	0.365
<i>CI3</i>	-0.341	0.865	0.257	0.417	0.330	0.368	0.377	0.304	0.344	0.362	0.264	0.119	0.356
<i>CLTY1</i>	-0.365	0.207	0.816	0.394	0.209	0.267	0.306	0.242	0.372	0.362	0.290	0.224	0.368
<i>CLTY2</i>	-0.358	0.312	0.883	0.475	0.317	0.382	0.334	0.245	0.418	0.409	0.357	0.271	0.456
<i>CLTY3</i>	-0.383	0.184	0.811	0.413	0.286	0.333	0.325	0.270	0.318	0.368	0.334	0.251	0.363
<i>CSAT1</i>	-0.596	0.469	0.491	0.937	0.533	0.638	0.577	0.488	0.609	0.568	0.548	0.467	0.737
<i>CSAT2</i>	-0.570	0.493	0.444	0.917	0.505	0.608	0.604	0.461	0.568	0.532	0.536	0.464	0.732
<i>CSAT3</i>	-0.600	0.477	0.486	0.908	0.502	0.601	0.578	0.429	0.569	0.569	0.511	0.414	0.713
<i>CSAT4</i>	-0.617	0.482	0.471	0.932	0.531	0.619	0.588	0.448	0.596	0.581	0.546	0.449	0.742
<i>DAP1</i>	-0.419	0.361	0.285	0.534	0.880	0.507	0.396	0.205	0.451	0.450	0.413	0.312	0.414
<i>DAP2</i>	-0.333	0.356	0.310	0.475	0.822	0.422	0.370	0.279	0.407	0.373	0.352	0.309	0.410
<i>DAP3</i>	-0.314	0.301	0.254	0.462	0.789	0.352	0.247	0.208	0.396	0.342	0.295	0.277	0.354
<i>DAP4</i>	-0.355	0.321	0.246	0.427	0.828	0.368	0.311	0.255	0.416	0.348	0.308	0.248	0.349
<i>DAP5</i>	-0.331	0.302	0.242	0.405	0.800	0.374	0.313	0.178	0.386	0.327	0.306	0.186	0.307
<i>DAP6</i>	-0.388	0.358	0.277	0.471	0.847	0.425	0.360	0.227	0.460	0.409	0.357	0.249	0.395
<i>DM1</i>	-0.507	0.401	0.411	0.648	0.479	0.902	0.489	0.407	0.536	0.506	0.516	0.386	0.507
<i>DM2</i>	-0.456	0.394	0.268	0.540	0.399	0.883	0.409	0.340	0.394	0.431	0.402	0.281	0.415
<i>DM3</i>	-0.491	0.415	0.356	0.578	0.435	0.873	0.481	0.338	0.461	0.488	0.473	0.270	0.450
<i>FLEX1</i>	-0.564	0.471	0.400	0.643	0.417	0.511	0.926	0.404	0.499	0.498	0.483	0.305	0.527
<i>FLEX2</i>	-0.494	0.448	0.343	0.548	0.315	0.481	0.885	0.380	0.466	0.463	0.433	0.274	0.484
<i>FLEX3</i>	-0.469	0.425	0.316	0.538	0.373	0.426	0.884	0.342	0.450	0.415	0.457	0.290	0.449
<i>FLEX4</i>	-0.509	0.388	0.312	0.540	0.342	0.449	0.894	0.354	0.450	0.466	0.430	0.338	0.476
<i>INV1</i>	-0.409	0.363	0.273	0.463	0.277	0.375	0.396	0.896	0.386	0.329	0.329	0.199	0.383

	<i>CDLTY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>FLEX</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>RRL</i>	<i>TA</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>INV2</i>	-0.373	0.324	0.253	0.431	0.248	0.384	0.352	0.892	0.329	0.290	0.339	0.225	0.379
<i>INV3</i>	-0.332	0.270	0.277	0.425	0.198	0.337	0.355	0.885	0.316	0.295	0.283	0.197	0.379
<i>LGE1</i>	-0.521	0.431	0.446	0.617	0.460	0.510	0.480	0.367	0.919	0.485	0.478	0.401	0.522
<i>LGE2</i>	-0.419	0.393	0.397	0.538	0.450	0.461	0.439	0.296	0.869	0.461	0.421	0.352	0.463
<i>LGE3</i>	-0.454	0.370	0.376	0.536	0.455	0.439	0.467	0.369	0.869	0.451	0.456	0.308	0.450
<i>LGE4</i>	-0.466	0.419	0.402	0.552	0.431	0.490	0.437	0.337	0.874	0.476	0.393	0.329	0.477
<i>LGE5</i>	-0.462	0.379	0.317	0.542	0.434	0.420	0.449	0.319	0.860	0.414	0.361	0.324	0.490
<i>LGE6</i>	-0.505	0.404	0.399	0.563	0.452	0.467	0.478	0.356	0.897	0.433	0.437	0.364	0.463
<i>OPE1</i>	-0.464	0.426	0.399	0.545	0.384	0.492	0.506	0.306	0.476	0.877	0.469	0.351	0.459
<i>OPE2</i>	-0.401	0.325	0.347	0.508	0.371	0.442	0.412	0.289	0.386	0.847	0.449	0.298	0.396
<i>OPE3</i>	-0.459	0.359	0.377	0.525	0.441	0.463	0.381	0.295	0.443	0.837	0.435	0.307	0.438
<i>OPE4</i>	-0.448	0.339	0.395	0.533	0.337	0.489	0.451	0.311	0.450	0.853	0.439	0.315	0.479
<i>OPE5</i>	-0.446	0.373	0.376	0.467	0.394	0.431	0.416	0.286	0.445	0.854	0.469	0.362	0.382
<i>OPE6</i>	-0.448	0.351	0.391	0.527	0.410	0.456	0.441	0.306	0.431	0.855	0.479	0.330	0.437
<i>OPE7</i>	-0.450	0.355	0.426	0.535	0.389	0.446	0.465	0.256	0.445	0.858	0.460	0.382	0.459
<i>RRL1</i>	-0.473	0.346	0.392	0.577	0.376	0.540	0.489	0.331	0.463	0.519	0.917	0.350	0.418
<i>RRL2</i>	-0.487	0.295	0.343	0.464	0.320	0.429	0.385	0.278	0.414	0.488	0.850	0.266	0.367
<i>RRL3</i>	-0.438	0.324	0.317	0.479	0.366	0.401	0.451	0.315	0.394	0.432	0.863	0.272	0.347
<i>RRL4</i>	-0.501	0.287	0.311	0.500	0.368	0.474	0.455	0.317	0.435	0.460	0.886	0.287	0.420
<i>RRL5</i>	-0.472	0.339	0.358	0.528	0.384	0.468	0.433	0.330	0.417	0.454	0.888	0.317	0.411
<i>TA1</i>	-0.372	0.138	0.314	0.449	0.265	0.333	0.306	0.252	0.363	0.393	0.328	0.925	0.409
<i>TA2</i>	-0.341	0.141	0.260	0.431	0.355	0.328	0.308	0.196	0.365	0.368	0.302	0.914	0.380
<i>TA3</i>	-0.293	0.121	0.233	0.445	0.256	0.309	0.302	0.179	0.347	0.305	0.295	0.888	0.385
<i>WPAY</i>	-0.574	0.428	0.476	0.791	0.451	0.519	0.541	0.427	0.542	0.511	0.446	0.431	1.000

Organizational private CEP customers

	<i>CDLTY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>COM</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>FLEX</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>RRL</i>	<i>TA</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>CDLTY1</i>	0.955	-0.450	-0.588	-0.245	-0.762	-0.440	-0.276	-0.448	-0.355	-0.525	-0.487	-0.336	-0.354	-0.516
<i>CDLTY2</i>	0.929	-0.420	-0.568	-0.248	-0.724	-0.441	-0.279	-0.451	-0.343	-0.506	-0.470	-0.328	-0.365	-0.520
<i>CDLTY3</i>	0.936	-0.420	-0.603	-0.246	-0.745	-0.464	-0.353	-0.471	-0.377	-0.503	-0.471	-0.334	-0.360	-0.522
<i>CDLTY4</i>	0.938	-0.438	-0.562	-0.247	-0.737	-0.435	-0.315	-0.438	-0.341	-0.512	-0.462	-0.333	-0.341	-0.502
<i>CI1</i>	-0.440	0.888	0.431	0.201	0.581	0.292	0.346	0.494	0.286	0.583	0.469	0.269	0.339	0.380
<i>CI2</i>	-0.403	0.889	0.399	0.158	0.511	0.200	0.339	0.490	0.311	0.539	0.435	0.212	0.278	0.298
<i>CI3</i>	-0.356	0.844	0.388	0.169	0.462	0.238	0.402	0.353	0.265	0.435	0.339	0.166	0.320	0.300
<i>CLTY1</i>	-0.541	0.456	0.891	0.157	0.654	0.393	0.375	0.390	0.395	0.459	0.361	0.330	0.389	0.453
<i>CLTY2</i>	-0.556	0.394	0.862	0.231	0.639	0.440	0.309	0.380	0.345	0.438	0.366	0.279	0.373	0.426
<i>CLTY3</i>	-0.495	0.346	0.825	0.184	0.585	0.377	0.301	0.349	0.341	0.349	0.316	0.158	0.343	0.430
<i>COM1</i>	-0.242	0.208	0.178	0.832	0.279	0.188	0.165	0.240	0.081	0.212	0.202	0.067	0.187	0.086
<i>COM2</i>	-0.223	0.159	0.220	0.846	0.275	0.163	0.172	0.176	0.093	0.158	0.190	0.078	0.157	0.111
<i>COM3</i>	-0.229	0.193	0.172	0.849	0.262	0.239	0.155	0.196	0.070	0.212	0.178	0.034	0.174	0.145
<i>COM4</i>	-0.139	0.068	0.140	0.719	0.164	0.163	0.122	0.039	0.073	0.082	0.031	0.038	0.145	0.059
<i>CSAT1</i>	-0.687	0.497	0.623	0.224	0.866	0.428	0.421	0.524	0.428	0.539	0.499	0.373	0.417	0.537
<i>CSAT2</i>	-0.705	0.569	0.619	0.315	0.893	0.529	0.414	0.578	0.484	0.555	0.600	0.412	0.455	0.592
<i>CSAT3</i>	-0.699	0.513	0.679	0.257	0.897	0.505	0.412	0.564	0.415	0.568	0.541	0.370	0.437	0.578
<i>CSAT4</i>	-0.725	0.545	0.677	0.301	0.909	0.468	0.461	0.575	0.495	0.604	0.553	0.389	0.413	0.570
<i>DAP1</i>	-0.406	0.241	0.432	0.178	0.476	0.864	0.321	0.248	0.347	0.231	0.302	0.216	0.450	0.395
<i>DAP2</i>	-0.414	0.287	0.403	0.221	0.441	0.782	0.296	0.324	0.332	0.253	0.354	0.290	0.367	0.402
<i>DAP3</i>	-0.397	0.210	0.357	0.200	0.469	0.805	0.272	0.244	0.276	0.291	0.346	0.246	0.366	0.365
<i>DAP4</i>	-0.395	0.234	0.375	0.164	0.431	0.818	0.247	0.215	0.311	0.231	0.276	0.256	0.365	0.369
<i>DAP5</i>	-0.397	0.191	0.378	0.203	0.452	0.855	0.219	0.233	0.310	0.256	0.341	0.218	0.409	0.390
<i>DAP6</i>	-0.322	0.218	0.369	0.176	0.400	0.812	0.317	0.234	0.205	0.227	0.230	0.220	0.495	0.379
<i>DM1</i>	-0.305	0.383	0.320	0.198	0.442	0.329	0.861	0.250	0.339	0.263	0.232	0.178	0.426	0.305
<i>DM2</i>	-0.287	0.415	0.348	0.190	0.439	0.309	0.904	0.287	0.290	0.282	0.240	0.223	0.301	0.279
<i>DM3</i>	-0.241	0.249	0.318	0.093	0.347	0.225	0.809	0.241	0.270	0.195	0.179	0.152	0.211	0.227

	<i>CDITY</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>CLTY</i>	<i>COM</i>	<i>CSAT</i>	<i>DAP</i>	<i>DM</i>	<i>FLEX</i>	<i>INV</i>	<i>LGE</i>	<i>OPE</i>	<i>RRL</i>	<i>TA</i>	<i>WLP</i>
<i>FLEX1</i>	-0.477	0.485	0.443	0.162	0.631	0.295	0.316	0.879	0.326	0.558	0.540	0.336	0.329	0.434
<i>FLEX2</i>	-0.357	0.385	0.298	0.224	0.452	0.272	0.203	0.809	0.223	0.460	0.407	0.287	0.242	0.350
<i>FLEX3</i>	-0.351	0.422	0.326	0.137	0.495	0.208	0.253	0.848	0.287	0.507	0.399	0.245	0.213	0.322
<i>FLEX4</i>	-0.424	0.439	0.380	0.209	0.527	0.249	0.238	0.853	0.236	0.524	0.472	0.319	0.277	0.383
<i>INV1</i>	-0.369	0.321	0.389	0.116	0.494	0.307	0.318	0.315	0.886	0.324	0.329	0.302	0.304	0.316
<i>INV2</i>	-0.341	0.297	0.370	0.088	0.444	0.345	0.322	0.296	0.875	0.316	0.273	0.220	0.295	0.262
<i>INV3</i>	-0.254	0.227	0.326	0.041	0.378	0.291	0.262	0.207	0.836	0.204	0.216	0.211	0.282	0.253
<i>LGE1</i>	-0.527	0.575	0.482	0.202	0.628	0.293	0.336	0.624	0.312	0.893	0.545	0.327	0.242	0.407
<i>LGE2</i>	-0.435	0.454	0.396	0.151	0.501	0.219	0.191	0.459	0.228	0.794	0.463	0.220	0.178	0.287
<i>LGE3</i>	-0.447	0.527	0.405	0.184	0.551	0.311	0.212	0.507	0.324	0.821	0.480	0.243	0.241	0.309
<i>LGE4</i>	-0.444	0.487	0.404	0.135	0.509	0.217	0.277	0.448	0.307	0.796	0.425	0.221	0.148	0.292
<i>LGE5</i>	-0.415	0.447	0.347	0.187	0.464	0.198	0.195	0.470	0.226	0.838	0.386	0.217	0.141	0.255
<i>LGE6</i>	-0.434	0.481	0.371	0.199	0.499	0.255	0.217	0.503	0.244	0.849	0.460	0.278	0.160	0.302
<i>OPE1</i>	-0.453	0.482	0.391	0.210	0.568	0.345	0.272	0.507	0.327	0.515	0.889	0.393	0.266	0.385
<i>OPE2</i>	-0.463	0.424	0.376	0.142	0.521	0.322	0.178	0.447	0.293	0.484	0.833	0.365	0.224	0.368
<i>OPE3</i>	-0.434	0.408	0.338	0.154	0.542	0.313	0.201	0.444	0.253	0.457	0.852	0.399	0.269	0.391
<i>OPE4</i>	-0.427	0.431	0.365	0.166	0.566	0.333	0.257	0.481	0.311	0.491	0.853	0.422	0.248	0.367
<i>OPE5</i>	-0.363	0.334	0.284	0.155	0.460	0.274	0.214	0.449	0.224	0.424	0.812	0.308	0.227	0.336
<i>OPE6</i>	-0.432	0.368	0.338	0.191	0.506	0.329	0.195	0.421	0.242	0.463	0.836	0.340	0.228	0.350
<i>OPE7</i>	-0.402	0.371	0.295	0.154	0.473	0.307	0.187	0.471	0.235	0.460	0.856	0.437	0.241	0.347
<i>RRL1</i>	-0.336	0.249	0.267	0.060	0.400	0.240	0.207	0.308	0.250	0.249	0.437	0.817	0.211	0.256
<i>RRL2</i>	-0.282	0.179	0.257	0.093	0.355	0.240	0.187	0.280	0.213	0.268	0.379	0.854	0.198	0.269
<i>RRL3</i>	-0.281	0.209	0.235	0.040	0.354	0.219	0.158	0.310	0.258	0.256	0.389	0.834	0.188	0.316
<i>RRL4</i>	-0.301	0.196	0.255	0.036	0.339	0.240	0.170	0.246	0.230	0.246	0.322	0.839	0.183	0.296
<i>RRL5</i>	-0.279	0.213	0.246	0.059	0.365	0.291	0.181	0.336	0.247	0.262	0.354	0.854	0.228	0.261
<i>TA1</i>	-0.376	0.334	0.418	0.196	0.469	0.460	0.404	0.302	0.307	0.215	0.227	0.201	0.897	0.367
<i>TA2</i>	-0.324	0.324	0.355	0.162	0.430	0.418	0.319	0.294	0.330	0.203	0.248	0.188	0.896	0.315
<i>TA3</i>	-0.288	0.283	0.353	0.180	0.365	0.425	0.235	0.238	0.256	0.174	0.292	0.252	0.844	0.268
<i>Wpay</i>	-0.548	0.376	0.507	0.127	0.639	0.466	0.317	0.444	0.322	0.375	0.430	0.332	0.364	1.000

JOURNAL PUBLICATIONS

1. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. (2025). Antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction with Courier Services during disruptions: Empirical Evidence from Indian postal sector. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-04-2024-0129>. (SCOPUS-Q2, ESCI)
2. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. (2024). Investigating the Transformative Effect of Technological and Service Innovations on Postal Sector Adaptability During the Pandemic Disruption in India. *International Journal of Innovation*, 12(2), 1-34. <https://doi.org/10.5585/2024.26161> (Web of Sciences, ESCI)
3. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. (2023). Exploring the last mile: Comparing India Post and private courier service providers' service quality, satisfaction, and preference. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Mumbai*, 97(12), 7-21. (UGC Care I)

CONFERENCES

1. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. (2022). Courier, express and parcel service market during sudden disruption in the context of pandemic. *2nd Annual International Research Conference of IIM, Lucknow*.
2. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. (2022). Competitive Advantages of Courier and Express Industry Under Pandemic-Uncertainty Scenario. *International Conference of ICFAI Business School (IBS) Hyderabad*.

PAPERS COMMUNICATED WITH JOURNALS

1. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. Exploring the strategic management adaptations for enhancing the resilience of courier, express and parcel service providers.
2. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. Quantifying resilience to explore competitive preparedness of courier, express and parcel (CEP) service providers in India during disruptions.
3. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. Is courier, express and parcel industry resilient against disruptions? A data driven approach for assessing preparedness.
4. Saha, J. & Sarma, T. R. Courier service performance at times of crisis: Empirical evidence from B2B and B2C customers.

Antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction with courier services during disruptions: empirical evidence from Indian postal sector

Jayashree Saha and Tridib Ranjan Sarma

Department of Business Administration, Tezpur University, Tezpur, India

Journal of
Advances in
Management
Research

Received 9 April 2024
Revised 2 August 2024
21 October 2024
Accepted 20 February 2025

Abstract

Purpose – A comprehensive evaluation of the caliber of postal services within a disruptive environment is crucial for checking for the capability to adapt to evolving market circumstances and retain or acquire customers' confidence.

Design/methodology/approach – This study empirically established a new set of the courier service quality scale to measure the postal service's preparedness in times of disruption. Based on the dataset of 408 individual postal users, structural equation modeling was performed through the partial least square method using SMARTPLS software.

Findings – The hypotheses were tested: (1) courier service quality positively affects customer satisfaction; (2) courier service quality has a positive direct and indirect impact on customer loyalty via customer satisfaction as a mediator; (3) courier service quality has an indirect negative impact on customer disloyalty via customer satisfaction as a mediator; (4) customer satisfaction and customer loyalty positively affect the willingness to pay of customers. However, (5) customer disloyalty has no effect on the willingness to pay of customers.

Originality/value – The study's context-specific methodology is intended to help India Post's management, marketers and government obtain a better grasp of these complex occurrences. Consumers prioritize affordability over quality during times of economic hardship. But this study reveals that even customers are willing to pay more if the postal sector is consistent with the quality of services. This highlights the need for postal management to create a new express service in the postal business to suit customer expectations and increase income significantly.

Keywords Courier service quality, Customer satisfaction, Customer loyalty and disloyalty, Willingness to pay, Disruption, Postal sector

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

When anything out of the ordinary happens to a business, it might throw off its regular operations and processes (Bier *et al.*, 2020). There are a number of potential causes of such disruptions, including but not limited to natural catastrophes, technical failures, economic recessions, and supply chain disruptions (Xu *et al.*, 2020). Such an incident can severely damage a business's capacity to meet customer demands, generate revenue, and supply goods and services (Zhen *et al.*, 2016). According to Koks *et al.* (2019) and Ivanov and Dolgui (2020), businesses must have contingency plans and infrastructure to swiftly respond and recover from any possible interruptions. In the short and long term, natural disasters such as hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, and wildfires can wreak havoc on infrastructure and halt operations (Xu *et al.*, 2020). Cozzolino *et al.* (2018) noted that data leaks or downtime might be caused by digital disruptions such as cyberattacks or system breakdowns. Additionally, companies may suffer significant consequences in the event of a recession or a market collapse

Funding: This research did not get any financial support from any public, private, or not-for-profit organizations.

Declaration of conflicting interests: Regarding the study, writing, and publishing of this piece, the authors have disclosed no possible conflicts of interest.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.






Investigating the transformative effect of technological and service innovations on postal sector adaptability during the pandemic Disruption in India

 Jayashree Saha¹ and  Tridib Ranjan Sarma²

¹ Tezpur University, India 

² Associate Professor of Operations and Head at the Department of Business Administration, Tezpur

University, India 

Authors' Notes

Declaration of conflicting Interests: Regarding the study, writing, and publishing of this piece, the authors have disclosed no possible conflicts of interest.

Funding: his research did not get any financial support from any public, private, or not-for-profit organizations.

Cite as – American Psychological Association (APA)

Saha, J., & Sarma, T. R. (2024, Mayo/Aug.). Investigating the transformative effect of technological and service innovations on postal sector adaptability during the pandemic disruption in India. *International Journal of Innovation - IJI*, São Paulo, 12(2), p. 1-34, e26161. <https://doi.org/10.5585/2024.26161>

Abstract

Purpose: This study aims to investigate the inventive adjustments that have been made to provide uninterrupted delivery services by the postal sector amidst the COVID-19 pandemic.

Design/methodology/approach: This is an empirical study on the primary data collected from 354 postal users who use the services during and after pandemic disruption. This study uses regression, partial least square structural modeling and correlation to find out the relationship between dependent and independent variables.

Originality/Value: This paper presents an empirical study that examines the determinants of innovation impacting customer satisfaction among postal users in India during the pandemic disruption, addressing the dearth of previous empirical research on the adaptability of the postal system in this context. The results of our study can help the postal sector create more effective strategies for utilizing cutting-edge logistics technology, enabling them to change into delivery service providers that are innovation-driven.

Findings: The postal sector incorporates both service and technological innovation. The study also includes the other two constructs of logistics efficiency and customer satisfaction. Both service and technological innovation have a significant impact on customer satisfaction; however, service innovation is found to be the reason behind the survivability of the postal sector during the pandemic disruptive environment. Logistics efficiency positively affects customer satisfaction. However, logistics efficiency partially mediates the relationship between innovation and customer satisfaction. Overall, postal customers' satisfaction improves when innovation and logistics efficiency are present.

Theoretical/methodological Contributions: This study connects innovation with logistics efficiency and customer satisfaction in the context of Indian postal system and their adaptability during COVID-19

Social/management Contributions: The impact of innovation on logistical efficiency and consumer perception can be better understood with the help of this study. This can then be used to formulate or adjust strategies to boost the India Post's revenues and productivity.

Keywords: innovation, customer satisfaction, logistics efficiency, postal sector, pandemic, disruption

Investigando o Efeito Transformador das Inovações Tecnológicas e de Serviços na Adaptabilidade do Setor Postal Durante a Disrupção da Pandemia na Índia

Resumo

EXPLORING THE LAST MILE: COMPARING INDIA POST AND PRIVATE COURIER SERVICE PROVIDERS' SERVICE QUALITY, SATISFACTION, AND PREFERENCE

Jayashree Saha

PhD, Research Scholar, Department of Business Administration, Tezpur University

Dr Tridib Ranjan Sarma

Head and Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, Tezpur University

ABSTRACT

This study examines the fundamental components of service quality, customer satisfaction, and consumer preferences in the courier services industry, which operates within a dynamic and evolving context. The research aims to examine and contrast India Post with private courier service providers in order to comprehend the elements that impact consumer decisions during the last phase of the delivery process. Service quality is assessed by a set of metrics that examine attributes such as the quality of information, the quality of personal interactions, the timeliness of service, the correctness of service, the reliability of service, the level of customer care, the price of service, and the degree of customization. Customer satisfaction is evaluated by assessing the degree to which client expectations are fulfilled and reviewing the overall customer experience. Moreover, a thorough analysis is conducted to determine the key determinants that impact customers' choices while deciding between India Post and commercial service providers. This report provides vital insights into the changing landscape of last-mile logistics in northeast India. This study provides stakeholders and policymakers with a detailed understanding of the factors that influence customer decisions by highlighting the strengths and weaknesses of both public and private players. The primary objective of the research is to provide insights that may be used to improve service quality, increase customer satisfaction, and influence customer choices in the highly fragmented courier services industry. According to the results, there appears to be a positive relationship between the constructs of postal and courier service quality. However, to satisfy the needs of their clients, both types of service providers should work together.

KEYWORDS: postal and courier services, customer satisfaction, customer preference

1. INTRODUCTION

Many studies have been conducted on the banking industry and other service sectors, but a few on customers' satisfaction and quality of postal and courier services (Kiumarsi *et al.*, 2015). Courier services are emerging at a greater pace due to the continuous improvement of e-commerce. Customers' choices and preferences have changed over the years. They do online shopping comfortably, sitting at home, the office, or any place, and expect faster delivery at a convenient time (Huang *et al.* 2009). In the process of communication between B2C or even B2B transactions, courier services are a vital link. The earlier Indian postal system, i.e., India Post, used to deliver mail and parcels to a large number of people in the country. Although India Post is providing commendable services, that is not sufficient to meet the needs of the customers. There is a slight difference between courier services and ordinary mail; the former provides customized and personalized services. Hence, domestic, and international courier service providers emerged and started spreading roots in every corner of rural and urban areas of India (Annker 2007). Liberalization has set up a competitive market, and both India post and courier service providers are complementary to each other. According to Noordin (2012), courier services include the picking up, transportation, and delivery of letters or documents, parcels, and packages to both domestic and international destinations by using one or more