

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content	Page No.
Abstract	i-vii
Declaration	viii
Certificate of the supervisor	ix
Acknowledgments	x-xi
Table of Contents	xii-xxiii
List of Tables	xxiv-xxviii
List of Figures	xxix-xxxii
Abbreviations	xxxiii-xxxiv
Chapter-1	1-17
Introduction	
References	10-17
Chapter-2	18-74
Review of literature	
2.1. Manila tamarind seeds as a source of protein	19
2.2. Plants as a source of protein in place of animal proteins	23
2.3. Protein isolation and modification	24
2.4. Proteins, structures, and functionality	26
2.5. Plant-based meat analogues	28
2.6. Nutrition of meat analogue	31
2.7. Proteins as main ingredient for meat analogues	33
2.8. Role of non-protein ingredients	34
2.9. Environmental benefits of meat analogue	36
2.10. Production of plant-based meat analogues	38
2.10.1 Processes for development of plant-based meat analogues	41
2.10.1.1. Extrusion	43
2.10.1.2. Freeze structuring	43
2.11. Flavor and colour development in texturized protein	44
2.11.1. Enzyme-based flavor development (Bromelain hydrolysis)	45

2.11.2.	Maillard reaction and heat-induced flavor development	47
2.11.3.	Color development in texturized protein	49
2.11.4.	Heat-induced browning (Maillard reaction)	49
2.11.5.	Combination of plant-derived colorants and Maillard reaction	50
2.12.	Standardization of the cooking process for the developed meat analogue	51
2.12.1.	Selection of cooking methods	52
2.12.2.	Temperature, time, and moisture control	53
2.13.	Comparative study of cooking quality with soy-based meat analogues	54
2.13.1.	Comparison of Texture	54
2.13.2.	Moisture retention and juiciness	55
2.13.3.	Color and appearance	56
2.14.	Performance of Manila tamarind-based meat analogue in different cooking conditions	56
2.15.	Development of plant-based meat analogue products	58
2.16.	References	61-74
Chapter-3		75-126
Dual modification of protein isolated from Manila tamarind seeds using autoclave, ultrasound, their combinations and characterization		
3.1.	Introduction	75
3.2.	Materials and methods	77
3.2.1.	Preparation of Manila tamarind seed flour	77
3.2.2.	Cytotoxicity test of Manila tamarind seeds	78
3.2.3.	Physicochemical characterization	78
3.2.4.	Preparation of protein isolates	80
3.2.5.	Purity	80
3.2.6.	Determination of the amino acid composition and protein quality	80
3.2.7.	<i>In-vitro</i> protein digestibility (IVPD)	81
3.2.8.	Protein Modification	82

3.2.8.1.	Protein modification by using ultrasound (US) treatment	82
3.2.8.2.	Protein modification by using autoclave (AC) treatment	82
3.2.8.3.	Protein modification by using autoclave and ultrasound treatment in combination for different intervals of time	83
3.2.9.	Physicochemical characterization of the protein isolates	83
3.2.10.	Functional properties of Manila tamarind protein isolates	83
3.2.10.1.	Solubility	83
3.2.10.2.	L^* , a^* , b^* (colour) values	84
3.2.10.3.	Water absorption capacity (WAC)	84
3.2.10.4.	Oil absorption capacity (OAC)	85
3.2.10.5.	Emulsifying properties	85
3.2.10.6.	Bulk density	86
3.2.10.7.	Particle density	86
3.2.10.8.	Textural properties using back extrusion	86
3.2.10.9.	Gelling properties	87
3.2.11.	Electrophoretic pattern by SDS-PAGE	87
3.2.12.	Statistical analysis	88
3.3.	Results and discussions	88
3.3.1.	Cytotoxicity effects on HEK-293 cell-line	88
3.3.2.	Physicochemical characteristics of seed flour	90
3.3.3.	Physicochemical characteristics of Manila tamarind seed flour protein isolates	91
3.3.4.	Amino-acid composition	93
3.3.5.	Effect of modification on protein solubility	96
3.3.6.	Effect of modification on water and oil absorption capacity	98
3.3.7.	Color properties of native and modified protein isolates	100
3.3.8.	Bulk density	102
3.3.9.	Particle density	104
3.3.10.	Textural properties by back extrusion	106

3.3.11.	Gelling properties	108
3.3.12.	Emulsification properties	110
3.3.13.	Molecular weight distribution by Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS PAGE)	112
3.4.	Conclusions	34
	References	116-126
Chapter-4		127-183
	Texturization of protein isolated from Manila tamarind seeds using freeze texturization and freeze structuring and comparison of its textural characteristics with texturized soy protein	
4.1.	Introduction	127
4.2.	Materials and methods	130
4.2.1.	Materials	130
4.2.2.	Chemical and reagents	130
4.2.3.	Preparation of jackfruit flour	130
4.2.4.	Preparation of Protein Isolates	130
4.2.5.	Optimization of ingredients for production of texturized protein	131
4.2.6.	Experimental design for optimization of ingredient composition	131
4.2.7.	Production of texturized protein by freeze texturization	133
4.2.8.	Optimization of the parameters	134
4.2.9.	Production of texturized protein by freeze-structuring	134
4.2.10.	Proximate composition analysis of raw materials and texturized protein	135
4.2.11.	Texture profile analysis of texturized protein	136
4.2.12.	Analysis of colour parameters	136
4.2.13.	Characterization of the optimized formulation	136
4.2.13.1.	Determination of total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC) and DPPH free radical scavenging activity	136
4.2.13.2.	Sample extraction for TPC, TFC and DPPH free radical scavenging activity	136

4.2.13.3.	Total phenolic content (TPC)	137
4.2.13.4.	Total flavonoid content (TFC)	137
4.2.13.5.	DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl) free radical scavenging activity	138
4.2.14.	Visible appearance	138
4.2.15.	Cutting force	138
4.2.16.	Cooking yield and cooking loss	139
4.2.17.	Expressible moisture	139
4.2.18.	<i>In-vitro</i> protein digestibility (IVPD)	139
4.2.19.	Morphology using Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	140
4.2.20.	Comparison of the properties of texturized proteins developed from two texturization methods	140
4.2.21.	Statistical Analysis	140
4.3.	Results and Discussion	141
4.3.1.	Physicochemical analysis of raw materials	141
4.3.2.	Experimental modelling of mixture design	142
4.3.3.	Effect of freezing on structure formation	146
4.3.4.	Colour properties of texturized protein	147
4.3.5.	Hardness of the texturized protein	150
4.3.6.	Springiness of the texturized protein	151
4.3.7.	Fiber content of the texturized protein	152
4.3.8.	Protein content of the texturized protein	153
4.3.9.	Validation of optimization of texturization parameters	155
4.3.10.	Characterization of the optimized formulation	156
4.3.10.1.	Physicochemical characterization	156
4.3.10.1.1.	Moisture content (M.C.)	156
4.3.10.1.2.	Fiber content	158
4.3.10.1.3.	Fat content	159
4.3.10.1.4.	Protein content	160
4.3.10.2.	TPC, TFC and DPPH free radical scavenging activity	161
4.3.10.3.	Colour parameters	162
4.3.11.	Characterization of functional properties	163
4.3.11.1.	Cooking yield and cooking loss	163

4.3.11.2.	Expressible moisture	164
4.3.11.3.	<i>In-vitro</i> protein digestibility (IVPD)	164
4.3.12.	Structural and morphological characterization	165
4.3.12.1.	Characterization of textural properties: Cutting force and degree of texturization (DT)	165
4.3.12.2.	Morphological properties by scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	166
4.4.	Comparison of the texturized proteins developed by different methods	168
4.4.1.	Appearance	169
4.4.2.	Physicochemical and functional properties of the texturized proteins	171
4.4.3.	Textural properties of the texturized proteins	173
4.5.	Conclusions	175
	References	177-183
Chapter-5		184-241
	Development of plant-derived flavoring components to mimic the flavor of animal meat	
5.1.	Introduction	184
5.2.	Materials and methods	186
5.2.1.	Materials and reagents	186
5.2.2.	Bromelain enzyme activity assay	186
5.2.3.	Preparation of the liquid protein hydrolysates	187
5.2.4.	Determination of the physicochemical properties of the liquid seed protein hydrolysate (SPH)	187
5.2.4.1.	Measurement of colour parameters	187
5.2.4.2.	Measurement of degree of hydrolysis	187
5.2.4.3.	Determination of the amino acid composition	188
5.2.4.4.	Electrophoretic pattern by Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	188
5.2.5.	Experimental design for optimization using response surface methodology (RSM)	189

5.2.6.	Preparation of chicken-like processed flavour	190
5.2.7.	Sensory evaluation of the chicken-like processed flavour (CPF)	190
5.2.8.	Optimization of processing parameters for development of processed chicken-like flavor	190
5.2.9.	Analysis of the properties of optimized chicken-like processed flavour (CPF)	191
5.2.9.1.	Color measurement	191
5.2.9.2.	Sensory evaluation	191
5.2.9.3.	Electrophoretic pattern by Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	191
5.2.9.4.	Determination of the volatile compound composition using GC-MS	192
5.2.9.5.	Determination of the volatile compound composition using LCMS	192
5.2.10.	Statistical Analysis	193
5.3.	Results and discussions	193
5.3.1.	Bromelain enzyme activity at various temperatures	193
5.3.2.	Hydrolysis of Manila tamarind seed protein isolates (US30) using various concentrations of bromelain enzyme and time	195
5.3.2.1.	Analysis of Manila tamarind seeds as a protein source for hydrolysate production	195
5.3.3.	Physicochemical properties of the SPH	196
5.3.3.1.	Colour parameters of the SPH	196
5.3.3.1.1.	Analysis of L^* value of the seed protein hydrolysates	196
5.3.3.1.2.	Analysis of a^* value of the seed protein hydrolysates	198
5.3.3.1.3.	Analysis of b^* values of the seed protein hydrolysates	204
5.3.3.2.	Degree of hydrolysis (DH)	206
5.3.4.	Selection of best hydrolysis conditions for further usage	208

5.3.5.	Amino-acid composition of the seed protein hydrolysates (SPH)	209
5.3.6.	Chicken-like processed flavour (CPF)	212
5.3.7.	Experimental design for optimization of developing chicken-like processed flavour using response surface methodology (RSM)	213
5.3.7.1.	Effects of heating time and temperature on sensory score of developed chicken-like flavour	217
5.3.7.2.	Validation of optimization parameters	221
5.3.8.	Analysis of the chicken-like processed flavour (CPF)	222
5.3.8.1.	Color measurement and sensory evaluation of the CPF	223
5.3.8.2.	Electrophoretic protein profile by Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	224
5.3.8.3.	Volatile compounds analysis	226
5.3.8.4.	Determination of the volatile compound composition using GC-MS in the processed chicken-like flavour	227
5.3.8.5.	Determination of the volatile compound composition using LCMS in the processed chicken-like flavour	229
5.4.	Conclusions	232
	References	234-241
Chapter-6		242-308
	Comparison of the cooking quality of developed meat analogue with existing soy-based product	
6.1.	Introduction	242
6.2.	Materials and methods	244
6.2.1.	Materials	244
6.2.2.	Chemicals and reagents	244
6.2.3.	Preparation of protein isolates	244
6.2.4.	Sample preparation	245

6.2.5.	Experimental design for frying	245
6.2.6.	Frying of the samples	246
6.2.7.	Microwave cooking of the samples	247
6.2.8.	Proximate composition analysis of texturized protein and reference sample	247
6.2.9.	Moisture content analysis	248
6.2.10.	Fat content analysis	248
6.2.11.	Kinetic modelling of mass transfer: Diffusion coefficient and activation energy calculation	249
6.2.12.	Cooking yield and cooking loss calculation	250
6.2.13.	Hardness determination / Texture profile analysis	251
6.2.14.	Determination of color properties	251
6.2.15.	<i>In-vitro</i> protein digestibility (IVPD)	252
6.2.16.	Morphology using Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	252
6.2.17.	Comparison of cooking quality between frying and microwave cooking	252
6.2.18.	Statistical Analysis	253
6.3.	Results and discussion	253
6.3.1.	Proximate composition analysis of freeze texturized protein and reference sample	253
6.3.2.	Appearance of the fried samples	254
6.3.3.	Moisture content analysis	257
6.3.4.	Fat content analysis	259
6.3.5.	Kinetic modelling for moisture loss and oil uptake	260
6.3.5.1.	Diffusion coefficient for moisture loss and activation energy calculation	260
6.3.5.2.	Kinetics of oil uptake	262
6.3.6.	Cooking yield and cooking loss	264
6.3.7.	Analysis of texture profile parameters	267
6.3.8.	Determination of color properties	271
6.3.9.	<i>In-vitro</i> protein digestibility (IVPD)	275
6.3.10.	Morphology using scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	276
6.3.11.	Correlation analysis	279

6.3.12.	Comparison of cooking quality between frying and microwave cooking	281
6.3.12.1.	Appearance of the cooked samples	281
6.3.12.2.	Moisture content	282
6.3.12.3.	Cooking yield	284
6.3.12.4.	Cooking loss	285
6.3.12.5.	Texture profile analysis (Hardness and springiness)	287
6.3.12.6.	Analysis of colour values (L^* , a^* , b^*)	290
6.3.12.7.	<i>In-vitro</i> protein digestibility (IVPD)	293
6.3.12.8.	Correlation analysis	295
6.4.	Conclusions	296
	References	298-308
Chapter-7		309-376
	Preparation of food product from the developed meat analogue, its characterization and storage study	
7.1.	Introduction	309
7.2.	Materials and methods	310
7.2.1.	Preparation of raw materials	310
7.2.2.	Preparation of Manila tamarind protein spiral wraps	311
7.2.2.1.	Preparation of Manila tamarind protein spiral wrap dough	311
7.2.2.2.	Cooking of the cut sheet stripes of Manila tamarind protein spiral wrap	311
7.2.2.3.	Characterization of Manila tamarind protein spiral wraps and dough	313
7.2.2.3.1.	Rheology of dough	313
7.2.2.3.2.	Physicochemical analysis	313
7.2.2.3.3.	Analysis of colour parameters	314
7.2.2.3.4.	Measurement of cooking quality	314
7.2.2.3.5.	Analysis of textural parameters	315
7.2.3.	Preparation of meat analogue nuggets	315
7.2.3.1.	Formulation of nuggets	315
7.2.3.2.	Weighing of the samples	316

7.2.3.3.	Compositional properties analysis	316
7.2.3.4.	pH measurement protocol	317
7.2.3.5.	Analysis of the color parameters	318
7.2.3.6.	Determination of cooking quality	318
7.2.3.7.	Texture profile analysis	319
7.2.3.8.	Sensory evaluation	318
7.2.3.9.	Shelf-Life Studies	318
7.2.3.9.1.	Weight	320
7.2.3.9.2.	Fat and ash content	320
7.2.3.9.3.	pH measurement	320
7.2.3.9.4.	Texture profile analysis (TPA)	320
7.2.3.9.5.	Color measurement	320
7.2.3.9.6.	Sensory evaluation	320
7.2.3.9.7.	Determination of microbial quality	320
7.2.4.	Statistical Analysis	321
7.3.	Results and discussions	321
7.3.1.	Characterization of Manila tamarind protein spiral wraps and dough	321
7.3.1.1.	Rheological properties of protein spiral wrap dough	321
7.3.1.2.	Evaluation of colour parameters	323
7.3.1.3.	Evaluation of cooking quality of protein spiral wraps	325
7.3.1.4.	Textural properties	327
7.3.1.5.	Physicochemical, functional and textural attributes of the best protein spiral wrap formulation	328
7.3.2.	Characterization of the meat analogue nuggets	333
7.3.2.1.	Proximate composition of standard and formulated nuggets	333
7.3.2.2.	Cooking quality of the nuggets	334
7.3.2.3.	Textural properties of the nuggets	335
7.3.2.4.	Colour properties of the nuggets	336

7.3.2.5.	Sensory evaluation of the nuggets	337
7.3.3.	Shelf-life study of stored nugget samples	340
7.3.3.1.	Effect of storage on weight	340
7.3.3.2.	Effect of storage on moisture, fat, ash and pH	341
7.3.3.3.	Texture profile analysis (TPA)	344
7.3.3.4.	Measurement of colour parameters	349
7.3.3.5.	Sensory evaluation	353
7.3.3.6.	Microbiological quality	361
7.4.	Conclusions	365
	References	367-376
Chapter-8		377-382
Summary, Conclusions and Future Scopes		
List of Publications		A-1