

## **Abbreviations used in this project**

1. FA= Fly Ash
2. NFA= Nano Fly Ash
- 3: NFAPMB= Modified bitumen by incorporation of nano fly ash and PET composite
4. FAPMB= Modified bitumen by incorporation of fly ash( as received) and PET composite
5. WP= Waste Plastic
6. PET= Polyethylene Terephthalate
7. PEG 6000= polyethylene Glycol (mol wt 6000)
8. RSM= Response Surface Methodology
9. RB= Round Bottom Flask
10. ANOVA= Analysis of Variance
11. SEM= Scanning Electron Microscope
12. TGA= Thermogravimetric Analysis
13. EDX= Energy dispersed XRD
14. XRD= X-Ray diffraction
15. IS=Indian Standard
16. cP= Centipoise
17. mm= millimeter
18. Cm= Centimeter
19. FTIR= Fourier Transmission Infrared spectroscopy
20. LOI= Loss on Ignition
21. ASTM= American Society for Testing and Materials

- 22. VG= Viscosity Grade
- 23. SBS= Styrene-Butadiene-styrene
- 24. BIS= Bureau of Indian Standards
- 25. EVA= Ethylene Vinyl Acetate
- 26. SWM= Solid Waste Management

## CONTENTS

	Page no
Certificates-----	i-ii
Acknowledgement-----	iii
Abstract-----	iv
Abbreviations used in this project-----	v-vi
<b>Chapter 1 General Introduction-----</b>	<b>1-23</b>
1. Introduction	
1.1 What is bitumen?-----	1-3
1.2 Why we need modification of bitumen-----	4-5
1.3 Basic requirements of the modifier-----	5-6
1.4 Advantages of modified bitumen-----	6
1.5 Guidelines for modified bitumen in India-----	7-8
1.6 Grades of bitumen-----	8-9
1.7 Properties of bitumen -----	9-10
1.8 Grading of paving bitumen-----	10-11
1.9 Utilization of waste materials in bitumen matrix	
1.9.1 Incorporation of fly ash in the bitumen matrix-----	11
1.9.2 What is fly ash (FA)?-----	11-13
1.9.3 Incorporation of waste polymer in the bitumen matrix-----	13-14
1.10 Response Surface Methodology-----	14
1.10.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)-----	15
1.10.2 Regression analysis-----	15
1.10.3 Linear regression-----	15
1.10.4 Limitations of RSM-----	15
1.10.5 Uses of RSM-----	15
1.10.6 Process models of RSM-----	16-17
1.11 Polymer nanocomposite-----	17-18

1.11.1 Advantages of nanocomposites-----	18
1.11.2 Classification-----	18
1.12 Literature review-----	19-21
1.13 Objective and plan of work	
1.13.1 Objective of work-----	22
1.13.2 Plan of work -----	23
<b>Chapter 2 Experimental-----</b>	<b>24-38</b>
2.1 Materials and methods of preparation	
2.1.1 Materials-----	24-29
2.1.2 Characterization techniques-----	29-30
2.1.3 Conventional bitumen tests-----	30-35
2.1.4 Morphological analysis-----	36
2.2 Design of experiment (DOE)	
2.2.1 Design optimization for sonicated fly ash (nano) particles-----	36-37
2.2.2 Design optimization for Softening point of bituminous binder-----	37-38
<b>Chapter 3 Results and discussions-----</b>	<b>39-65</b>
3.1 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)-----	39-40
3.2 FTIR (Fourier Transmission Infrared Spectroscopy)-----	40-42
3.3 TGA (Thermogravimetric Analysis)-----	42-43
3.4 EDX (Energy Dispersive XRD)-----	43-44
3.5 Conventional bitumen tests	
3.5.1 Test for penetration-----	44-46
3.5.2 Test for ductility-----	46-47
3.5.3 Test for softening point-----	47-49

3.5.4 Temperature susceptibility-----	49-52
3.5.5 Viscosity-----	52-54
3.6 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)-----	54-55
3.7 RSM/ANOVA studies for design optimization	
3.7.1 Design optimization for sonicated fly ash particles-----	55-60
3.7.2 Design optimization for softening point of bitumen binders-----	60-65
3.8 Estimated cost analysis-----	66
<b>Chapter 4 Conclusion and scope of future work-----</b>	<b>67-69</b>
4.1 Conclusion -----	67-69
4.2 Scope of future work-----	69
<b>References-----</b>	<b>70-73</b>