

Contents

Abstract	
1. Introduction	01
1. Overview	02
1.1 DDoS Characteristics	03
1.2 Types of DDoS attacks	03
1.3 Different approaches to DDoS attacks	05
1.4 Motivation	06
1.5 Problem definition.....	07
2: Literature Survey	08
2. Related work	09
3. Applied Methodology	11
3. Detection approach	12
3.1 F-Divergence.....	12
3.1.1 Kullback-Leibler Distance (KL).....	12
3.1.2 Hellinger Distance.....	13
3.1.3 Total Variation Distance (TVD).....	13
3.1.4 α -Divergence.....	13
3.2 System Design.....	14
3.3 Methodology.....	17
4. Experimental Setup	20
4.1 Network traffic analysis tool.....	21
4.2 System configuration	21
4.3 Datasets	22
5. Observation and Analysis	23
5.1 Observation.....	24
5.2 Threshold values.....	32
5.3 Computational time	32
6. Conclusion and Future Work	33
6. Conclusion	34
References	35

List of Figures

Figure 1: Architecture of DDoS attacks.....	02
Figure 2: Direct DDoS attack.....	04
Figure 3: Reflector DDoS attack.....	04
Figure 4: Classification of DDoS attack detection methods.....	05
Figure 5: Detection Architecture.....	14
Figure 6: TCP SYN flooding attack	16
Figure 7: UDP flooding attack.....	16
Figure 8: Binary tree for ICMP.....	18
Figure 9: Binary tree for UDP.....	18
Figure 10: Binary tree for TCP	18
Figure 11: Flow chart to detect flooding attack by filter engine.....	19
Figure 12: A screenshot of Wireshark.....	21
Figure 13: α -divergence based on connection size for CAIDA 2013.....	26
Figure 14: α -divergence based on connection size for CAIDA (2013+2007).....	26
Figure 15: F-divergence based on connection size for CAIDA 2013	27
Figure 16: F-divergence based on connection size for CAIDA (2013+2007).....	27
Figure 17: α -divergence based on SYN, ACK count for MIT packet traces.....	28
Figure 18: α -divergence based on SYN, ACK count for MIT mix traces.....	28
Figure 19: F-divergence based on SYN, ACK count for MIT packet traces.....	29
Figure 20: F-divergence based on SYN, ACK count for MIT mix traces.....	29
Figure 21: α -divergence based on destination port change count for UCLA packet trace.....	30
Figure 22: α -divergence based on destination port change count for UCLA mix traces.....	30
Figure 23: F-divergence based on destination port change count for UCLA packet traces.....	31
Figure 24: F-divergence based on destination port change count for UCLA mix traces.....	31

List of Tables

Table 1: Symbols used.....	12
Table 2: CAIDA traffic mixing statistics.....	24
Table 3: MIT traffic mixing statistics.....	25
Table 4: UCLA traffic mixing statistics.....	25
Table 5: Threshold values for the datasets.....	32
Table 6: Average computational time required on the dataset analysis.....	32